MILWAUKEE COUNTY

CONSOLIDATED HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PLAN

2010 - 2014

PREPARED BY: HOUSING DIVISION,
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CALL 289-6780

A Special Note on the 2010 – 2014 Consolidated Plan

Milwaukee County, along with other jurisdictions, is required to submit a 5 year Consolidated Plan in 2010 to participate in various federal housing and development programs. However, the census data that are the basis for these plans are 10 years out of date. It is clear there have been significant changes in Milwaukee County in the past decade which impact the priorities and goals of this Plan. Until the 2010 census is available, though, the parameters of these changes are unknown.

The 2010-2014 Plan makes extensive use of the data and analysis prepared for the 2000-2004 Plan. The County will carry out a major revision of the 2010-2014 Plan when the new census data are obtained. Pending this revision, the priorities and goals of this Plan have been set based upon the previous Plan, the results of public hearings, the experience of County offices, and as explained below.

The previous Plan identified 6 major housing and community development issues facing the County. These included:

1. Changes in the composition of households
2. Changes in the economic base
3. Housing, particularly housing affordability and housing supply
4. Housing choice
5. Preservation and maintenance
6. Special needs

These issues continue as an organizing force for County planning, although the impact of the lack of current census data becomes more apparent as one delves further into an issue.

An area of concern has been identified now that will affect the revision of the Plan. This is emergency and transitional housing for the homeless. In its role as a lead agency in the Continuum of Care strategy, the County has become more involved in assisting housing for this population. In addition, the pending cessation of the last vestiges of traditional welfare benefits causes some to anticipate greater impacts on emergency housing. More consideration of these issues are warranted in the Plan's revision, as reliable data become available.

As part of its consolidated planning process, Milwaukee County conducted a series of hearings to receive citizen input on needs and strategies to meet these needs. While there were no restrictions on the nature of input to be heard, the only comments offered were by those addressing issues related to persons with disabilities. Such matters are not the only housing and community development issues of significance to residents of Milwaukee County, but the hearing demonstrate an interest in an enhanced County consideration of these affairs.

Comments offered on what the County should address in its Plan were diverse. (A summary of comments is found in Section VII.) However, some common themes did emerge:

1. A need for more accessible, affordable housing.

   Housing and transportation were stated to be the "biggest issues" for those with disabilities. Many differing suggestions were offered, which demonstrates that a range of housing options, like those available to the community at large, is needed.

2. A need for accessibility modifications.

   Speakers sought more accessibility, both in residences and in public and commercial buildings. Criticism was made about accessibility features that may meet standards, but do not fulfill the needs of an individual.

3. A need for recreational opportunities for people with disabilities

   Many people with disabilities are isolated and have little to do. Isolation can lead to other problems, which impact not only the individual, but also the care and support systems this person may be part of.

Speakers believe that simply having somewhere to go, such as recreational or drop-in centers, is vital.

4. Enforcing Americans with Disabilities Act and Fair Housing law provisions.

   Speakers believed the County should expend resources to enforce law on accessible units that do not meet housing quality standards; the provision of accessible units in privately financed developments; and on housing discrimination against the disabled.

The comments made in the hearings have been considered in the current edition of the Plan.

CONTENTS

Section I: This Plan

I.A Issues Facing Milwaukee County
I.B Preparation of this Plan
I.C Organization of this Plan

Section II: Community Profile/Housing Market Analysis

II.A.1 General Characteristics: Demographics, Low Income, and Racial/Ethnic Concentrations
II.A.2 General Characteristics: Market Conditions and Inventory
II.B Public and Assisted Housing
II.C Homeless Facilities
II.D Special Needs Facilities and Services
II.E Barriers to Affordable Housing

Section III: Housing and Homeless Needs Assessment

III.A General
III.B Categories of Persons Affected
III.C Homeless Needs
III.D Other Special Needs
III.E Lead Based Paint

Section IV: Five Year Strategic Plan

IV.A General
IV.B Affordable Housing
IV.C Homelessness
IV.D Non-Homeless with Special Needs
IV.E Non-Housing Community Development Plan
IV.F Barriers to Affordable Housing
IV.G Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction
IV.H Anti-Poverty Strategy
IV.I/J Institutional Structure and Coordination
IV.K Public Housing Resident Initiatives

Section V: Certifications

Section VI: Monitoring

Section VII: Public Comments

Section VIII: Annual Action Plan

SECTION I: THIS PLAN

I.A Issues Facing Milwaukee County

Milwaukee County faces six issues. These issues have impacts that reach into every fold of the County's social fabric. They have already begun to change what Milwaukee County is. These issues are the reason this Plan is prepared.

1. Households

Few changes will have a greater long term impact than those taking place within the household. The traditional nuclear family of two biological parents and their children now makes up less than half (39%) of the County's households. Two in 10 households (21%) are headed by a single parent, most of whom are female. Four of every 10 households (40%) are made up of one or more unrelated, non-institutionalized persons.

While the elderly make up significant numbers of some community's populations and continue to be the largest category of household in need of assistance, it is of note that 2000 Census data show that the percentage of elderly declined in 16 of 19 County communities between 1990 - 2000. The County is becoming younger.

The impact of the changing household is seen in the need for assisted rental housing in the County's suburban communities. In 1990, 43% of the need was elderly, 31% "other" (of 1 or more non-related, non-institutionalized persons), 23% small families, and 3% large families. In 2000, 42% was elderly, 33% "other" households, 21% small family, and 3% large family.

As the household changes, the County will change. The needs for services, types of housing, and public facilities will shift as householders age, single parents raise children, and non-traditional "families" organize and develop long term relationships.

2. Economic Base

The Milwaukee area economy has changed dramatically in the past 20 years. It has not grown as fast as the national economy. Of more consequence, thousands of well paying jobs in heavy manufacturing were lost. Although jobs in the retail/wholesale trade and services sectors were gained, most of these jobs paid much less than the manufacturing jobs that were lost.

Of significance equal to the loss of well paying jobs are the locations where new jobs were created. The central City of Milwaukee lost most of the heavy industry slots as large older plants closed. The City rebounded somewhat as it regained about 40% of the area manufacturing jobs created in the late 1980s. However, most of the area job growth took place in small firms located outside of the City, and even outside of the County. Most of these jobs, including many in heavy manufacturing, pay less than the manufacturing jobs that were lost.

Lower paying job growth outside of the City of Milwaukee is producing change. Suburban employers, seeking employees, have turned to the City where a labor surplus exists. Commuting lower income persons have placed noticeable demands on transportation and transit systems. In the longer term, it is probable that demands for affordable housing in the communities where jobs are located will increase.

3. Housing

For housing aspects of this Plan, suburban communities outside of the City of Milwaukee are considered. There are two reasons for this. First, HUD housing production funds coming to the County cannot be used in the City. (The City receives its own funding). Second, the City carries out its own housing planning. In this Plan, the suburban communities collectively comprise a Housing Planning Area (HPA).

Shelter is a basic human need. The supply and affordability of housing impacts on lifestyle and even on the capability to live. This Plan presents a wealth of data describing housing. Some of the most telling show:

- That 1 of every 3 suburban households (35.4%) is of low income (less than 80% of area median).

- That 17% (25,441), or 1 of every 6, HPA households are of low income and have a need for some form of housing assistance, based upon occupying a unit that is lacking complete kitchen or plumbing facilities, or that costs more than 30% of the household's income. County housing programs based upon income tests operate in every suburban community.

- That there are an estimated 5,996 unassisted suburban households that need and qualify for Rent Assistance under federal preference rules (paying more than 50% of income for rent).

- That housing affordability is of concern. In 14 HPA communities, a median priced household cannot afford to buy a median income house. In 13 municipalities, the median rent exceeds the Section 8 Fair Market Rent.

Housing is linked to the County's economy. The housing construction industry is a significant source of jobs. Housing is also a substantial component of the tax base, the preservation of which is vital to long term community health. The jobs provided by the County's economy directly impact on housing affordability. A lack of well paying jobs can force both parents to take jobs if the family wants to buy a house. Adult children with low wages may find it impossible to leave home and start their own households. Families may find "house partners" necessary to meet the cost of housing, which can result in over-crowding. Single parents, especially those with few marketable skills, may find themselves in obsolete, code violating, and even dangerous units. Historically, there is a connection between the location of jobs and housing. People seek to live near where they work. An inability to do so creates changes in and places demands upon transportation and transit systems in the short term, and over time can influence where housing locates. There are indicators that all of these are happening in the County.

In a gross sense, housing affordability is the major housing issue. If every household had the resources to purchase housing regardless of cost, the market would eventually supply sufficient housing. However, major elements of the County's population, including many elderly, those without marketable skills, and others with special needs, will never have the money to purchase housing produced solely by the market. The gap between what it costs to provide housing, and what some households have to spend, is simply too great.

The housing affordability gap, tied as it is to the County's economy and demographics, is a significant issue. However, the variation in housing supply and demand is also important. A surplus of housing or land suitable for housing can lead to change within a community as new households move in, placing new demands on services and infrastructure. The lack of housing can drive prices up, displacing long term residents or creating other change as populations unable to move age in place.

4. Housing Choice

National studies have shown that metropolitan Milwaukee is one of the most racially segregated areas in the country. There are indications this is changing. Minorities now make up at least 10% of the population of 4 County municipalities (Glendale, River Hills, West Milwaukee, Brown Deer). However, most minorities live in concentrated communities in the City of Milwaukee.

Few aspects of public policy are more complicated than racially segregated housing. The forces that in the past limited a minority household's housing choice and helped create the present housing patterns are not the same forces that maintain such patterns. Prior laws and covenants restricting choice have either been eliminated or have no credence. Outright discrimination by the professional sellers, financiers, and managers of real estate is no longer overtly institutionalized, although individual acts do continue to occur.

Other forces continue segregated housing and limit choice. Economic factors are significant. Over time, what is perceived as valued housing has changed, often to the detriment of existing housing. Communities have a vested interest in achieving and maintaining high property values, and will act to do so. Households without the resources to purchase higher cost housing will be limited in where they can choose to live. Many minority households have low incomes. Why this is so is a complex question that involves both population and individual factors, but the inability to afford housing affects a household's housing choice.

A study by the Public Policy Forum ("Housing Diversity and Choices: A Metro Milwaukee Opinion Survey", September 2004) attempted to measure public opinion about segregation and housing in the Milwaukee area. While this study recognizes the impacts of past practices in creating area housing patterns, and the significant

roles that public transportation, access to financing, and tax policy could have in changing these patterns, it also codifies that perceptions and cultural affinity play a part in housing choice.

Attitudes, although a more subtle force, also affect housing choice. Racists exist. There are reasons to believe there are fewer than there use to be, and reasons to hope that this behavior will die a deserved death. Fear of the different, perpetrated by mis-conception and the human ability to presume the worst, is present. Education, exposure, and opportunities for contact will help to improve housing choice.

The forces that prevent a free and open housing choice are, like the matters of housing supply, demand, and affordability, sources and products of change. Local governments have a responsibility to address them. This responsibility rests in law that has been adopted, including the County's fair housing ordinance, and in the certifications made to HUD for participation in various federal programs.

These certifications require an analysis of impediments to fair housing, and taking actions to overcome these impediments. In 2008, the County contracted with the Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council to prepare a report on fair housing impediments. Some of the report's recommendations are of value in guiding County fair housing actions, although other recommendations address topics that the County has almost no ability to act upon, such as mortgage markets and insurance red-lining.

5. Preservation and Maintenance

The need for preservation and maintenance is a growing issue for the County. As the County has become developed, the national economy has matured, and the realization of a finite resource base has evolved, the illogic of waste and the financial cost of new development have combined to make the need for protecting, enhancing, and rehabilitating existing facilities, infrastructure, and housing self-evident.

6. Special Needs

A principal function of County government is to further the common good by making life feasible for those who need help. Changing populations and economic factors have lead to re-consideration of who is eligible for assistance, as well as the forms of assistance that are most appropriate. The poor house and work farm have evolved into an array of sophisticated interventions, the sufficiency of which generates much public debate.

Two matters are vital to this debate. First is the provision of reliable data. Housing and service needs exist for the frail elderly, those with disabilities, persons with AIDS and the HIV virus, the homeless, the victims of domestic abuse, those with alcohol and drug abuse problems, and others. Developing policy requires that the potential demand for publicly provided housing and services be translated into actual need.

The second is more subtle. In the reality of public decision making, some groups have more expertise and greater sophistication in obtaining support for their objectives. Others do not. It is important that the softer voices are not forgotten.

COUNTY GOVERNMENT'S ROLE

Milwaukee County as a community holds about 1/4 of the State's population, and 1/4 of its wealth base. It's budget approaches the State's in size. Yet the County is comprised of 19 fully incorporated communities, each with its own "home rule" powers. In Wisconsin, an incorporated municipality can do anything not prohibited by law. Counties, on the other hand, exercise only those powers specifically granted by the State. The government of Milwaukee County is the largest general government within the State of Wisconsin. However, the County has little or no involvement in education, protective services (police and fire, excluding the criminal justice/court system), public infrastructure and utilities (with notable exceptions for parks, freeways, airports, and transit), or sanitation. Each of these functions has distinct, and even dramatic, impacts on how people in southeastern Wisconsin live. However, the County has little ability to affect policy in these matters.

On the other hand, Milwaukee County government has attributes that empower it to address certain aspects of change. Although significant change in delivery has occurred in the past decade, social welfare concerns are still a principal function of Wisconsin counties. While the most visible aspects of this function focus on organization and the flow of money for persons in poverty, the County is in a unique position to note the impacts of social

change, especially those occurring on a scale above municipal boundaries. Second, because it is a layer of government that crosses community lines, the County can fulfill a role in coordinating resources and actions to both respond to, and to create, social change. Such coordination need not involve the entire County, nor is County government the only appropriate actor in this capacity. This role does exist, however, and as the cost of maintaining redundant government increases, this role may become more prominent. Third, because of the way some federal programs are structured, the County has access to resources, albeit limited, to address social change. It is appropriate for the County to do so.

I.B Preparation of this Plan

This Consolidated Plan was prepared for Milwaukee County. It covers the 16 suburban communities (all except the Cities of Wauwatosa and West Allis) participating as an "urban county" in the Community Development Block Grant Program, as well as community development efforts taking place within the City of Milwaukee. The Plan also covers the three entitlement jurisdictions (the Cities of Wauwatosa and West Allis, and Milwaukee County representing 16 suburban communities) compromising the HOME Program Consortium.

