File No. 10-466
(Journal, December 16, 2010)

(ITEM 6) From the Director of Child Support Enforcement (CSE), requesting authorization to execute extensions of the Children First Program contracts with United Migrant Opportunities Services, Inc. and Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd for a period of January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011., by recommending adoption of the following:

**A RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, Milwaukee County through the State of Wisconsin has Children First funding to assist participants in achieving self-sufficiency under Wis. Stat. §§767.55 and 49.36; and

WHEREAS, each Children First contractor site will facilitate case referrals and facilitate Children First activities, including, but not limited to employability plans; and

WHEREAS, the contracts would provide that contractors shall assist the County in collection of child support obligations with services to be provided to court order payers; and

WHEREAS, the contractors will be entitled to payment of $400.00 per participant enrolled in the Children First Program in a 12 month period; and

WHEREAS, the Director, Child Support Enforcement has requested authorization to execute a one year extension of the terms of the 2010 Children First Contracts which was awarded based on the request for proposals successful bids; and

WHEREAS, the 2010 Children First Contracts, with a one-year extension provision, was approved by the Milwaukee County Board Resolution 10-49; and

WHEREAS, the term of the one –year contract extension shall be from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the agencies with whom the department will contract include United Migrant Opportunities Services, Inc. (UMOS), and Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd.; and

WHEREAS, the Judiciary, Safety and General Services Committee, at its meeting on December 16, 2010, recommended approval of the contracts (vote 5-0); now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize the Director, Child Support Enforcement, to enter into contracts with United Migrant Opportunity Services, Inc. (UMOS), and Center for Veterans Issues, Ltd., to provide Children First Case Management and program activities to payers ordered into the program.

# MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** November 18, 2010

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

**SUBJECT:** From Director, Child Support Enforcement, requesting authorization to execute a contract for the 2009 Children First Contracts with New Concepts and United Migrant Opportunity Services Inc. (UMOS) to provide employment services to unemployed or under-employed child support obligors.

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

X No Direct County Fiscal Impact

☐ Existing Staff Time Required

☐ Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

☐ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures

☐ Increase Operating Revenues

☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

☐ Increase Capital Expenditures

☐ Decrease Capital Expenditures

☐ Increase Capital Revenues

☐ Decrease Capital Revenues

☐ Use of contingent funds

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

| | Expenditure or Revenue Category | Current Year | Subsequent Year |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Operating Budget** | Expenditure | | |
| | Revenue | | |
| | Net Cost | | |
| **Capital Improvement Budget** | Expenditure | | |
| | Revenue | | |
| | Net Cost | | |

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

**In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.**

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.[1] If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Execution of Children First contracts was anticipated and included in the 2011 adopted budget. The budget amount includes $370,080 for the annual cost. Milwaukee County facilitates the administration of the program, 100% of the funds received is passed through to the contractor(s).

Department/Prepared By Lisa J. Marks, Director

Authorized Signature _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes x No

[1] If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

File No. 10-465
(Journal, December 16, 2010)

(ITEM 7) From the Director of Child Support Enforcement, requesting authorization to enter into a contract with Racine County Child Support from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011 to provide call center child support call center services, by recommending adoption of the following:

**A RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, Milwaukee County successfully completed another year of call center services with Racine County Child Support Enforcement answering routine inquiries; and

WHEREAS, the contract would provide continuation of call center services; and

WHEREAS, Milwaukee County Child Support will receive payment of $70,000 for call center services provided; and

WHEREAS, the term of the contract shall be from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Judiciary, Safety and General Services Committee, at its meeting on December 16, 2010, recommended approval of the contracts (vote 5-0); now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize the Director, Child Support Enforcement, to enter into a contract with Racine County Child Support Enforcement to provide call center services.

# MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** November 18, 2010

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

**SUBJECT:** From Director, Child Support Enforcement requesting authorization to execute a contract with Racine County (Child Support Enforcement) to provide call center services for calendar year 2011.

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

X No Direct County Fiscal Impact

☐ Existing Staff Time Required

☐ Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

☐ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures

☐ Increase Operating Revenues

☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

☐ Increase Capital Expenditures

☐ Decrease Capital Expenditures

☐ Increase Capital Revenues

☐ Decrease Capital Revenues

☐ Use of contingent funds

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

| | Expenditure or Revenue Category | Current Year | Subsequent Year |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Operating Budget** | Expenditure | 0 | |
| | Revenue | 0 | |
| | Net Cost | 0 | |
| **Capital Improvement Budget** | Expenditure | | |
| | Revenue | | |
| | Net Cost | | |

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

**In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.**

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.[1] If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Execution of the 2011 contract between Milwaukee County and Racine County was anticipated and included in the 2011 proposed budget. No additional tax levy impact is anticipated.

