

DATE: 5/13/26

To: All Interested Consultants
PROJECT: WP0827011: Walker Square Park Improvements
SUBJECT: Addendum to Request for Proposal (RFP)

Add to this RFP as follows:

Q1: *Does County Parks have a standard vendor or type of play fountain water feature that they would want to utilize on this project? Or is there another similar feature in the County Parks system that would be a precedent (or an anticipated budget)? The scope and cost of these features can vary widely.*

A1: We are open to designs and products that reflect the character of the photo imagery in the framework document for the ground plane play fountain.

Q2: *Please confirm that the master plan layout on Page 37 should be assumed as the basis for design for 30% plans. A1: Does County Parks anticipate the selected consultant would vet and evaluate the layout and confirm the initial improvements can be accomplished within the \$750,000 available funding?*

A2: The framework document should be viewed as an advisory reference document that can help inform the 30% design; the RFP defines which scope items are to be focused on in this project. From there we will use review and comments of the project team and stakeholder to help inform future design as we move towards 60%, 90%, and final plans. The plan is that the 750k existing fund will pay for all improvements to be implemented; the cost estimates at the 30%, 60%, 90% and final windows will help inform which scope items make it through to the final bid documents as well as which items are bid as alternates.

Q3: *The proposed initial phase includes ADA improvements at the existing pavilion. However, the plan on Page 37 shows a plaza in place of the existing pavilion. Is the intent for the initial phase to build a portion of the plaza while keeping the pavilion in place, and then building the rest of the proposed plaza in the future when the building is removed?*

A3: The consultant is to provide plans for having code compliant bathrooms at the conclusion of the design; this may or may not include ADA improvements to the existing pavilion and could be provided by other means (e.g. ADA compliant port-a-john). Additionally, the consultant is to provide a planned location for a prefabricated bathroom structure onsite including mechanical and storage space; notably, there is no plans to construct this structure during this phase of the project, though this may be sought out in the future.

Q4: *If the existing pavilion is to be demolished in future phases, will the proposed play fountain use the existing maintenance/equipment shed near the wading pool for its equipment (backflow preventer, pumps, etc.) or will a new structure be necessary?*

A4: This would be best determined by the design consultant. Dependency on the existing pavilion would not be desired. A longer-term plaza solution would be ideal that could be implemented in phases if/when the building demo could occur.

Q5: *Does the County have any topographic survey or geotechnical data available for the park, or is it assumed that the selected consultant would collect survey and geotechnical data?*

A5: The County can provide GIS layers to the selected consultant of general topography and have County survey staff gather typical survey information upon request. The selected consultant may pursue gathering geotechnical data and/or more detailed/atypical survey within their team structure if they feel that is required.

Sincerely,

Jack Sudar

Jack Sudar
Project Manager