This Plan was prepared according to the "Citizen Participation Plan for the Consolidated Plan". This document is available from the County's Housing and Community Development Division (call 289-6780).

I.C Organization of this Plan

Funding restrictions and the City of Milwaukee's own planning combine to focus the next two parts of this Plan - the Community Profile/Housing Market Analysis and the Housing and Homeless Needs Assessment - upon the suburban communities of Milwaukee County (the Housing Planning Area, or HPA). The Strategic Plan and the Annual Plan regain a County-wide view and include the City of Milwaukee, as the Plan returns to the six issues that confront Milwaukee County. Other sections address the certifications made to HUD to participate in its programs, the monitoring standards for the Plan's implementation, and public comments received regarding the Plan.

SECTION II: COMMUNITY PROFILE/HOUSING MARKET ANALYSIS

II.A.1 General Characteristics: Demographics, Income, and Population

Almost anything that can be said about population dynamics applies somewhere within the 18 suburban communities comprising the County's Housing Planning Area (HPA). Some areas are growing, while others are loosing people. A few communities have young populations, while other have higher concentrations of the aging. In parts of the HPA, the historical prevalence of nuclear families of two parents and their children is giving way to different households, including those headed by single parents and "other" households of single persons or un-related adults.

Demographic data often show that the City of Milwaukee has the highest absolute numbers of persons in categories that can indicate a need. However, if percentages are examined, municipalities other than Milwaukee can show a higher relative proportion of persons in need. Communities other than Milwaukee have higher percentages of the elderly, non-family households, persons with disabilities (physical, mental, mobility problems), older housing, overcrowded housing, fewer owner occupied housing units, higher vacancy rates (owner and renter occupied), and lower gross median rents.

It is also of note that over the past decade, minority population growth rates were higher in every HPA community than the City of Milwaukee, except one. While the percentage of persons with incomes below poverty level declined in Milwaukee, they grew in 16 HPA communities.

Concentrations of low-income or minority households - defined as 2 times a community's average rate within 1 or more adjacent census tracts - have not been found in the HPA's non-institutionalized population. Low-income and minority households are scattered in the communities.

On Data

There are three caveats regarding data used in this analysis.

First, a wealth of Census data is available on-line at the Census Bureau's American FactFinder web-site, www.factfinder.census.gov. Readers interested in further information or in topics not covered herein are urged to consult it. Readers should also be aware that Census data was collected in 1999-2000, and significant change may have occurred in some categories or communities since then.

Second, in attempting to present data simply, it is organized as follows:

1. Brief summaries are given for the most apparent observations for the data considered. No pretense at completeness is made.

   The data from which these summaries are derived are included as numbered tables. Readers may explore these tables for their own further conclusions. Readers may also derive a portrait of a particular community by following the data for that community through the tables.

2. Data can be important in different ways. Sometimes, a straight lowest-to-highest sort of basic data is meaningful. Often, the percentage of a characteristic can provide a worthwhile description, especially when comparisons between different communities are made. For some purposes, a community's relative position to other communities may be more significant than the actual size of a given characteristic. And finally, an important consideration can be change over time.

   The data tables attempt to incorporate all of these features.

   With some exceptions, data is rated relative to the totals for the Housing Planning Area (HPA) (all County communities except the City of Milwaukee, to meet planning requirements for the federal HOME Investments Partnerships Program), and the County. This allows a quick glimpse of where a community falls in relation to other HPA communities, and the County overall.

   In many cases, data are presented in two tables. One table is a current distribution of data from the 2000

Census. The other incorporates growth rates over the 10 year period between the 1990 Census and 2000. Growth rates can be important indicators of change, but like all data, they must be used with judgment. For example, the growth rate for persons with income below the poverty level for the Village of Bayside between 1990-2000 was 562.3%. This number is of significance to Bayside and how it considers its future. But it should be noted that this growth rate represents an increase of 105 people, which is a fraction of the increase in persons below poverty in the Housing Planning Area (3,193 people), which had a growth rate of 24.8%. Growth rates need to be considered in the proper context.

Third, the 2000 Census did not measure all data the same as it had in previous years.

1. For the first time, the Census gathered detailed information on disabilities. There is no previous data to make comparisons with.

2. The Census no longer equates Hispanic decent with a racial minority. A person can be counted in both Hispanic and black categories, for example. This change in definition requires consideration when comparisons with older Census data are made.

3. Some characteristics included in this Plan were imputed from less than a 100% sample, using statistical methods which suppress data. This is done to protect privacy, among other reasons. While the imputed data are reliable, totals may not directly compare with those from a 100% sample.

Income

Median income is a measure that explains much of what happens in a community. Milwaukee has the lowest median household income in the County. Three municipalities (Milwaukee, West Milwaukee and St. Francis) fall below the County median. Table 1 shows a pattern other data also exhibit. Of the 6 HPA communities with the lowest median incomes, 3 (St. Francis, Cudahy, South Milwaukee) are next to each other in the southeast part of the County. The other 3 (West Milwaukee, West Allis, Greenfield) abut each other in the County's west-central section.

Because living costs are relatively comparable in County communities versus those in other areas, the absolute increase in median income in dollars may be a more useful indicator of change than 10 year growth rates. The data show that in 7 municipalities, the increase was smaller than the County's. The smallest increases were in Brown Deer, St. Francis, and Milwaukee.

All County municipalities have some percentage of its population with incomes below the poverty level. Table 2 shows that Milwaukee has the highest percentage. Six HPA communities have a percentage of population below poverty that exceeds the HPA (South Milwaukee, St. Francis, West Allis, Shorewood, Cudahy and West Milwaukee).

Ten year growth rates show that the number of persons below poverty declined in 2 HPA communities (Brown Deer, Glendale), Milwaukee and the County, while poverty increased in every other community. Recalling that growth rates must be used with judgment, it is of interest that poverty populations more than doubled in Oak Creek, Whitefish Bay, and Bayside in the last 10 years.

Eligibility for HUD programs is often determined by a household's income as a percentage of County median income. Table 3 is sorted by the percentage of households in a community with incomes less than 80% of median, which is the limit for most HUD programs. It is of note that every County community has a population eligible for HUD assistance, ranging from 15.5% (River Hills) to 58.3% (Milwaukee).

Within the Housing Planning Area, 35.4% of all households qualify for assistance. The percentage of eligible household in St. Francis (49.9%) and West Milwaukee (539%) approaches that of Milwaukee (58.3%).

Population

The only change in the order of County communities based upon population size in the past decade has been in Oak Creek overtaking South Milwaukee, which switched positions between 13 and 14.

1 MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Sort: lowest to highest

	2000 Md Inc
1 Milwaukee	32,216
2 West Milwaukee	35,250
3 St Francis	36,721
County	38,100
4 West Allis	39,394
5 Cudahy	40,157
6 South Milwaukee	44,197
7 Greenfield	44,230
8 Shorewood	47,224
9 Brown Deer	50,847
10 Oak Creek	53,779
11 Wauwatosa	54,519
12 Hales Corners	54,536
13 Glendale	55,306
14 Greendale	55,553
15 Franklin	64,315
16 Fox Point	80,572
17 Whitefish Bay	80,755
18 Bayside	88,026
19 River Hills	161,292

INCREASE IN MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
Sort: amount of increase, 1990 - 2000

	1990 Md Inc	2000 Md Inc	10 Year Increase
1 Brown Deer	44,495	50,847	6,352
2 St Francis	29,200	36,721	7,521
3 Milwaukee	23,627	32,216	8,589
4 Greenfield	35,082	44,230	9,148
5 West Allis	29,622	39,394	9,772
6 West Milwaukee	25,395	35,250	9,855
7 Cudahy	30,031	40,157	10,126
County	27,867	38,100	10,233
8 Hales Corners	43,766	54,536	10,770
9 Greendale	44,735	55,553	10,818
10 South Milwaukee	31,998	44,197	12,199
11 Shorewood	34,417	47,224	12,807
12 Oak Creek	39,995	53,779	13,784
13 Wauwatosa	40,041	54,519	14,478
14 Glendale	40,602	55,306	14,704
15 Fox Point	63,035	80,572	17,537
16 Bayside	67,408	88,026	20,618
17 Franklin	43,686	64,315	20,629
18 Whitefish Bay	53,539	80,755	27,216
19 River Hills	110,712	161,292	50,580

2 PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL
Sort: percent of population

	2000 Tot Pop	2000 #<Pov	2000 %<Pov
1 River Hills	1,631	27	1.7%
2 Hales Corners	7,765	154	2.0%
3 Franklin	29,494	734	2.5%
4 Fox Point	7,012	192	2.7%
5 Bayside	4,415	124	2.8%
6 Oak Creek	28,456	868	3.1%
7 Whitefish Bay	14,163	455	3.2%
8 Brown Deer	12,170	418	3.4%
9 Wauwatosa	47,271	1,769	3.7%
10 Glendale	13,367	506	3.8%
11 Greendale	14,405	561	3.9%
12 Greenfield	35,476	1,637	4.6%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	16,087	4.7%
13 South Milwaukee	21,256	1,247	5.9%
14 St Francis	8,662	556	6.4%
15 West Allis	61,254	3,944	6.4%
16 Shorewood	13,763	904	6.6%
17 Cudahy	18,429	1,505	8.2%
18 West Milwaukee	4,201	482	11.5%
County	940,164	139,747	14.9%
19 Milwaukee	596,974	123,660	20.7%

PERSONS BELOW POVERTY LEVEL
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 #<Pov	2000 #<Pov	Change	Growth Rate
1 Brown Deer	551	418	(133)	-24.1%
2 Glendale	578	506	(72)	-12.4%
3 Milwaukee	139,436	123,660	(15,776)	-11.3%
County	152,311	139,747	(12,564)	-8.2%
4 Hales Corners	152	154	2	1.0%
5 Shorewood	889	904	15	1.7%
6 Fox Point	188	192	4	2.0%
7 Wauwatosa	1,629	1,769	140	8.6%
8 West Allis	3,351	3,944	593	17.7%
9 South Milwaukee	1,048	1,247	199	19.0%
10 St Francis	453	556	103	22.7%
Housing Plan Area	12,894	16,087	3,193	24.8%
11 Cudahy	1,176	1,505	329	28.0%
12 Greenfield	1,136	1,637	501	44.1%
13 West Milwaukee	302	482	180	59.6%
14 Franklin	459	734	275	59.9%
15 Greendale	348	561	213	61.2%
16 River Hills	16	27	11	67.5%
17 Oak Creek	429	868	439	102.2%
18 Whitefish Bay	171	455	284	165.7%
19 Bayside	19	124	105	562.3%

3 HOUSEHOLDS BY PERCENTAGE OF COUNTY MEDIAN CATEGORY

Sort: lowest to highest, % households eligible for HUD assistance (income <80% median)

	2000 # HH	2000 <30% Md	2000 30-50% Md	2000 50-80% Md	2000 80%+ Md	2000 <80% Md
1 River Hills	631	36 5.7%	34 5.4%	28 4.4%	533 84.5%	98 15.5%
2 Bayside	1,724	88 5.1%	69 4.0%	134 7.8%	1,433 83.1%	291 16.9%
3 Whitefish Bay	5,440	236 4.3%	243 4.5%	585 10.8%	4,376 80.4%	1,064 19.6%
4 Fox Point	2,744	100 3.6%	177 6.5%	269 9.8%	2,198 80.1%	546 19.9%
5 Franklin	10,632	437 4.1%	645 6.1%	1,318 12.4%	8,232 77.4%	2,400 22.6%
6 Hales Corners	3,239	171 5.3%	293 9.0%	429 13.2%	2,346 72.4%	893 27.6%
7 Oak Creek	11,225	628 5.6%	937 8.3%	1,583 14.1%	8,077 72.0%	3,148 28.0%
8 Wauwatosa	20,329	1,243 6.1%	1,680 8.3%	3,198 15.7%	14,208 69.9%	6,121 30.1%
9 Glendale	5,774	425 7.4%	468 8.1%	914 15.8%	3,967 68.7%	1,807 31.3%
10 Greendale	5,965	461 7.7%	448 7.5%	964 16.2%	4,092 68.6%	1,873 31.4%
11 Brown Deer	5,136	269 5.2%	356 6.9%	1,122 21.8%	3,389 66.0%	1,747 34.0%
12 Shorewood	6,439	660 10.3%	516 8.0%	1,091 16.9%	4,172 64.8%	2,267 35.2%
Housing Plan Area	145,083	11,280 7.8%	13,891 9.6%	26,127 18.0%	93,785 64.6%	51,298 35.4%
13 Greenfield	15,684	1,032 6.6%	1,767 11.3%	3,322 21.2%	9,563 61.0%	6,121 39.0%
14 South Milwaukee	8,639	792 9.2%	882 10.2%	1,760 20.4%	5,205 60.3%	3,434 39.7%
15 Cudahy	7,797	845 10.8%	1,035 13.3%	1,709 21.9%	4,208 54.0%	3,589 46.0%
16 West Allis	27,597	3,027 11.0%	3,492 12.7%	6,260 22.7%	14,818 53.7%	12,779 46.3%
County	377,174	59,728 15.8%	49,068 13.0%	77,868 20.6%	190,511 50.5%	186,664 49.5%
17 St Francis	4,030	494 12.3%	547 13.6%	970 24.1%	2019 50.1%	2,011 49.9%
18 West Milwaukee	2,058	336 16.3%	302 14.7%	471 22.9%	949 46.1%	1,109 53.9%
19 Milwaukee	232,091	48,448 20.9%	35,177 15.2%	51,741 22.3%	96,725 41.7%	135,366 58.3%

Table 4 shows that Milwaukee and 11 suburban communities lost population in the past decade, while 7 gained. However, most of the gain was in 2 municipalities (Franklin, Oak Creek). Four communities (West Milwaukee, Greenfield, Franklin, Oak Creek) had 10 year growth rates faster than that of the HPA. The relative size of the 18 communities comprising the Housing Planning Area population increased form 34.5% to 36.5% of the County total.

Tables 5, 6 and 7 depict minority populations.

Table 5 shows that 34.4% of the County's population are minorities. Milwaukee has the largest number of minorities in all categories. By percentage of population, Milwaukee has the highest percentage of blacks (37.4%). West Milwaukee has the highest percentage of American Indians/Alaskan Natives (1.5%). Shorewood has the highest percentage of Asian/Pacific Islanders (3.2%).