Department/Prepared By Lisa J. Marks

Authorized Signature _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes x No

[1] If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

File No. 10-472
(Journal, December 16, 2010)

(ITEM 8) From Principal Assistant Corporation Counsel, requesting approval for payment in the amount of $25,000 to Berlydene Williams and Jameila Ellis to settle in full all claims arising out of this case, by recommending adoption of the following:

**A RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, in 2006 Larry Ellis, upon his release from the County jail, was conveyed to the Mental Health Complex of the Behavioral Health Division where he was involuntarily detained pending an evaluation by the medical staff; and the evaluation determined that Mr. Ellis could not be held legally at BHD against his consent; and he was released; and

WHEREAS, approximately three weeks later Mr. Ellis attacked a City of Milwaukee Police Officer with a knife which caused the officer to fire his weapon in self-defense killing Mr. Ellis; and

WHEREAS, the mother and sister of Mr. Ellis, Beryldene Williams and Jameila Ellis respectively, brought a lawsuit against the County and the City of Milwaukee in Case No. 07-CV-0946 in the federal court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin alleging that the Civil Rights of Larry Ellis had been violated by the actions of the defendants; and

WHEREAS, extensive discovery of the evidence has been conducted by all of the parties leading to settlement discussions, and with the support of the judge caused the parties to reach a tentative settlement contemplating the payment of Milwaukee County to the plaintiffs and their attorneys the sum of $25,000, and Corporation Counsel agrees; and

WHEREAS, the Committee on Judiciary, Safety and General Services at its meeting on December 16, 2010 voted (5-1) to recommend the payment as proposed; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, that Milwaukee County approves the payment of $25,000 to Berlydene Williams and Jameila Ellis to settle in full all claims arising out of this case.

# MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** December 13, 2010

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

**SUBJECT:** A resolution to authorize the payment of $25,000 in settlement of a wrongful death lawsuit filed against Milwaukee County by Berlydene Williams and Jameila Ellis

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

☐ No Direct County Fiscal Impact

☐ Existing Staff Time Required

☐ Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

☐ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures

☐ Increase Operating Revenues

☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

☐ Increase Capital Expenditures

☐ Decrease Capital Expenditures

☐ Increase Capital Revenues

☐ Decrease Capital Revenues

☒ Use of contingent funds

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

| | Expenditure or Revenue Category | Current Year | Subsequent Year |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Operating Budget** | Expenditure | $25,000 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 0 | 0 |
| **Capital Improvement Budget** | Expenditure | 0 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 0 | 0 |

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

**In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.**

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. [1] If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

If adopted, this resolution would authorize the payment of $25,000 to Berlydene Williams and Jameila Ellis in settlement of the wrongful death lawsuit they filed against Milwaukee County. Funds to pay this sum are being held in reserve by the Risk Manager.

Department/Prepared By Corporation Counsel

Authorized Signature John R. Andrews

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? ☐ Yes ☒ No

[1] If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

File No. 10-407
(Journal, December 16, 2010)

(ITEM 9) From Principal Assistant Corporation Counsel, requesting authorization for litigation and retention of counsel regarding O'Donnell Parking structure, by recommending adoption of the following:

**A RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, on June 24, 2010, a 34-foot long architectural precast concrete facade panel affixed to the second level of the east side of the O'Donnell Parking Structure came free from the structural parapet on the side of the structure and fell to street level, resulting in one fatality and injuries to two other individuals; and

WHEREAS, Inspec, Milwaukee County's retained engineering firm, has determined that none of the precast concrete facade panels were attached to the structure in the manner specified by the construction documents; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the June 2010 facade failure and resulting tragedy, Milwaukee County closed O'Donnell Parking Structure to the public and losing significant revenue; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the June 2010 facade failure and resulting tragedy, Milwaukee County will consider whether to (1) make the Parking Structure safe for the public, or (2) pursue alternative uses for the space; and

WHEREAS, there are various options under consideration to rehabilitate the structure costing between $3,000,000 and $7,000,000, and even considerably higher; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED, Corporation Counsel (1) investigate the conduct of those parties involved with the original construction of the structure to determine whether any of them was legally culpable for the damages and losses Milwaukee County has suffered and continues to suffer, and (2) to pursue any viable claim Milwaukee County may have against any such culpable party or parties; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Corporation Counsel be authorized to commence and maintain (a) civil action(s), following consultation with the Committee on Judiciary, Safety and General Services of the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors, against any culpable party found to have caused the damages and losses Milwaukee County has suffered and continues to suffer because of faulty design, manufacture, supervision and/or final installation that contributed to the June 24, 2010 tragedy, the subsequent loss of revenues and the need to rehabilitate the structure; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Corporation Counsel be authorized to engage and retain private counsel to assist him in the investigation into the viability of its claims for damages and losses against the several potentially liable parties involved with the original design, manufacture, installation and supervision of the subject and construction means and methods used to attach the architectural precast concrete panels on the exterior of the O'Donnell Park parking facility.

# MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** 11/19/10

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

**SUBJECT:** O'Donnell Park Garage – Authorization for Litigation and Retention of Counsel

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

☐ No Direct County Fiscal Impact

☒ Existing Staff Time Required

☒ Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

☒ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures

☐ Increase Operating Revenues

☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

☐ Increase Capital Expenditures

☐ Decrease Capital Expenditures

☐ Increase Capital Revenues

☐ Decrease Capital Revenues

☐ Use of contingent funds

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

| | Expenditure or Revenue Category | Current Year | Subsequent Year |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Operating Budget** | Expenditure | 0 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 0 | 0 |
| **Capital Improvement Budget** | Expenditure | 0 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 0 | 0 |

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

**In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.**

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.[1] If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Approval of this Resolution will authorize Corporation Counsel to commence discussions with outside legal counsel to assess potential claims that might be brought by Milwaukee County against culpable parties and to develop a litigation budget for persuing such claims. Any decision to actually commence such litigation will be the subject of future resolutions and fiscal notes.

Department/Prepared By Corporation Counsel

Authorized Signature _____

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? ☐ Yes ☒ No

[1] If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

File No. 10-414
(Journal, December 16, 2010)

(ITEM 10) From Principal Assistant Corporation Counsel, recommending payment in the amount of $62,000.00 to Dewitt Webster in back-pay minus the appropriate payroll deductions and $45,000.00 to the Cross Law Firm for attorney's fees to settle all claims arising out of Mr. Webster's lawsuit and the dismissal of said lawsuit, by recommending adoption of the following:

**A RESOLUTION**

WHEREAS, there are four (4) dates in 2005 wherein Webster alleges in his complaint that he requested time off to care for his daughter: a) June 30, 2005; b) July 7, 2005; c) October 26, 2005; and d) December 29, 2005. On all the above dates, Webster alleges that he notified his supervisor that he would be taking FMLA to care for his daughter. Regarding the June 30, 2005, July 7, 2005 and October 26, 2005 incidents, Webster first received a counseling and then received both verbal/written reprimands for taking time off "at his own discretion," as Milwaukee County "has no provisions to allow employees to randomly take time off." Webster grieved the June 30, 2005 and July 7, 2005 incidents and a determination was made that the disciplines on those dates were not for just cause. As such, the reprimands were ordered to be removed from Webster's personnel file. However, the above two (2) dates were counted as part of progressive discipline when this matter eventually made its way to the PRB for Webster's discharge in April 2006 and Webster was discharged from his position on September 27, 2006; and

WHEREAS, negotiations between the County by the Office of Corporation Counsel, Dewitt Webster and Attorney Christopher Kloth of Cross Law Firm, who represented the plaintiff in the federal lawsuit resulted in a settlement agreement at a pretrial hearing before Judge Aaron Goodstein to settle all claims arising out of the complaint and dismissal of the pending federal lawsuit and a release of claims by Dewitt Webster.

WHEREAS, the Committee on Judiciary, Safety and General Services at its meeting on December 2, 2010 voted (4-2) to recommend payment; now, therefore;

BE IT RESOLVED, that Milwaukee County approves the payment of $62,500.00 to Dewitt Webster in back-pay minus the appropriate payroll deductions and $45,000 to the Cross Law Firm for attorneys' fees to settle all claims arising out of Mr. Webster's lawsuit and the dismissal of said lawsuit; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Dewitt Webster will be reinstated to employment with Milwaukee County as a Facilities Worker II, Pay Range 12F at Step 6, $16.9264 per hour. Mr. Webster will receive 3.0 years of seniority and pension service credit.

# MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

**DATE:** November 23, 2010

Original Fiscal Note ☒

Substitute Fiscal Note ☐

**SUBJECT:** FMLA Lawsuit Filed by
DeWitt Webster vs. Milwaukee County
Case No. 08-C-0481

**FISCAL EFFECT:**

☐ No Direct County Fiscal Impact

☐ Existing Staff Time Required

☒ Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)

☒ Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget

☐ Decrease Operating Expenditures

☐ Increase Operating Revenues

☐ Decrease Operating Revenues

☐ Increase Capital Expenditures

☐ Decrease Capital Expenditures

☐ Increase Capital Revenues

☐ Decrease Capital Revenues

☐ Use of contingent funds

*Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.*

| | Expenditure or Revenue Category | Current Year | Subsequent Year |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Operating Budget** | Expenditure | 62,500 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 62,500 | 0 |
| **Capital Improvement Budget** | Expenditure | 0 | 0 |
| | Revenue | 0 | 0 |
| | Net Cost | 0 | 0 |

## DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

**In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.**

A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated. [1] If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Approval of this resolution will result in the payment of $62, 500 in back wages minus payroll deductions which will be charged to the Department of Transportation and Public Works salary account.

Approval of this resolution will result in a charge being applied to Milwaukee County's 2008 deductible with the Wisconsin County Mutual Insurance Corporation in the amount of $45,000.

Department/Prepared By Corporation Counsel

Authorized Signature

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? ☐ Yes ☒ No

[1] If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.