Table 6 describes all minorities within a community, and their change over the past 10 years. In 7 municipalities, the percentage of minorities exceeds the HPA:

HPA	7.2%
Oak Creek	8.0%
Shorewood	8.6%
Franklin	9.2%
Glendale	13.2%
River Hills	14.3%
West Milwaukee	16.4%
Brown Deer	18.0%

Recalling that growth rates must be used with judgment, it is noteworthy that in the past decade, in 17 County communities minority populations grew at a higher rate than they did in the County and in Milwaukee. In 13 communities (and the HPA), the total number of minorities more than doubled.

Hispanics are no longer considered a racial minority. However, Hispanics do constitute a minority and the Census gathers data on them. Table 7 shows that the County has an Hispanic population of 8.8%. Milwaukee has the largest number of Hispanics.

Within the Housing Planning Area, 6 communities exceed the HPA percentage of Hispanics within a municipality:

HPA	3.1%
West Allis	3.5%
Greenfield	3.9%
South Milwaukee	4.0%
Oak Creek	4.5%
St. Francis	4.5%
Cudahy	4.7%
West Milwaukee	12.0%

As with all minorities, growth rates show increases for Hispanics in every County community since 1990. In 9 communities (and the HPA), the number of Hispanics more than doubled.

Table 8 describes how 11 suburban communities have a percentage of elderly people larger than the Housing Planning Area, and 15 HPA communities have percentages larger than the County's. Glendale's elderly percentage (the highest, at 29.4%) approaches twice that of the County's (16.3%).

However, the growth rates of the elderly show decline in 16 of 19 County communities. For example, while Glendale (and other communities) have significant elderly populations, the size of these groups compared to the entire municipality's population is falling. It is noteworthy that the two communities with the highest elderly growth rates (Franklin and Oak Creek) are the two fastest growing communities in the County.

Non-family households are made up of non-married individuals, typically (although not necessarily) with no children present. Such households indicate the presence of higher population densities, shared housing, and

4 POPULATION
Sort: size of community

	2000 Pop	2000 % County
1 River Hills	1,631	0.2%
2 West Milwaukee	4,201	0.4%
3 Bayside	4,415	0.5%
4 Fox Point	7,012	0.7%
5 Hales Corners	7,765	0.8%
6 St Francis	8,662	0.9%
7 Brown Deer	12,170	1.3%
8 Glendale	13,367	1.4%
9 Shorewood	13,763	1.5%
10 Whitefish Bay	14,163	1.5%
11 Greendale	14,405	1.5%
12 Cudahy	18,429	2.0%
13 South Milwaukee	21,256	2.3%
14 Oak Creek	28,456	3.0%
15 Franklin	29,494	3.1%
16 Greenfield	35,476	3.8%
17 Wauwatosa	47,271	5.0%
18 West Allis	61,254	6.5%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	36.5%
19 Milwaukee	596,974	63.5%
County	940,164	100.0%

POPULATION
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	2000 Pop	1990 Pop	Change	Growth Rate
1 St Francis	8,662	9,245	(583)	-6.3%
2 Bayside	4,415	4,681	(266)	-5.7%
3 Glendale	13,367	14,088	(721)	-5.1%
4 Milwaukee	596,974	628,088	(31,114)	-5.0%
5 Greendale	14,405	15,128	(723)	-4.8%
6 Wauwatosa	47,271	49,366	(2,095)	-4.2%
7 Fox Point	7,012	7,238	(226)	-3.1%
8 West Allis	61,254	63,221	(1,967)	-3.1%
9 Shorewood	13,763	14,116	(353)	-2.5%
County	940,164	959,275	(19,111)	-2.0%
10 Cudahy	18,429	18,659	(230)	-1.2%
11 Whitefish Bay	14,163	14,272	(109)	-0.8%
12 Brown Deer	12,170	12,236	(66)	-0.5%
13 River Hills	1,631	1,612	19	1.2%
14 South Milwaukee	21,256	20,958	298	1.4%
15 Hales Corners	7,765	7,623	142	1.9%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	331,187	12,003	3.6%
16 West Milwaukee	4,201	3,973	228	5.7%
17 Greenfield	35,476	33,403	2,073	6.2%
18 Franklin	29,494	21,855	7,639	35.0%
19 Oak Creek	28,456	19,613	8,943	45.8%

5 2000 POPULATION, BY RACE
Sort: community

	Tot Pop	# White	% White	# Black	% Black	# AI/AN	% AI/AN	# A/PI	% A/PI	# Other	% Other	Tot Mnrty	% Mnrty	# Hspnc	% Hspnc
1 Bayside	4,415	4,163	94.3%	123	2.8%	7	0.2%	85	1.9%	37	0.8%	252	5.7%	77	1.7%
2 Brown Deer	12,170	9,984	82.0%	1,522	12.5%	31	0.3%	324	2.7%	309	2.5%	2,186	18.0%	260	2.1%
3 Cudahy	18,429	17,303	93.9%	175	0.9%	150	0.8%	160	0.9%	641	3.5%	1,126	6.1%	872	4.7%
4 Fox Point	7,012	6,700	95.6%	85	1.2%	8	0.1%	151	2.2%	68	1.0%	312	4.4%	74	1.1%
5 Franklin	29,494	26,775	90.8%	1,520	5.2%	106	0.4%	629	2.1%	464	1.6%	2,719	9.2%	780	2.6%
6 Glendale	13,367	11,597	86.8%	1,087	8.1%	31	0.2%	408	3.1%	244	1.8%	1,770	13.2%	236	1.8%
7 Greendale	14,405	13,855	96.2%	41	0.3%	23	0.2%	297	2.1%	189	1.3%	550	3.8%	340	2.4%
8 Greenfield	35,476	33,247	93.7%	348	1.0%	155	0.4%	809	2.3%	917	2.6%	2,229	6.3%	1,376	3.9%
9 Hales Corners	7,765	7,544	97.2%	17	0.2%	38	0.5%	78	1.0%	88	1.1%	221	2.8%	162	2.1%
10 Oak Creek	28,456	26,169	92.0%	519	1.8%	169	0.6%	681	2.4%	918	3.2%	2,287	8.0%	1,267	4.5%
11 River Hills	1,631	1,398	85.7%	80	4.9%	2	0.1%	123	7.5%	28	1.7%	233	14.3%	34	2.1%
12 St Francis	8,662	8,122	93.8%	84	1.0%	76	0.9%	93	1.1%	287	3.3%	540	6.2%	392	4.5%
13 Shorewood	13,763	12,584	91.4%	332	2.4%	32	0.2%	444	3.2%	371	2.7%	1,179	8.6%	345	2.5%
14 South Milwaukee	21,256	20,153	94.8%	222	1.0%	123	0.6%	156	0.7%	602	2.8%	1,103	5.2%	852	4.0%
15 Wauwatosa	47,271	44,422	94.0%	965	2.0%	128	0.3%	949	2.0%	807	1.7%	2,849	6.0%	813	1.7%
16 West Allis	61,254	57,600	94.0%	818	1.3%	428	0.7%	824	1.3%	1,584	2.6%	3,654	6.0%	2,155	3.5%
17 West Milwaukee	4,201	3,511	83.6%	147	3.5%	65	1.5%	110	2.6%	368	8.8%	690	16.4%	504	12.0%
18 Whitefish Bay	14,163	13,467	95.1%	139	1.0%	10	0.1%	374	2.6%	173	1.2%	696	4.9%	221	1.6%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	318,594	92.8%	8,224	2.4%	1,582	0.5%	6,695	2.0%	8,095	2.4%	24,596	7.2%	10,760	3.1%
19 Milwaukee	596,974	298,379	50.0%	222,933	37.3%	5,212	0.9%	17,872	3.0%	52,578	8.8%	298,595	50.0%	71,646	12.0%
County	940,164	616,973	65.6%	231,157	24.6%	6,794	0.7%	24,567	2.6%	60,673	6.5%	323,191	34.4%	82,406	8.8%

6 MINORITY POPULATIONS (ALL)
Sort: percent of population

	2000 Tot Pop	2000 # Mnrty	2000 % Mnrty
1 Hales Corners	7,765	221	2.8%
2 Greendale	14,405	550	3.8%
3 Fox Point	7,012	312	4.4%
4 Whitefish Bay	14,163	696	4.9%
5 South Milwaukee	21,256	1,103	5.2%
6 Bayside	4,415	252	5.7%
7 West Allis	61,254	3,654	6.0%
8 Wauwatosa	47,271	2,849	6.0%
9 Cudahy	18,429	1,126	6.1%
10 St Francis	8,662	540	6.2%
11 Greenfield	35,476	2,229	6.3%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	24,596	7.2%
12 Oak Creek	28,456	2,287	8.0%
13 Shorewood	13,763	1,179	8.6%
14 Franklin	29,494	2,719	9.2%
15 Glendale	13,367	1,770	13.2%
16 River Hills	1,631	233	14.3%
17 West Milwaukee	4,201	690	16.4%
18 Brown Deer	12,170	2,186	18.0%
County	940,164	323,191	34.4%
19 Milwaukee	596,974	298,595	50.0%

MINORITY POPULATIONS (ALL)
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Mnrty	2000 # Mnrty	Change	Growth Rate
1 Bayside	198	252	54	27.3%
2 Milwaukee	230,055	298,595	68,540	29.8%
County	240,778	323,191	82,413	34.2%
3 River Hills	155	233	78	50.3%
4 Greendale	351	550	199	56.7%
5 Glendale	1,127	1,770	643	57.1%
6 Hales Corners	121	221	100	82.6%
7 Brown Deer	1,077	2,186	1,109	103.0%
8 Fox Point	147	312	165	112.2%
9 St Francis	251	540	289	115.1%
10 Wauwatosa	1,324	2,849	1,525	115.2%
11 Whitefish Bay	318	696	378	118.9%
12 Shorewood	531	1,179	648	122.0%
13 Franklin	1,180	2,719	1,539	130.4%
Housing Plan Area	10,267	24,596	14,329	139.6%
14 Cudahy	415	1,126	711	171.3%
15 South Milwaukee	403	1,103	700	173.7%
16 Greenfield	798	2,229	1,431	179.3%
17 West Allis	1,120	3,654	2,534	226.3%
18 Oak Creek	606	2,287	1,681	277.4%
19 West Milwaukee	180	690	510	283.3%

7 HISPANIC POPULATION
Sort: percent of population

	2000 Tot Pop	2000 # Hsp	2000 % Hsp
1 Fox Point	7,012	74	1.1%
2 Whitefish Bay	14,163	221	1.6%
3 Wauwatosa	47,271	813	1.7%
4 Bayside	4,415	77	1.7%
5 Glendale	13,367	236	1.8%
6 River Hills	1,631	34	2.1%
7 Hales Corners	7,765	162	2.1%
8 Brown Deer	12,170	260	2.1%
9 Greendale	14,405	340	2.4%
10 Shorewood	13,763	345	2.5%
11 Franklin	29,494	780	2.6%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	10,760	3.1%
12 West Allis	61,254	2,155	3.5%
13 Greenfield	35,476	1,376	3.9%
14 South Milwaukee	21,256	852	4.0%
15 Oak Creek	28,456	1,267	4.5%
16 St Francis	8,662	392	4.5%
17 Cudahy	18,429	872	4.7%
County	940,164	82,406	8.8%
18 West Milwaukee	4,201	504	12.0%
19 Milwaukee	596,974	71,646	12.0%

HISPANIC POPULATION
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Hsp	2000 # Hsp	Change	Growth Rate
1 Whitefish Bay	168	221	53	31.5%
2 Fox Point	52	74	22	42.3%
3 Shorewood	231	345	114	49.4%
4 Bayside	50	77	27	54.0%
5 Wauwatosa	490	813	323	65.9%
6 Brown Deer	145	260	115	79.3%
7 Milwaukee	39,409	71,646	32,237	81.8%
County	44,671	82,406	37,735	84.5%
8 St Francis	212	392	180	84.9%
9 Greendale	178	340	162	91.0%
10 Glendale	121	236	115	95.0%
11 Oak Creek	626	1,267	641	102.4%
Housing Plan Area	5,262	10,760	5,498	104.5%
12 Greenfield	667	1,376	709	106.3%
13 Cudahy	409	872	463	113.2%
14 South Milwaukee	393	852	459	116.8%
15 Franklin	357	780	423	118.5%
16 Hales Corners	74	162	88	118.9%
17 River Hills	15	34	19	126.7%
18 West Allis	919	2,155	1,236	134.5%
19 West Milwaukee	155	504	349	225.2%

8 ELDERLY (60 YEARS+)
Sort: percent of population

	2000 Tot pop	2000 # 60+	2000 % 60+
1 Oak Creek	28,456	3,491	12.3%
2 Franklin	29,494	4,032	13.7%
3 Milwaukee	596,974	82,961	13.9%
4 Whitefish Bay	14,163	2,151	15.2%
County	940,164	153,189	16.3%
5 West Milwaukee	4,201	729	17.4%
6 Shorewood	13,763	2,463	17.9%
7 Cudahy	18,429	3,698	20.1%
8 South Milwaukee	21,256	4,347	20.5%
Housing Plan Area	343,190	70,228	20.5%
9 West Allis	61,254	12,700	20.7%
10 River Hills	1,631	348	21.3%
11 St Francis	8,662	1,867	21.6%
12 Wauwatosa	47,271	10,238	21.7%
13 Hales Corners	7,765	1,749	22.5%
14 Brown Deer	12,170	2,891	23.8%
15 Fox Point	7,012	1,739	24.8%
16 Greenfield	35,476	8,893	25.1%
17 Greendale	14,405	3,787	26.3%
18 Bayside	4,415	1,172	26.5%
19 Glendale	13,367	3,933	29.4%

ELDERLY (60 YEARS+)
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # 60+	2000 # 60+	Change	Growth Rate
1 Shorewood	4,690	2,463	(2,227)	-47.5%
2 Wauwatosa	18,941	10,238	(8,703)	-45.9%
3 Milwaukee	147,072	82,961	(64,111)	-43.6%
4 Whitefish Bay	3,771	2,151	(1,620)	-43.0%
5 Glendale	6,558	3,933	(2,625)	-40.0%
6 West Allis	20,905	12,700	(8,205)	-39.2%
7 West Milwaukee	1,187	729	(458)	-38.6%
County	245,720	153,189	(92,531)	-37.7%
8 Fox Point	2,534	1,739	(795)	-31.4%
Housing Plan Area	98,648	70,228	(28,420)	-28.8%
9 Bayside	1,580	1,172	(408)	-25.8%
10 St Francis	2,494	1,867	(627)	-25.1%
11 South Milwaukee	5,720	4,347	(1,373)	-24.0%
12 Cudahy	4,633	3,698	(935)	-20.2%
13 Hales Corners	2,121	1,749	(372)	-17.5%
14 Greenfield	10,679	8,893	(1,786)	-16.7%
15 River Hills	385	348	(37)	-9.6%
16 Brown Deer	2,960	2,891	(69)	-2.3%
17 Greendale	3,462	3,787	325	9.4%
18 Oak Creek	3,026	3,491	465	15.4%
19 Franklin	3,002	4,032	1,030	34.3%

9 NON-FAMILY HOUSEHOLDS
Sort: percent of households

	2000 # HH	2000 # Nonfam	2000 % Nonfam
1 River Hills	590	96	16.3%
2 Bayside	1,732	437	25.2%
3 Whitefish Bay	5,457	1,438	26.4%
4 Franklin	10,602	2,902	27.4%
5 Fox Point	2,825	835	29.6%
6 Greendale	6,011	1,803	30.0%
7 Oak Creek	11,239	3,714	33.0%
8 Hales Corners	3,260	1,137	34.9%
9 South Milwaukee	8,694	3,074	35.4%
10 Brown Deer	5,134	1,885	36.7%
Housing Plan Area	145,542	55,684	37.5%
11 Cudahy	7,889	2,994	38.0%
12 Glendale	5,772	2,255	39.1%
13 Wauwatosa	20,388	8,074	39.6%
County	377,730	152,683	40.1%
14 Greenfield	15,697	6,534	41.6%
15 Milwaukee	232,188	96,999	41.8%
16 West Allis	27,604	12,237	44.3%
17 St Francis	4,050	1,891	46.7%
18 Shorewood	6,539	3,211	49.1%
19 West Milwaukee	2,059	1,167	56.7%

NON-FAMILY HOUSEHOLDS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Nonfam	2000 # Nonfam	Change	Growth Rate
1 Shorewood	3,115	3,211	96	3.1%
2 Milwaukee	91,001	96,999	5,998	6.6%
3 Whitefish Bay	1,295	1,438	143	11.0%
4 River Hills	85	96	11	12.9%
5 West Milwaukee	1,027	1,167	140	13.6%
County	133,759	152,683	18,924	14.1%
6 Fox Point	684	835	151	22.1%
7 Wauwatosa	6,579	8,074	1,495	22.7%
8 West Allis	9,966	12,237	2,271	22.8%
9 St Francis	1,508	1,891	383	25.4%
10 South Milwaukee	2,424	3,074	650	26.8%
Housing Plan Area	42,559	55,684	13,125	30.8%
11 Cudahy	2,327	2,994	667	28.7%
12 Brown Deer	1,434	1,885	451	31.5%
13 Glendale	1,701	2,255	554	32.6%
14 Hales Corners	846	1,137	291	34.4%
15 Greenfield	4,785	6,534	1,749	36.6%
16 Greendale	1,219	1,803	584	47.9%
17 Bayside	285	437	152	53.3%
18 Franklin	1,691	2,902	1,211	71.6%
19 Oak Creek	1,787	3,714	1,927	107.8%

10 FEMALE HEADED HOUSEHOLDS

Sort: percent of households

	2000 # HH	2000 # FHH	2000 % FHH
1 River Hills	590	22	3.7%
2 Bayside	1,732	94	5.4%
3 Fox Point	2,825	171	6.1%
4 Franklin	10,602	705	6.6%
5 Whitefish Bay	5,457	380	7.0%
6 Oak Creek	11,239	798	7.1%
7 Brown Deer	5,134	399	7.8%
8 Shorewood	6,539	516	7.9%
9 Wauwatosa	20,388	1,612	7.9%
10 Greenfield	15,697	1,258	8.0%
11 Glendale	5,772	476	8.2%
12 Hales Corners	3,260	278	8.5%
Housing Plan Area	145,542	12,579	8.6%
13 St Francis	4,050	353	8.7%
14 Greendale	6,011	527	8.8%
15 West Milwaukee	2,059	217	10.5%
16 West Allis	27,604	2,928	10.6%
17 South Milwaukee	8,694	930	10.7%
18 Cudahy	7,889	915	11.6%
County	377,730	61,497	16.3%
19 Milwaukee	232,188	48,918	21.1%

FEMALE HEADED HOUSEHOLDS

Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # FHH	2000 # FHH	Change	Growth Rate
1 River Hills	37	22	(15)	-40.5%
2 Whitefish Bay	427	380	(47)	-11.0%
3 Shorewood	536	516	(20)	-3.7%
4 St Francis	345	353	8	2.3%
5 Milwaukee	47,649	48,918	1,269	2.7%
6 Bayside	91	94	3	3.3%
County	58,778	61,497	2,719	4.6%
7 Wauwatosa	1,534	1,612	78	5.1%
8 Glendale	451	476	25	5.5%
9 Fox Point	159	171	12	7.5%
10 Cudahy	846	915	69	8.2%
11 South Milwaukee	851	930	79	9.3%
12 West Allis	2,678	2,928	250	9.3%
13 West Milwaukee	192	217	25	13.0%
Housing Plan Area	11,129	12,579	1,450	13.0%
14 Greenfield	1,101	1,258	157	14.3%
15 Greendale	437	527	90	20.6%
16 Brown Deer	305	399	94	30.8%
17 Hales Corners	205	278	73	35.6%
18 Oak Creek	497	798	301	60.6%
19 Franklin	437	705	268	61.3%

11 PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

Sort: % of total population with disabilites, lowest to highest

	2000 Tot pop	% with Disab	# with Disab	Physical Disab % Pop	Physical Disab # Prsns	Mental Disab % Pop	Mental Disab # Prsns	Other Disab % Pop	Other Disab # Prsns	Mobility Problems % Pop	Mobility Problems # Prsns
1 River Hills	1,631	13.2%	215	3.1%	51	2.3%	37	7.8%	127	6.3%	102
2 Whitefish Bay	14,163	13.4%	1,896	2.8%	394	1.9%	273	8.7%	1,229	4.7%	660
3 Bayside	4,415	15.9%	702	2.9%	130	2.0%	90	10.9%	482	4.2%	185
4 Franklin	29,494	19.5%	5,754	4.1%	1,224	2.7%	795	12.7%	3,735	9.6%	2,841
5 Fox Point	7,012	20.6%	1,446	6.0%	424	3.0%	210	11.6%	812	2.7%	190
6 Oak Creek	28,456	20.6%	5,871	6.1%	1,731	2.6%	740	11.9%	3,400	4.2%	1,208
7 Wauwatosa	47,271	20.7%	9,808	5.3%	2,496	2.7%	1,260	12.8%	6,052	4.3%	2,050
8 Brown Deer	13,170	23.7%	2,880	6.2%	751	3.3%	400	14.2%	1,729	4.7%	573
9 South Milwaukee	21,256	24.0%	5,091	6.7%	1,432	2.8%	593	14.4%	3,066	3.9%	822
10 Greendale	14,405	24.3%	3,500	6.9%	987	3.7%	530	13.8%	1,983	4.6%	666
11 Shorewood	13,763	24.3%	3,350	6.1%	834	4.6%	633	13.7%	1,883	5.3%	730
Housing Plan Area	343,190	25.1%	86,000	9.1%	31,396	3.8%	12,880	12.2%	41,724	5.1%	17,610
12 Hales Corners	7,765	25.2%	1,957	6.7%	524	3.1%	243	15.3%	1,190	3.7%	286
13 Glendale	13,367	28.8%	3,846	7.4%	994	4.4%	585	17.0%	2,267	5.4%	719
14 West Allis	61,354	29.2%	17,912	7.4%	4,559	4.2%	2,554	17.6%	10,799	4.4%	2,687
15 Greenfield	35,476	30.4%	10,768	7.9%	2,809	3.8%	1,351	18.6%	6,608	6.1%	2,180
County	940,164	32.1%	301,654	8.4%	78,970	4.8%	45,580	18.8%	177,104	7.2%	67,828
16 St Francis	8,662	33.3%	2,882	10.5%	909	4.1%	357	18.7%	1,616	5.8%	499
17 Cudahy	18,429	34.9%	6,431	9.0%	1,651	5.5%	1,009	20.5%	3,771	4.7%	867
18 Milwaukee	596,974	36.1%	215,654	8.0%	47,574	5.5%	32,700	22.7%	135,380	8.4%	50,218
19 West Milwaukee	4,201	40.3%	1,691	10.9%	460	6.9%	291	22.4%	940	8.2%	345

possible over-crowding. Table 9 shows that non-family households comprise almost half (49.1%) of Shorewood's, and over half (56.7%) of West Milwaukee's populations.

Growth rates show that non-family households have increased in every County community between 1990-2000, although the rates range from a low of 3.1% (Shorewood) to 107.8% (Oak Creek).

Female-headed households are usually made up of a single mother and children. Table 10 demonstrates that all Housing Planning Area communities show lower percentages of female-headed households than the County overall.

However. growth rates for female-headed households in 13 County communities exceeds the County's. The highest rates are in the two fastest growing communities, Oak Creek and Franklin.

For the first time, the 2000 Census gathered detailed data on persons with disabilities. Some of these data are considered in Table 11. As in many categories, Milwaukee has the highest number of people reporting a disability of some type. However, in comparing sizes of municipal populations, West Milwaukee (at 40.3%) has a higher percentage of persons with disabilities within its borders than does Milwaukee (36.1%). Seven suburban communities have percentages higher than that of the Housing Planning Area.

The data is ranked by all disabilities, but sorts could by done based upon physical, mental, other disabilities, and mobility problems. These sorts would show that the County communities with the highest percentages of the respective populations are:

Physical disability	West Milwaukee	10.9%
Mental disability	West Milwaukee	6.9%
Other disability	Milwaukee	22.7%
Mobility problems	Franklin	9.6%

II.A.2 General Characteristics: Market Conditions and Inventory

Describing housing conditions in an area as varied as the Housing Planning Area (HPA) is difficult. The HPA does not suffer from the extensive blight or abandonment found in some large cities, nor has it generally witnessed the unbridled growth that threatens municipal services or the environment. However, it is wrong to presume that there are no examples of disinvestment, neighborhood deterioration, overwhelmed facilities, or aging infrastructure in the HPA. Almost every urban ill - and delight - can be found somewhere.

Because of the variety found in the HPA, there is a temptation to simplify by eliminating from consideration certain communities popularly perceived to be well off. This is a mistake. County home rehabilitation and rent assistance programs, which provide benefits based upon income tests, are in demand in every County municipality. All HPA communities have a population in need that qualifies for assistance.

General observations based on census data, County and municipal staff experience, and citizen comments provide some insight into existing conditions:

1. Most HPA growth has concentrated in the southern communities, reflecting the availability of land. Older, fully developed communities have little opportunity to build more housing of any type. Although the clearance of obsolete land uses and new construction or conversion are possible in built-up communities, environmental reclamation costs can be prohibitive in practice.

2. There has been economic disinvestment in the southeast communities, echoed to a lesser extent in parts of at least one northern and some western suburbs. "Disinvestment" here indicates code violations and deferred maintenance more than extensive blight or abandonment. Correcting these deficiencies, and thus preventing further deterioration, is still possible and of major concern. These communities have some of the highest percentages of rental housing, and lowest median rents in the HPA.

3. The northeastern municipalities' housing costs remain the highest in the County, although costs are increasing at a faster rate in other HPA municipalities. There is concern that HUD Fair Market Rent levels are not keeping pace with some local rental markets. Thirteen communities have a median rent that

exceeds the published Fair Market Rent. This impacts upon the ability of rent assistance recipients to find housing in these communities.

4. The HPA housing market in general demonstrates a tightening of availability. Traditionally the County has seen "hot spots" of demand balanced by areas where a unit's sale or rental could take up to twice as long to transact. There are both anecdotal reports and census data that indicate increasing difficulty in finding affordable units. There is also some data that suggest increased "doubling up", adult children living with parents, and rent sharing by room-mates.

5. The traditional census indicators of housing conditions (lack of complete plumbing, lack of complete kitchen facilities and overcrowding) have low rates in the HPA.

6. Most of the new housing produced in the greater Milwaukee area, including almost all of the "premier" or high cost units, is no longer built in Milwaukee County. Most of the County's new housing is intended for middle-income households. The diminishing supply of land is driving prices up.

Also of particular concern to the County is the availability of transit services to persons occupying housing addressed by this HPA. Inappropriately located housing may be affordable, but if it isolates people and prevents them from obtaining employment or needed services, it is not in their interest to live there. It cannot be assumed that affordable housing located without regard to transit will be served by extending existing transit regardless of cost.

2000 Census data on housing conditions for the HPA is summarized in a series of tables that follow. Except for unit characteristics of condition, owned and rented units are considered separately.

Unit Characteristics

In comparing Table 12 to 1990 Census data, the relative order of communities by number of housing units remained constant in the past decade for the 8 smallest and the 4 largest municipalities in the County. There was change in position for the other 7. Such a comparison shows that the number of units in the 18 communities comprising the Housing Planning Area has increased from 34.9% to 37.7% of the County total.

Three communities (Greenfield, Franklin, Oak Creek) had 10 year growth rates above that of the HPA. Consistent with other indicators, the highest growth in units was in Franklin and Oak Creek. Three communities lost units (Milwaukee, Fox Point, Shorewood), with Milwaukee's loss of 4,979 units being off-set by the HPA's gain of 14,357 units, yielding the County gain of 9,378 units.

With the adoption of lead paint controls in 1976, Units Built before 1980 can serve both as a rough indicator of the percentage of units within a community that may have lead paint hazards, as well as a general need for housing rehabilitation. Table 13 demonstrates, as would be expected, that newer communities have smaller percentages of older housing. It is of interest, however, that every municipality has a significant percentage of housing built before 1980, ranging from Franklin (45.9%) to Whitefish Bay (98.7%).

Over-crowded housing is defined as more than 1.01 persons per room. Over-crowding is usually interpreted as a need for more units. In the HPA, 8 communities exceed the Area's percentage, as described on Table 14. West Milwaukee has the highest percentage of over-crowded units in the County (5.8%).

While recalling that rates must be used with judgment, growth rates show that the number of over-crowded units decreased in 4 County communities between 1990-2000 (River Hills, Glendale, Fox Point, South Milwaukee). It is of note that over-crowded housing more than doubled in Shorewood, Brown Deer and West Milwaukee in this period.

A traditional indicator of housing condition is the lack of complete plumbing within a unit. The data on Table 15 show that 6 communities exceed the HPA percentage of 0.4%. Two cities exceed the County-wide percentage of .8% (Cudahy, 1.0%; Milwaukee, 1.1%).

Rates of growth show that for the County overall, there has been a substantial decrease in units lacking complete plumbing (-36.2%). However, keeping in mind that actual numbers may be relatively small, in 6 HPA communities

units lacking complete plumbing more than doubled in the last 10 years (Fox Point, Greendale, Hales Corners, Wauwatosa, Greenfield, South Milwaukee).

As Table 16 depicts, 5 HPA communities have a higher percentage of units without a compete kitchen than the Area itself. Three municipalities equal or exceed the County-wide percentage of 1.4% (Cudahy, West Milwaukee, Milwaukee).

Unlike units lacking plumbing, there has been notable growth in units without a complete kitchen in the County (27.2%). In 8 HPA communities, the number of such units more than doubled.

Owner Occupied Housing

Tables 17-19 explore owner occupied housing units.

Homeownership is a key municipal indicator. Homeownership varies from 33.2% (West Milwaukee, the lowest rate in the County) to 90.1% (River Hills).

When considering change between 1990 - 2000, 3 HPA communities (St. Francis, Bayside, Fox Point) and Milwaukee lost owner-occupied units. Franklin and Oak Creek had substantial increases in the same. A comparison of the HPA increase in units with Milwaukee's loss of units yields the County's total gain:

HPA	6,978
Milwaukee	(2,447)
County	4,531

The sort in Table 18 shows that 4 communities (Milwaukee, West Milwaukee, St. Francis, West Allis) have median values for owner occupied housing below that of the County.

It is noteworthy that 5 north shore municipalities (Shorewood, Whitefish Bay, Fox Point, Bayside, River Hills) retain their positions as having the highest median values in the County. However, in looking at 10 year growth rates, 4 of these 5 had some of the lowest increases in median value. The percentage growth in median value rose most in the southeast (South Milwaukee, St. Francis, Cudahy, Oak Creek) and near west (West Milwaukee, West Allis) suburbs.

Table 19 demonstrates that the percentage of vacant owned units ranges from .4% (Whitefish Bay) to 1.80% (River Hills), with 8 communities falling below the HPA value of .8%.

Rates of growth show that two HPA communities have fewer vacant owned units now than they did 10 years ago (West Milwaukee and Whitefish Bay), while the percentage of vacant owned units more than doubled in 7 HPA municipalities.

Renter Occupied Housing

Tables 20-22 consider rental housing.

As would be expected, the percentage of rental units in a community is almost a mirror image of the percentage of owned units. River Hills has the smallest (5.5%), and West Milwaukee the highest (60.5%).

It is of interest that several County communities exhibited substantial change in rental units between 1990-2000. Milwaukee lost the highest number (5,905) and had the lowest negative growth rate (-4.4%), while Oak Creek showed the highest rate of growth at 81.0%, with an actual increase of 1,965 units.

As with owner occupied units, a loss of Milwaukee rental units was largely off-set by increase in HPA units, to yield the County overall gain:

HPA	6,056
Milwaukee	(5,905)

12 HOUSING UNITS
Sort: number of units
(Includes unoccupied units)

	2000 Units	2000 % County
1 River Hills	617	0.2%
2 Bayside	1,795	0.4%
3 West Milwaukee	2,197	0.5%
4 Fox Point	2,910	0.7%
5 Hales Corners	3,377	0.8%
6 St Francis	4,193	1.0%
7 Brown Deer	5,335	1.3%
8 Whitefish Bay	5,553	1.4%
9 Glendale	5,974	1.5%
10 Greendale	6,165	1.5%
11 Shorewood	6,696	1.7%
12 Cudahy	8,273	2.1%
13 South Milwaukee	9,122	2.3%
14 Franklin	10,936	2.7%
15 Oak Creek	11,897	3.0%
16 Greenfield	16,203	4.0%
17 Wauwatosa	20,917	5.2%
18 West Allis	28,708	7.2%
Housing Plan Area	150,868	37.7%
19 Milwaukee	249,225	62.3%
County	400,093	100.0%

13 UNITS BUILT BEFORE 1980
Sort: percent of units

	2000 Units	2000 #<1980	2000 %<1980
1 Franklin	10,936	5,004	45.8%
2 Oak Creek	11,897	5,452	45.8%
3 Greenfield	16,203	11,411	70.4%
4 Hales Corners	3,377	2,521	74.7%
Housing Plan Area	150,868	121,288	80.4%
5 Glendale	5,974	4,825	80.8%
6 South Milwaukee	9,122	7,399	81.1%
7 Brown Deer	5,335	4,354	81.6%
8 River Hills	617	505	81.8%
9 Bayside	1,795	1,479	82.4%
10 Greendale	6,165	5,115	83.0%
11 West Milwaukee	2,197	1,887	85.9%
12 Cudahy	8,273	7,146	86.4%
13 St Francis	4,193	3,636	86.7%
County	400,093	353,809	88.4%
14 West Allis	28,708	26,339	91.7%
15 Milwaukee	249,225	232,521	93.3%
16 Wauwatosa	20,917	19,559	93.5%
17 Shorewood	6,696	6,366	95.1%
18 Fox Point	2,910	2,808	96.5%
19 Whitefish Bay	5,553	5,482	98.7%

HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000
(Includes unoccupied units)

	1990 Units	2000 Units	Change	Growth Rate
1 Milwaukee	254,204	249,225	(4,979)	-2.0%
2 Fox Point	2,948	2,910	(38)	-1.3%
3 Shorewood	6,701	6,696	(5)	-0.1%
4 Whitefish Bay	5,546	5,553	7	0.1%
County	390,715	400,093	9,378	2.4%
5 Wauwatosa	20,289	20,917	628	3.1%
6 Glendale	5,784	5,974	190	3.3%
7 West Allis	27,502	28,708	1,206	4.4%
8 Brown Deer	5,070	5,335	265	5.2%
9 Hales Corners	3,207	3,377	170	5.3%
10 St Francis	3,980	4,193	213	5.4%
11 Bayside	1,700	1,795	95	5.6%
12 River Hills	583	617	34	5.8%
13 West Milwaukee	2,069	2,197	128	6.2%
14 Greendale	5,745	6,165	420	7.3%
15 South Milwaukee	8,428	9,122	694	8.2%
16 Cudahy	7,642	8,273	631	8.3%
Housing Plan Area	136,511	150,868	14,357	10.5%
17 Greenfield	14,301	16,203	1,902	13.3%
18 Franklin	7,753	10,936	3,183	41.1%
19 Oak Creek	7,263	11,897	4,634	63.8%

14 OVER-CROWDED HOUSING UNITS (1.01+ PERSONS/ROOM)
Sort: percent of units

	2000 Units	2000 # Ovr	2000 % Ovr
1 River Hills	617	0	0.0%
2 Fox Point	2,910	8	0.3%
3 Glendale	5,974	22	0.4%
4 Whitefish Bay	5,553	35	0.6%
5 Wauwatosa	20,917	141	0.7%
6 Bayside	1,795	13	0.7%
7 Hales Corners	3,377	27	0.8%
8 Greendale	6,165	59	1.0%
9 Franklin	10,936	124	1.1%
10 West Allis	28,708	381	1.3%
Housing Plan Area	150,868	2,003	1.3%
11 South Milwaukee	9,122	130	1.4%
12 Oak Creek	11,897	175	1.5%
13 Shorewood	6,696	99	1.5%
14 Greenfield	16,203	248	1.5%
15 Cudahy	8,273	186	2.2%
16 Brown Deer	5,335	121	2.3%
17 St Francis	4,193	107	2.6%
County	400,093	15,792	3.9%
18 Milwaukee	249,225	13,789	5.5%
19 West Milwaukee	2,197	127	5.8%

OVER-CROWDED HOUSING UNITS (1.01+ PERSONS/ROOM)
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Ovr	2000 # Ovr	Change	Growth Rate
1 River Hills	3	0	(3)	-100.0%
2 Glendale	43	22	(21)	-48.8%
3 Fox Point	15	8	(7)	-46.7%
4 South Milwaukee	139	130	(9)	-6.5%
5 West Allis	338	381	43	12.7%
6 Bayside	10	13	3	30.0%
7 Milwaukee	10,556	13,789	3,233	30.6%
County	11,921	15,792	3,871	32.5%
8 Whitefish Bay	26	35	9	34.6%
9 Hales Corners	20	27	7	35.0%
10 Cudahy	132	186	54	40.9%
11 Oak Creek	123	175	52	42.3%
12 Wauwatosa	97	141	44	45.4%
Housing Plan Area	1,365	2,003	638	46.7%
13 Greendale	40	59	19	47.5%
14 Franklin	83	124	41	49.4%
15 Greenfield	138	248	110	79.7%
16 St Francis	59	107	48	81.4%
17 Shorewood	35	99	64	182.9%
18 Brown Deer	36	121	85	236.1%
19 West Milwaukee	28	127	99	353.6%

15 UNITS LACKING COMPLETE PLUMBING
Sort: percent of units

	2000 Units	2000 # Lk Plb	2000 % Lk Plb
1 West Milwaukee	2,197	0	0.0%
2 St Francis	4,193	0	0.0%
3 Greendale	6,165	7	0.1%
4 Brown Deer	5,335	7	0.1%
5 Franklin	10,936	15	0.1%
6 Hales Corners	3,377	6	0.2%
7 Glendale	5,974	12	0.2%
8 Fox Point	2,910	6	0.2%
9 Whitefish Bay	5,553	13	0.2%
10 Shorewood	6,696	21	0.3%
11 Oak Creek	11,897	38	0.3%
12 Greenfield	16,203	55	0.3%
Housing Plan Area	150,868	647	0.4%
13 River Hills	617	3	0.5%
14 South Milwaukee	9,122	47	0.5%
15 West Allis	28,708	175	0.6%
16 Bayside	1,795	11	0.6%
17 Wauwatosa	20,917	145	0.7%
County	400,093	3,264	0.8%
18 Cudahy	8,273	86	1.0%
19 Milwaukee	249,225	2,617	1.1%

UNITS LACKING COMPLETE PLUMBING
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Lk Plb	2000 # Lk Plb	Change	Growth Rate
1 St Francis	13	0	(13)	-100.0%
2 West Milwaukee	12	0	(12)	-100.0%
3 Shorewood	51	21	(30)	-58.5%
4 Brown Deer	15	7	(8)	-54.0%
				-53.3%
County	5,113	3,264	(1,849)	-36.2%
5 Franklin	23	15	(8)	-35.5%
6 Glendale	17	12	(5)	-30.8%
7 Whitefish Bay	17	13	(4)	-21.9%
8 River Hills	3	3	0	0.0%
9 Cudahy	69	86	17	25.0%
10 West Allis	138	175	37	27.3%
11 Milwaukee	2,034	2,617	583	28.7%
Housing Plan Area	453	647	194	42.7%
12 Bayside	7	11	4	61.8%
13 Oak Creek	22	38	16	74.4%
14 Fox Point	0	6	6	100.0%
15 Greendale	0	7	7	100.0%
16 Hales Corners	0	6	6	100.0%
17 Wauwatosa	41	145	104	257.3%
18 Greenfield	14	55	41	284.5%
19 South Milwaukee	12	47	35	293.6%

16 UNITS LACKING COMPLETE KITCHEN
Sort: percent of units

	2000 Units	2000 # Lk Ktn	2000 % Lk Ktn
1 Brown Deer	5,335	0	0.0%
2 Fox Point	2,910	0	0.0%
3 Whitefish Bay	5,553	10	0.2%
4 Shorewood	4,193	8	0.2%
5 Glendale	5,974	14	0.2%
6 South Milwaukee	6,696	19	0.3%
7 Franklin	10,936	34	0.3%
8 Oak Creek	11,897	38	0.3%
9 Greendale	6,165	21	0.3%
10 Hales Corners	3,377	12	0.4%
11 Greenfield	16,203	67	0.4%
12 Bayside	1,795	10	0.6%
13 St Francis	9,122	56	0.6%
Housing Plan Area	150,868	1,123	0.7%
14 West Milwaukee	2,197	17	0.8%
15 River Hills	617	5	0.8%
16 Wauwatosa	20,917	280	1.3%
County	400,093	5,463	1.4%
17 Cudahy	8,273	119	1.4%
18 West Allis	28,708	413	1.4%
19 Milwaukee	249,225	4,340	1.7%

UNITS LACKING COMPLETE KITCHEN
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Lk Ktn	2000 # Lk Ktn	Change	Growth Rate
1 South Milwaukee	110	19	(91)	-82.7%
2 Whitefish Bay	28	10	(18)	-63.9%
3 Shorewood	13	8	(5)	-40.3%
4 Glendale	17	14	(3)	-19.3%
5 Cudahy	138	119	(19)	-13.5%
6 Brown Deer	0	0	0	0.0%
7 Fox Point	0	0	0	0.0%
8 Milwaukee	3,559	4,340	781	21.9%
County	4,295	5,463	1,168	27.2%
9 Franklin	23	34	11	46.2%
10 West Allis	275	413	138	50.2%
Housing Plan Area	736	1,123	387	52.6%
11 Oak Creek	22	38	16	74.4%
12 Bayside	0	10	10	100.0%
13 Greendale	0	21	21	100.0%
14 Hales Corners	0	12	12	100.0%
15 West Milwaukee	6	17	11	173.9%
16 Wauwatosa	81	280	199	245.0%
17 Greenfield	14	67	53	368.5%
18 St Francis	8	56	48	603.5%
19 River Hills	1	5	4	757.6%

17 OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: percent of units

	2000 Units	2000 # Own Oc	2000 % Own Oc
1 West Milwaukee	2,197	730	33.2%
2 Milwaukee	249,225	105,235	42.2%
3 Shorewood	6,696	3,110	46.4%
County	400,093	198,752	49.7%
4 St Francis	4,193	2,111	50.3%
5 West Allis	28,708	16,031	55.8%
6 Cudahy	8,273	4,696	56.8%
7 Oak Creek	11,897	6,847	57.6%
8 Greenfield	16,203	9,341	57.6%
9 South Milwaukee	9,122	5,380	59.0%
10 Hales Corners	3,377	2,012	59.6%
Housing Plan Area	150,868	93,517	62.0%
11 Wauwatosa	20,917	13,819	66.1%
12 Greendale	6,165	4,191	68.0%
13 Brown Deer	5,335	3,656	68.5%
14 Glendale	5,974	4,220	70.6%
15 Franklin	10,936	8,313	76.0%
16 Bayside	1,795	1,476	82.2%
17 Fox Point	2,910	2,411	82.9%
18 Whitefish Bay	5,553	4,617	83.1%
19 River Hills	617	556	90.1%

OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Own Oc	2000 # Own Oc	Change	Growth Rate
1 St Francis	2,182	2,111	(71)	-3.3%
2 Milwaukee	107,682	105,235	(2,447)	-2.3%
3 Bayside	1,492	1,476	(16)	-1.1%
4 Fox Point	2,424	2,411	(13)	-0.5%
5 West Milwaukee	729	730	1	0.1%
6 West Allis	15,918	16,031	113	0.7%
7 Shorewood	3,086	3,110	24	0.8%
8 Whitefish Bay	4,576	4,617	41	0.9%
9 Wauwatosa	13,634	13,819	185	1.4%
10 Hales Corners	1,982	2,012	30	1.5%
County	194,221	198,752	4,531	2.3%
11 Glendale	4,116	4,220	104	2.5%
12 Brown Deer	3,545	3,656	111	3.1%
13 River Hills	534	556	22	4.1%
14 Greendale	3,984	4,191	207	5.2%
15 South Milwaukee	5,026	5,380	354	7.0%
16 Cudahy	4,384	4,696	312	7.1%
Housing Plan Area	86,539	93,517	6,978	8.1%
17 Greenfield	8,609	9,341	732	8.5%
18 Franklin	5,664	8,313	2,649	46.8%
19 Oak Creek	4,654	6,847	2,193	47.1%

18 MEDIAN VALUE, OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: median value

	2000 # Own Oc	2000 Md Val
1 Milwaukee	105,235	79,600
2 West Milwaukee	730	91,900
3 St Francis	2,111	95,500
4 West Allis	16,031	99,600
County	198,752	100,500
5 Cudahy	4,696	105,700
6 South Milwaukee	5,380	111,100
7 Brown Deer	3,656	114,900
8 Greenfield	9,341	121,500
9 Glendale	4,220	135,100
10 Oak Creek	6,847	136,700
11 Wauwatosa	13,819	138,500
12 Greendale	4,191	146,600
13 Hales Corners	2,012	147,000
14 Franklin	8,313	153,400
15 Shorewood	3,110	173,500
16 Whitefish Bay	4,617	193,100
17 Fox Point	2,411	201,800
18 Bayside	1,476	225,600
19 River Hills	556	517,300

MEDIAN VALUE, OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 Md Val	2000 Md Val	Change	Growth Rate
1 Fox Point	197,600	201,800	4,200	2.1%
2 Glendale	131,200	135,100	3,900	3.0%
3 River Hills	495,800	517,300	21,500	4.3%
4 Bayside	207,000	225,600	18,600	9.0%
5 Shorewood	154,300	173,500	19,200	12.4%
6 Brown Deer	96,700	114,900	18,200	18.8%
7 Milwaukee	66,700	79,600	12,900	19.3%
8 Whitefish Bay	160,500	193,100	32,600	20.3%
9 Greendale	121,000	146,600	25,600	21.2%
10 Wauwatosa	111,300	138,500	27,200	24.4%
11 Greenfield	96,800	121,500	24,700	25.5%
12 Hales Corners	115,200	147,000	31,800	27.6%
13 Franklin	115,500	153,400	37,900	32.8%
14 West Milwaukee	68,500	91,900	23,400	34.2%
15 South Milwaukee	81,900	111,100	29,200	35.7%
16 West Allis	73,200	99,600	26,400	36.1%
17 St Francis	69,000	95,500	26,500	38.4%
18 Cudahy	73,800	105,700	31,900	43.2%
19 Oak Creek	93,800	136,700	42,900	45.7%
County	65,300	100,500	35,200	53.9%

19 VACANT OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: percent of units

	2000 # Own Oc	2000 # Vcnt	2000 % Vcnt
1 Whitefish Bay	4,617	18	0.4%
2 Shorewood	3,110	13	0.4%
3 Brown Deer	3,656	17	0.5%
4 Greendale	4,191	21	0.5%
5 Wauwatosa	13,819	75	0.5%
6 Hales Corners	2,012	12	0.6%
7 Bayside	1,476	10	0.7%
8 Fox Point	2,411	20	0.8%
Housing Plan Area	93,517	789	0.8%
9 Franklin	8,313	71	0.9%
10 South Milwaukee	5,380	47	0.9%
11 Greenfield	9,341	86	0.9%
12 Cudahy	4,696	44	0.9%
13 St Francis	2,111	21	1.0%
14 West Allis	16,031	172	1.1%
County	198,752	2,147	1.1%
15 Oak Creek	6,847	84	1.2%
16 Milwaukee	105,235	1,358	1.3%
17 West Milwaukee	730	10	1.4%
18 Glendale	4,220	58	1.4%
19 River Hills	556	10	1.8%

VACANT OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Vcnt	2000 # Vcnt	Change	Growth Rate
1 West Milwaukee	25	10	(15)	-59.7%
2 Whitefish Bay	23	18	(5)	-21.3%
3 St Francis	20	21	1	4.5%
4 Milwaukee	1,292	1,358	66	5.1%
5 Wauwatosa	68	75	7	10.0%
6 Fox Point	17	20	3	17.9%
County	1,748	2,147	399	22.8%
7 Franklin	57	71	14	25.4%
8 Shorewood	9	13	4	48.9%
9 Glendale	37	58	21	56.6%
10 Brown Deer	11	17	6	59.8%
11 Greenfield	52	86	34	66.5%
Housing Plan Area	472	789	317	67.1%
12 Greendale	12	21	9	75.7%
13 Cudahy	22	44	22	100.7%
14 West Allis	80	172	92	116.1%
15 Bayside	4	10	6	123.4%
16 Hales Corners	4	12	8	202.7%
17 South Milwaukee	15	47	32	204.6%
18 River Hills	3	10	7	212.1%
19 Oak Creek	14	84	70	501.6%

20 RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: percent of units

	2000 Units	2000 # Rnt Oc	2000 % Rnt Oc
1 River Hills	617	34	5.5%
2 Fox Point	2,910	414	14.2%
3 Bayside	1,795	256	14.3%
4 Whitefish Bay	5,553	840	15.1%
5 Franklin	10,936	2,289	20.9%
6 Glendale	5,974	1,552	26.0%
7 Brown Deer	5,335	1,478	27.7%
8 Greendale	6,165	1,820	29.5%
9 Wauwatosa	20,917	6,569	31.4%
Housing Plan Area	158,868	52,025	32.7%
10 South Milwaukee	9,122	3,314	36.3%
11 Oak Creek	11,897	4,392	36.9%
12 Hales Corners	3,377	1,248	37.0%
13 Cudahy	8,273	3,193	38.6%
14 Greenfield	16,203	6,356	39.2%
15 West Allis	28,708	11,573	40.3%
County	400,093	178,978	44.7%
16 St Francis	4,193	1,939	46.2%
17 Milwaukee	249,225	126,953	50.9%
18 Shorewood	6,696	3,429	51.2%
19 West Milwaukee	2,197	1,329	60.5%

RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Rnt Oc	2000 # Rnt Oc	Change	Growth Rate
1 Milwaukee	132,858	126,953	(5,905)	-4.4%
2 Whitefish Bay	871	840	(31)	-3.6%
3 Shorewood	3,454	3,429	(25)	-0.7%
4 Fox Point	416	414	(2)	-0.5%
County	178,827	178,978	151	0.1%
5 South Milwaukee	3,195	3,314	119	3.7%
6 Cudahy	3,056	3,193	137	4.5%
7 Wauwatosa	6,214	6,569	355	5.7%
8 River Hills	32	34	2	6.3%
9 West Allis	10,879	11,573	694	6.4%
10 West Milwaukee	1,242	1,329	87	7.0%
11 Glendale	1,397	1,552	155	11.1%
Housing Plan Area	45,969	52,025	6,056	13.2%
12 St Francis	1,701	1,939	238	14.0%
13 Brown Deer	1,293	1,478	185	14.3%
14 Greendale	1,591	1,820	229	14.4%
15 Hales Corners	1,081	1,248	167	15.4%
16 Greenfield	5,176	6,356	1,180	22.8%
17 Franklin	1,770	2,289	519	29.3%
18 Bayside	174	256	82	47.1%
19 Oak Creek	2,427	4,392	1,965	81.0%

21 MEDIAN GROSS RENT, RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: median rent

	2000 # Rnt Oc	2000 Md Rnt
1 St Francis	1,939	490
2 West Milwaukee	1,329	505
3 Milwaukee	126,953	527
4 Cudahy	3,193	542
County	178,978	555
5 South Milwaukee	3,314	569
6 West Allis	11,573	571
7 Shorewood	3,429	626
8 Greenfield	6,356	659
9 Greendale	1,820	662
10 Wauwatosa	6,569	702
11 Oak Creek	4,392	704
12 Brown Deer	1,478	713
13 Franklin	2,289	722
14 Hales Corners	1,248	728
15 Whitefish Bay	840	752
16 Glendale	1,552	775
17 Bayside	256	882
18 Fox Point	414	947
19 River Hills	34	950

MEDIAN GROSS RENT, RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

2000	1990 Md Rnt	2000 Md Rnt	Change	Growth Rate
1 Bayside	755	882	127	16.8%
2 St Francis	375	490	115	30.7%
3 Whitefish Bay	553	752	199	36.0%
4 Hales Corners	535	728	193	36.1%
5 Greenfield	484	659	175	36.2%
6 Fox Point	693	947	254	36.7%
7 Shorewood	450	626	176	39.1%
8 Brown Deer	511	713	202	39.5%
9 West Milwaukee	360	505	145	40.3%
10 Franklin	511	722	211	41.3%
11 Greendale	466	662	196	42.1%
12 Glendale	534	775	241	45.1%
13 West Allis	391	571	180	46.0%
14 South Milwaukee	375	569	194	51.7%
15 Oak Creek	462	704	242	52.4%
16 Wauwatosa	460	702	242	52.6%
County	363	555	192	52.9%
17 Milwaukee	342	527	185	54.1%
18 Cudahy	346	542	196	56.6%
19 River Hills	554	950	396	71.5%

22 VACANT RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: percent of units

	2000 # Rnt Oc	2000 # Vcnt	2000 % Vcnt
1 Shorewood	3,429	69	2.0%
2 River Hills	34	1	2.9%
3 St Francis	1,939	68	3.5%
4 Greenfield	6,356	225	3.5%
5 Wauwatosa	6,569	237	3.6%
6 Greendale	1,820	67	3.7%
7 Whitefish Bay	840	33	3.9%
8 Fox Point	414	17	4.1%
9 Glendale	1,552	67	4.3%
10 West Allis	11,573	549	4.7%
11 Cudahy	3,193	157	4.9%
Housing Plan Area	52,025	2,606	5.0%
12 West Milwaukee	1,329	74	5.6%
County	178,978	10,668	6.0%
13 Hales Corners	1,248	78	6.3%
14 Franklin	2,289	145	6.3%
15 Milwaukee	126,953	8,052	6.3%
16 South Milwaukee	3,314	251	7.6%
17 Brown Deer	1,478	120	8.1%
18 Oak Creek	4,392	420	9.6%
19 Bayside	256	28	10.9%

VACANT RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS
Sort: growth rate, 1990 - 2000

	1990 # Vcnt	2000 # Vcnt	Change	Growth Rate
1 Glendale	154	67	(87)	-56.4%
2 Fox Point	36	17	(19)	-52.5%
3 Greendale	110	67	(43)	-39.0%
4 Greenfield	316	225	(91)	-28.7%
5 Brown Deer	166	120	(46)	-27.5%
6 Hales Corners	106	78	(28)	-26.4%
7 Franklin	181	145	(36)	-19.7%
8 Shorewood	69	69	(0)	-0.1%
9 River Hills	1	1	0	4.2%
County	8,405	10,668	2,263	26.9%
10 Milwaukee	6,244	8,052	1,808	28.9%
Housing Plan Area	2,004	2,606	602	30.0%
11 Wauwatosa	180	237	57	31.5%
12 Whitefish Bay	22	33	11	51.5%
13 St Francis	39	68	29	73.8%
14 West Allis	305	549	244	80.2%
15 West Milwaukee	36	74	38	105.5%
16 Cudahy	76	157	81	105.5%
17 Bayside	11	28	17	147.6%
18 South Milwaukee	86	251	165	191.0%
19 Oak Creek	112	420	308	276.2%

County 151

Table 21 shows that 4 communities (St. Francis, West Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Cudahy) had median gross rents below that of the County. St. Francis had the lowest median rent.

When considering 10 year change, it is of interest that Milwaukee, Cudahy and River Hills had percentage increases in gross rent that exceeded the County's increase.

A rental vacancy rate of 5% is often considered normal. By coincidence, Table 22 describes this as the HPA's rate. Seven HPA communities and Milwaukee exceed this percentage, with Oak Creek (9.6%) and Bayside (10.9%) at about double this amount.

Ten year growth rates show a decrease in rental vacancies in 7 HPA communities. Conversely, in 5 HPA communities (West Milwaukee, Cudahy, Bayside, South Milwaukee, Oak Creek) the number of vacant rental units more than doubled.

Housing Affordability

Tables 23 - 24 address housing affordability.

The median value of owner occupied housing is compared to each community's median household income, using a standard of house value not exceeding 2.5 times income (or 40% of income spent for housing). While this measure is simplistic and does not account for any number of mitigating factors, it demonstrates that in only 4 HPA communities (Brown Deer, Franklin, Glendale, Whitefish Bay) does a household with a community's median income have the ability to afford a median value house in that community.

If the same approach is used to measure the ability of a household of 4 at 80% of County median income - the eligibility standard used for HUD programs - to afford a median value house, the number of HPA communities increases to 6 (Brown Deer, Cudahy, St. Francis, South Milwaukee, West Allis, West Milwaukee). (80% of County median exceeds some community median incomes).

Although not in a table, if a household of 3 at 80% of median is examined, the number of communities reduces to 4 (Cudahy, St. Francis, West Allis, West Milwaukee). For a household of 2, only in West Milwaukee is a median value unit affordable.

HUD's Section 8 year 2000 published Fair Market Rent of $619 for a two-bedroom unit exceeds the median gross rent in 13 HPA communities. This is an indicator that half or more of the rental units in these communities are unavailable to persons receiving Rent Assistance.

A comparison to similar data fro the 1990 Census shows that the number of HPA communities where a median value house was not affordable at median income has increased from 13 to 14. The data also show that the number of HPA communities in which the two-bedroom Fair Market Rent exceeds the median rent has increased from 9 communities to 13.

Summary

The wealth of data in the census tables can be difficult to assimilate. One way to gain a quick picture of the Housing Planning Area (as an entity compared to the County) and the changes that have occurred over the last 10 years is in the table below.

Data Summary, Housing Planning Area
% of HPA Communities Compared to Milwaukee County

Indicator	2000 Percent	1990-2000 Growth Rate
Population	36.5%	3.6%

23 AFFORDABILITY OF OWNED UNITS
Ability of Median Income Household to Afford Median Value House
2.5 * Income Standard (40% of Income to Housing)
Sort: community

	Md Val 00 Unts	Md Inc	Income to Buy	Surplus/ Deficit
1 Bayside	225,600	88,026	90,240	(2,214)
2 Brown Deer	114,900	50,847	45,960	4,887
3 Cudahy	105,700	40,157	42,280	(2,123)
4 Fox Point	201,800	80,572	80,720	(148)
5 Franklin	153,400	64,315	61,360	2,955
6 Glendale	135,100	55,306	54,040	1,266
7 Greendale	146,600	55,553	58,640	(3,087)
8 Greenfield	121,500	44,230	48,600	(4,370)
9 Hales Corners	147,000	54,536	58,800	(4,264)
10 Oak Creek	136,700	53,779	54,680	(901)
11 River Hills	517,300	161,292	206,920	(45,628)
12 St Francis	95,500	36,721	38,200	(1,479)
13 Shorewood	173,500	47,224	69,400	(22,176)
14 South Milwaukee	111,100	44,197	44,440	(243)
15 Wauwatosa	138,500	54,519	55,400	(881)
16 West Allis	99,600	39,394	39,840	(446)
17 West Milwaukee	91,900	35,250	36,760	(1,510)
18 Whitefish Bay	193,100	80,755	77,240	3,515
19 Milwaukee	79,600	32,216	31,840	376
County	100,500	38,100	40,200	(2,100)

AFFORDABILITY OF OWNED UNITS AT 80% MD INCOME
Ability of Household of 4 at 80% of Median Income to Afford Md
2.5 * Income Standard (40% of Income to Housing)
Sort: community

	Md Val 00 Unts	80% Md Inc	Income to Buy	Surplus/ Deficit
Bayside	225,600	47,500	90,240	(42,740)
Brown Deer	114,900	47,500	45,960	1,540
Cudahy	105,700	47,500	42,280	5,220
Fox Point	201,800	47,500	80,720	(33,220)
Franklin	153,400	47,500	61,360	(13,860)
Glendale	135,100	47,500	54,040	(6,540)
Greendale	146,600	47,500	58,640	(11,140)
Greenfield	121,500	47,500	48,600	(1,100)
Hales Corners	147,000	47,500	58,800	(11,300)
Oak Creek	136,700	47,500	54,680	(7,180)
River Hills	517,300	47,500	206,920	(159,420)
St Francis	95,500	47,500	38,200	9,300
Shorewood	173,500	47,500	69,400	(21,900)
South Milwaukee	111,100	47,500	44,440	3,060
Wauwatosa	138,500	47,500	55.400	(7,900)
West Allis	99,600	47,500	39,840	7,660
West Milwaukee	91,900	47,500	36,760	10,740
Whitefish Bay	193,100	47,500	77,240	(29,740)
Milwaukee	79,600	47,500	31,840	15,660
County	100,500	47,500	40,200	7,300

24 AFFORDABILITY OF RENTED UNITS
Median Rent Compared to 2-Bedroom Fair Market Rent
Sort: community

	2000 Md Rnt	2000 FMR	Surplus/ Deficit
1 Bayside	882	619	(263)
2 Brown Deer	713	619	(94)
3 Cudahy	542	619	77
4 Fox Point	947	619	(328)
5 Franklin	722	619	(103)
6 Glendale	775	619	(156)
7 Greendale	662	619	(43)
8 Greenfield	659	619	(40)
9 Hales Corners	728	619	(109)
10 Oak Creek	704	619	(85)
11 River Hills	950	619	(331)
12 St Francis	490	619	129
13 Shorewood	626	619	(7)
14 South Milwaukee	569	619	50
15 Wauwatosa	702	619	(83)
16 West Allis	571	619	48
17 West Milwaukee	505	619	114
18 Whitefish Bay	752	619	(133)
19 Milwaukee	527	619	92
County	555	619	64

Minorities (all)	7.2%	139.6%
Hispanic	3.2%	104.5%
Elderly (60+)	20.5%	-28.8%
Non-family households	37.5%	30.8%
Female heads of household	8.6%	13.0%
Disabilities (all)	25.1%	(NA)
Population eligible for HUD programs	35.4%	3.5%
Population below poverty	4.7%	24.8%
Housing units (all)	37.7%	10.5%
Units built before 1980	80.4%	(NA)
Overcrowded units	1.3%	46.7%
Lack complete plumbing	0.4%	42.7%
Lack complete kitchen	7.2%	52.6%
Owner occupied units	62.0%	8.1%
Vacant owner occupied units	0.8%	67.1%
Renter occupied units	32.7%	13.2%
Vacant renter occupied units	5.0%	30.0%
# of communities, affordable owner housing*	4	--
# of communities, affordable rental housing*	5	--

*See Housing Affordability section for explanation

II.B. Public and Assisted Housing

PUBLIC HOUSING

The City of South Milwaukee operates the only traditional public housing in the HPA, a 60 unit development. The City of West Allis owns and operates 104 units of 55 and older income based independent living housing. Several other HPA communities have public housing authorities, but no housing units. There are no plans to change the status of these units.

SECTION 8 RENT ASSISTANCE

Two governments (Milwaukee County and the City of West Allis) operate Rent Assistance programs in the HPA. (The City of Milwaukee also participates in this federal program.)

The County has received allocations totaling 1,942 vouchers. West Allis has received 457 vouchers. Information on how this assistance is used is found on the table following this page. Turnover in the County program (caused by tenants becoming ineligible, moving from a jurisdiction, death, or other reasons) accounts for the difference between the assistance available and that allocated. Approximately 1,598 County, and 30 West Allis, vouchers are used by recipients who live in the City of Milwaukee.

OTHER ASSISTED UNITS

The next 2 attached tables list other assisted units available in the HPA. Most of those were constructed under various federal programs, including Section 8 (New Construction), Section 202 (Housing for the Elderly), Section 236 (Multi-family Interest Subsidies), and other insurance and guarantee mechanisms. Surveys of available units have consistently shown a several-year waiting list at most developments. Vacancies are soon filled.

The County is not aware of any anticipated losses of assisted units because of the prepayment or voluntary

termination of a federally assisted mortgage. Because of the demand for assisted units in the HPA, the County is concerned about any such potential loss. Under recent federal legislation, however, it is unclear if the County has any direct role in a mitigation of this possibility.

LOSS OF ASSISTED UNITS

There are no known reasons to expect a loss of rental units in the HPA from demolition or conversion to ownership, nor has there been any public discussion about the prepayment or voluntary termination of federally-assisted mortgages for low-income rental units. However, these events can not be ruled out. Given the number of projects with federally-assisted mortgages in the HPA, voluntary mortgage terminations or prepayments would be a concern to the County.

THE FOLLOWING HOUSING IS FOR ELDERLY
- AGE 62 AND OLDER - AND DISABLED ONLY:

BOOTH MANOR
150 West Puetz Road
Oak Creek, WI 53154
414/762-3993

THE COURTS
195 West Puetz Road
Oak Creek, WI 53154
414/223-5678

EVERGREEN SQUARE OF CUDAHY
3717 East Ramsey Avenue
Cudahy, WI 53110
414/273-1515

FOREST ACRES
10010 West Forest Home Avenue
Hales Corners, WI 53130
414/352-2300

HERITAGE HOUSE
11515 West Cleveland Avenue
West Allis, WI 53227
414/546-1616

LA FOLLETTE APTS.
720 South 92nd Street
West Allis, WI 53214
414/257-2706

LAKE FOREST APTS.
8541 South Chicago Avenue
Oak Creek, WI 53154
414/273-1515 (ELDERLY
w/PARTIALLY DISABLED)

RIDGEDALE APTS.
S. 77 St. & W. Grange Avenue
Greendale, WI 53129
414/421-9314

RIDGEDALE APTS. II
S. 77 St. & W. Grange Avenue
Greendale, WI 53129
414/421-9314

RIVER PARK APTS.
1700 East River Park Ct.
Shorewood, WI 53211
414/961-1696

TOSA GLEN
St. James Ct.
Wauwatosa, WI 53213
414/781-2080

THE WOODS
3311 West College Avenue
Franklin, WI 53221
414/786-8286

II.C Homeless Facilities

Data gathered by the Milwaukee County Continuum of Care indicate the following availability of housing geared to the homeless:

	# Beds	# Facilities
Emergency shelter	1;024	13
Transitional housing	851	20
Permanent supportive housing	565	6

All emergency shelters are located in the City of Milwaukee. The County financially supports the operation of these shelters. There is no known transitional housing for homeless persons, permanent housing for homeless persons with disabilities, or other facilities providing assistance to homeless persons on less than an overnight basis in the HPA communities. In the event that the Emergency Shelter Referral Network cannot serve a shelter request because of unavailable beds or because of special needs, vouchers can be used to provide housing in motels.

The County also funds various service programs available to the shelters located in the City of Milwaukee. The City's HPA should be consulted for further information on these matters.

II.D Special Need Facilities and Services

There are two facilities for persons with special needs in the HPA. A 35 unit building in West Allis is designed for visually impaired persons. Another 34 bed facility in West Allis serves the mentally disabled. A community organization (United Cerebral Palsy of Southeastern Wisconsin, Inc.) operates 10 independent living units for the disabled in Greendale. There are other small group homes and community based residential facilities that serve different special needs. Persons seeking further information on such housing should consult the Combined Community Services Board.

Milwaukee County allocates CDBG funds to various programs serving persons from the HPA with special needs. These include:

Agency	Service
Daystar, Inc.	Secondary housing for battered women
Southeastern Wisconsin Center for Independent Living, Inc.	Handicapped housing outreach and counseling
Goodwill Industries of Southeastern Wisconsin	Snow shoveling and lawn care for elderly/disabled homeowners
YWCA of Greater Milwaukee, Inc.	Transitional housing for women in need
Milwaukee County	Residential architectural barrier removal for disabled persons
DARE Program (Drug Awareness and Resistance Education)	Youth anti-drug education
Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council	Fair housing services
Integrated Living Center	Fair housing services

Milwaukee Women's Center	Victims of domestic abuse provided with shelter, counseling, I & R, and legal assistance
Southside Emergency Shelter - Hope House	Shelter for the homeless

West Allis allocates CDBG funds to programs serving the elderly. These include:

Program	Service
Home Security	Dead-bolt locks, window bars, and other security devices
Elder-Link	Trains public contact employees to watch for elderly persons having problems
Senior Fire-Safety	Elderly fire-safety education

Wauwatosa uses CDBG funds for:

Program	Service
Senior Adult Information Line (SAIL)	Housing/services information for the elderly

II.E Barriers to Affordable Housing

MILWAUKEE COUNTY

Two factors affect the County's ability to establish or influence public policy for affordable housing. First, the County is a sub-division of the State that by law is precluded from exercising any powers other than those specifically granted by the State. (Municipalities, on the other hand, are generally free to carry out any activity that is not specifically prohibited by the State). The entire County is comprised of incorporated municipalities, each holding a charter entitling it to "home rule". There are no unincorporated areas the County has direct control over. The County no longer has a zoning ordinance, building code, or any other development controls. Under state statute, property taxes must be applied equally, and tax abatements are prohibited. There are no local rent control ordinances. The only current County ordinance directly addressing housing policy is the County's Fair Housing Ordinance.

Second, the County participates in federal housing and community development programs based upon cooperation with the communities that enable an entitlement status. The County seeks to achieve goals by consensus.

Given these factors, it is the County's intent to maximize affordable housing within the constraints allowed by available financial resources, program requirements, and adopted legislation.

WEST ALLIS

West Allis has a good supply of affordable housing and often ranks amongst the top communities in terms of housing sales. The City has zoning ordinances that are not exclusionary. Current zoning permits single family homes and duplexes on 30 foot wide lots with a minimum of 3,600 sq. ft. of land area. In addition, multi-family dwellings, besides being permitted in the appropriate multi-family zoning districts, can be constructed in commercial districts upon obtaining a special use permit as prescribed in the zoning ordinances.

In the scope of planning and addressing barriers to affordable housing, public transportation may appear as a challenge or a needed amenity. West Allis is fortunate to have ample public bus routes that access many family neighborhoods. The City incorporates into planning the use of public transportation and other commuter amenities such as bike paths and pedestrian linkages. West Allis recently received a $500,000 grant from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation to create a cross-town connector that serves the Hank Aaron Trail on the eastside with the Waukesha County on the west. These amenities will serve those individuals without access to an automobile or those seeking other transportation means.

As a community, affordable housing goes beyond a housing stock that is affordable. The City recently worked with Tri Corp. Housing to reuse the vacant former St. Joan Antida Nursing Home for an independent facility to serve handicapped individuals both physical and mental. The facility has 75 units for residents that are supported through SSI or veteran's benefits.

WAUWATOSA

The City of Wauwatosa consisting of 13.06 square miles is 99% developed. Within the City is a 1-1/2 square mile area known as the Milwaukee County Institutions which is governed by Milwaukee County. With almost a total lack of vacant land available for residential development, redevelopment of existing sites becomes a costly but necessary alternative. The Wauwatosa Zoning Code is similar to codes existing in the cities of Milwaukee and West Allis. The majority of single family housing is situated on less than 45 feet of lot frontage and was constructed prior to 1950. Increasing real estate costs make housing unaffordable to many low and moderate income households. The City of Wauwatosa has also made extensive use of Planned Development Zoning Districts over a 21 year period which provide flexibility and one of the highest residential densities in the metropolitan area as an inducement for residential development.

SECTION III: HOUSING AND HOMELESS NEEDS ASSESSMENT

III.A. General

The wealth of demographic and housing conditions data, along with citizen comments offered in public hearings and input from housing and service providers, is a formidable resource. It is possible, however, to draw from it some general indications of housing needs in the 18 suburban communities comprising the County's Housing Planning Area (HPA). These include:

1. A demand for housing assistance in every County municipality. Housing programs with income tests have participants from each County community. A community's relative wealth does not mean well-being for all of its citizens. Census data show 1 of every 3 HPA households (35.4%) meets the HUD definition of low income. While the City of Milwaukee has most of the highest percentages of measures indicating a need for assisted housing, HPA communities have higher percentages than the City for the elderly, non-family households, persons with disabilities (physical, mental, mobility problems), older housing, over-crowded housing, fewer owner-occupied housing units, higher vacancy rates (owner and renter occupied), and lower gross median rents.

2. An increasing demand for rent assistance. When Milwaukee County last opened its rent assistance waiting list, over 9,000 people from throughout the region submitted applications for assistance within a two week period. One indicator shows there are 11,293 households now living in the HPA who are eligible for rent assistance, of which 5,996 would qualify for assistance under current federal preference rules. The demand for assisted housing is so great, there is little doubt that the likely available resources will be used appropriately.

3. A total of 25,441 HPA low income households that reported a housing problem (17.7% of all households) in the 2000 Census. "Housing problems" are specific conditions indicating a need for assistance and include occupying a unit lacking complete plumbing or kitchen facilities, occupying a unit with more than 1 person per room (over-crowding), or paying more than 30% of income for housing and utility costs. The principal HPA housing problem is cost. An estimated 11,002 households in the HPA (7.8% of the total) reported a severe cost burden (paying more than 50% of income for housing).

4. A shift in the nature of housing need. Previous Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategies and Housing Assistance Plans showed significant need for rental housing by small families (to 58% of all needs). 2000 Census demographics show that of all rental households in need of housing assistance, 42% are elderly, 33% are other households, 21% are small families, and 3% are large families. "Other households" are made up of 1 or more non-institutionalized, un-related persons living together. The high percentage of low income "other households" in need poses particular problems, given their traditional denial of consideration from federal assisted housing programs.

5. An increasing demand for housing rehabilitation. In 7 HPA communities, almost a third or more of the owner occupied housing is 50 or more years old. Applicants to the County's Home Repair Program utilize or exceed the available funding. Eight HPA communities (Cudahy, Glendale, Greenfield, Oak Creek, South Milwaukee, Wauwatosa, West Allis, and West Milwaukee) have funded home rehab programs geared to low income owners.

6. An indication of change in populations. Milwaukee County's minority populations remain concentrated in Milwaukee, although they are increasing in every municipality. Minority populations in 4 HPA communities exceed 10% (Glendale, River Hills, West Milwaukee and Brown Deer). The total population of the HPA increased from 331,187 to 343,190 (3.5%) between 1990 - 2000. This increase was not evenly distributed. The population dropped in 12 HPA communities, and in the County overall.

7. A concern about housing affordability. Assuming the traditional measure of spending 2.5 times income for a home, 2000 Census data reveal that in only 4 HPA communities (Brown Deer, Franklin, Glendale, Whitefish Bay) can a median income household afford to purchase a median value home. A survey of HPA development officials revealed that 1/3 of those responding believe that the lack of affordable

housing for first-time buyers and the displacement of low-income residents by "gentrification" are issues of future significance. (These two issues tied with a need for enhanced code enforcement as the leading issues of future significance). Experience in the County's Rent Assistance Program indicates that rents are increasing in some HPA communities faster than in others. 2000 Census data show that the median rent in 13 HPA communities exceeds the 2000 Fair Market Rent for 2-bedroom units. Rent Assistance will be more difficult to accomplish in these communities.

8. An increasing employment base. Preliminary census data indicate that between 1979-1989, the HPA gained 30,009 jobs. Since the HPA population increased by only .7% and its elderly population is growing, it is obvious the HPA communities are becoming more of an employment source for the Milwaukee area. (The gain in jobs does not recognize that the loss of major employers within individual communities can have devastating impacts. It also does not acknowledge that most job growth has been in the lower paying service sector of the economy. The Milwaukee Journal on March 15, 1992, reported Department of Labor statistics that indicate a 7.2% decrease in per capita earnings in the HPA between 1979-1989). Because proximity to work is a motivator for locational choice of residence, an expectation of increased housing demand for affordable housing in HPA communities follows.

III.B Categories of Persons Affected

On HUD's behalf, the Census compiles a special tabulation known as the SOCDS CHAS Data: Housing Problems Output for All Households (SOCDS CHAS = State of the Cities Data System Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy). A copy of the table for the Housing Planning Area follows this section. Housing needs are defined by data separated by income categories for renters and owners who report housing conditions, including:

Occupying a unit lacking complete plumbing facilities;

Occupying a unit lacking complete kitchen facilities;

Occupying a unit with more than 1 person per room (overcrowding);

Paying more than 30% of gross income for housing and utilities (cost burden); and

Paying more than 50% of gross income for housing and utilities (severe cost burden).

Because of suppression for privacy issues and rounding errors, SOCDS CHAS Data totals only reach about 95% - 98% of 100% sample Census data totals. However, SOCDS CHAS Data are still useful indicators.

Two tables below are derived from the Data. The first shows the estimated total number of households reporting the housing needs above who, with incomes below 80% of the median family income (MFI), are eligible for assistance.

HPA Low Income Households Reporting Any Housing Problem

	Renters				Owners			
% MFI	Elderly HHs	Small HHs	Large HHs	Other HHs	Elderly HHs	Small HHs	Large HHs	Other HHs
<30%	2,0021,258	175	2,011	1,897	446	71	443	
30-50%	2,6391,335	121	1,780	1,888	612	162	252	
50%-80%	1,808654	179	1,362	1,042	1,779	425	995	
2000 Total	6,4493,247	475	5,153	4,827	2,837	658	1,790	
% total	42.1%	21.2%	3.1%	33.6%	47.7%.	28.1%	6.5%	17.7%
	Total renters			15,325	Total owners		10,112	

					Total households		25,437
1990 Total	5,852	3,154	467	4,245	4,548		4,138
	Total renters			13;718	Total owners	8,686	
					Total households		22,404
Growth Rates	10.2%	2.9%	1.7%	21.4%	6.1%		27.7%
	Total renters			11.7%	Total owners	16.4%	
					Total households		13.5%

This table indicates there are 25,437 low income households (17.7% of all households in the HPA) with a housing problem (lack facilities, overcrowded, or cost burden). (There are 51,298 low income households, or 35.4% of the total, in the HPA). Section 1.A.2 showed that about 3,7734 HPA properties lack kitchen/plumbing facilities or are overcrowded. Although these conditions may be concentrated in housing occupied by low income households, given that over 25,000 low income households report a housing problem, it appears that the principal housing problem is cost.

Rent assistance is now available only to households with incomes less than 50% of the median. Summing cells for renters that meet this limit indicates there are 11,293 households in the HPA who are potentially eligible for rent assistance. (This is discussed further below).

The table also shows that the highest percentage of low income renting households with housing problems are elderly. "Other" households, or those of 1 or more persons who are not related, have the next highest percentage. Small related households (families) report about half the housing problems as the elderly do, and large families cite the smallest percentage of all.

A higher percentage of elderly home owners report a housing problem than do elderly renters. Unlike renters, the second highest percentage of owners with housing problems is found in small families.

As a picture of change, comparable data from 1990 are given, along with growth rates. (1990 data for owners was separated only for the elderly). It is of note that the withing the HPA, the rate of growth of low income households with housing problems (13.5%) was higher than the growth rate for all households in the HPA (9.9%). It is also of note that for renters, the highest growth rate of low income households with problems was among "other" households.

The second table derived from SOCDS CHAS Data shows those 11,002 households in the HPA (or 7.8% of the total, up from 6.4% in 1990) that have a severe housing cost burden (paying more than 50% of income for housing).

HPA Low Income Households Paying 50%+ of Income for Housing

	Renters				Owners			
% MFI	Elderly HHs	Small HHs	Large HHs	Other HHs	Elderly HHs	Small HHs	Large HHs	Other HHs
<30%	1,496	1,050	125	1,713	1,203	424	71	373
30-50%	1,110	190	0	315	547	401	64	202
50%-80%	474	7	0	40	356	442	113	286
2000 Total	3,080	1,247	125	2,068	2,106	1,267	248	861
% total	47.2%	19.1%	1.9%	31.7%	47.0%	28.3%	5.5%	19.2%
	Total renters			6,520	Total owners		4,482	

					Total households		11,002
1990 Total	2,684	1,134	123	1,478	1,641		1,375
	Total renters			5,419	Total owners	3,016	
					Total households		8,435
Growth	14.8%	10.0%	1.6%	39.9%	28.3%		72.8%
Rates	Total renters			20.3%	Total owners	48.6%	
					Total households		30.4%

Rent assistance regulations establish preferences for households with incomes below 50% of the median who are living in substandard housing; are being displaced; or pay more than 50% of their income for housing (the severe cost burden). This table indicates there are 5,996 HPA renter households who qualify for preference under the severe cost burden. Almost half (43.4%) of these households are elderly.

Growth rates between 1990 - 2000 show that low income households with a severe cost burden increased at a faster rate than households reporting other housing problems.

Comparing the cells of these derived tables indicates that for the lowest income households (<30% MFI), the number of households with a severe cost burden approaches the number of households with any housing problem. As income increases, larger differences between all problems and severe cost burden appear. For the lowest income households, the significance of housing cost is apparent. While housing condition and overcrowding problems may also exist for these households, they represent more of the problems reported by higher income households.

SUMMARY

These data all demonstrate a need for more housing assistance for almost every household and tenure type. If an income qualified household reporting a housing problem (incomplete plumbing/kitchen, overcrowding, or a cost burden) is in need of housing assistance, then:

(1) 25,441 low income renting and owning households now in the HPA are in a need of assistance, most of which is related to cost.

(2) 11,293 of these households are potentially eligible for rent assistance, of which at least 5,997 would currently qualify for rent assistance under preference rules for severe cost-burden. (This estimate is low, and does not include those in sub-standard housing or who may be displaced).

(3) The distribution of the percentages of renting households reporting housing problems shows that:

42.1% are elderly
33.6% are other households (unrelated persons not in institutions)
21.2% are small families
3.1% are large families

Census data from 2000 show that of 7 HPA communities that have rental vacancy rates exceeding 5.0% (and thus indicating a surplus of such units), five have median rents that exceed HUD's fair market rent for a 2-bedroom unit. This demonstrates that for HPA communities where rental housing is available, more than half of it is unobtainable by low-income families.

Census data indicate that in 14 HPA communities a median income household cannot afford a median income house. Data also describe a tight owned housing market (all HPA communities have vacancy rates of less than 2%).

SOCDS CHAS Data: Housing Problems Output for All Households

Name of Jurisdiction: Cnsrt-Milwaukee County(HOME), Wisconsin	Source of Data: CHAS Data Book					Data Current as of: 2000					
	Renters					Owners					
Household by Type, Income, & Housing Problem	Elderly (1 & 2 members)	Small Related (2 to 4 members)	Large Related (5 or more members)	All Other	Total Renters	Elderly (1 & 2 members)	Small Related (2 to 4 members)	Large Related (5 or more members)	All Other	Total Owners	Total Households
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
1. Household Income <= 50% MFI	6,907	3,200	382	4,827	15,316	6,395	1,281	283	993	8,952	24,268
2. Household Income <=30% MFI	3,393	1,393	200	2,527	7,513	2,191	526	89	541	3,347	10,860
3. % with any housing problems	59.0	90.2	87.5	79.6	72.5	86.6	84.8	79.8	81.9	85.4	76.5
4. % Cost Burden >30%	58.8	89.7	82.5	78.6	71.8	86.1	84.8	79.8	81.1	84.9	75.9
5. % Cost Burden >50%	44.1	75.3	62.5	67.8	58.3	54.9	80.6	79.8	68.9	61.9	59.4
6. Household Income >30 to <=50% MFI	3,514	1,807	182	2,300	7,803	4,204	755	194	452	5,605	13,408
7. % with any housing problems	75.1	73.9	66.5	77.4	75.3	44.9	81.1	83.5	77.9	53.8	66.3
8. % Cost Burden >30%	74.2	71.6	54.4	76.7	73.9	44.8	81.1	76.3	77.9	53.4	65.3
9. % Cost Burden >50%	31.6	10.5	0.0	13.7	20.7	13.0	53.1	33.0	44.7	21.7	21.1
10. Household Income >50 to <=80% MFI	2,999	3,514	415	5,746	12,674	6,592	3,594	898	1,734	12,818	25,492
11. % with any housing problems	60.3	18.6	43.1	23.7	31.6	15.8	49.5	47.3	57.4	33.1	32.3
12. % Cost Burden >30%	59.5	14.7	11.8	21.2	28.2	15.7	49.4	42.9	57.4	32.7	30.5
13. % Cost Burden >50%	15.8	0.2	0.0	0.7	4.1	5.4	12.3	12.6	16.5	9.3	6.7

4. Household Income >80% HFI	2,990	8,022	676	11,253	22,941	12,896	41,399	6,937	9,319	70,551	93,492
5. % with any housing problems	12.4	2.9	35.1	3.8	5.5	5.5	9.2	13.7	16.5	9.9	8.8
6. % Cost burden >30%	12.1	0.5	1.5	1.5	2.5	3.3	8.8	8.8	16.2	9.1	7.5
7. % Cost burden >50%	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.9	0.6	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.7
8. Total households	12,896	14,736	1,473	21,826	50,931	23,883	46,274	8,118	12,046	92,391	143,252
9. % with any housing problems	52.9	23.6	48.3	25.6	32.6	21.4	14.4	19.8	27.6	18.5	23.5
10. % Cost burden >30	52.3	21.0	21.9	23.5	30.1	21.2	14.0	15.0	27.4	17.8	22.2
11. % Cost burden >50	24.5	8.5	8.5	9.5	16.0	8.6	3.3	3.7	8.0	5.7	8.1

Click here to download report in Excel format

te: When using Internet Explorer, please save file in Excel format.

finitions:

y **housing problems:** cost burden greater than 30% of income and/or overcrowding and/or without complete kitchen lumbing facilities.

ıer **housing problems:** overcrowding (1.01 or more persons per room) and/or without complete kitchen or nbing facilities.

erly **households:** 1 or 2 person household, either person 62 years old or older.

ıter: Data do not include renters living on boats, RVs or vans. This excludes approximately 25,000 households onwide.

t Burden: Cost burden is the fraction of a household's total gross income spent on housing costs. For renters, sing costs include rent paid by the tenant plus utilities. For owners, housing costs include mortgage payment, taxes, rance, and utilities.

rce: Tables F5A, F5B, F5C, F5D

The SOCDS CHAS Data, and the tables above derived from it, indicate the extent of the HPA's housing needs. They are not being met. Available resources are not adequate to address even a fraction of these needs. Furthermore, it is anticipated that over the next 5 years, housing assistance needs overall will increase.

SECTION 8 PROGRAMS

Milwaukee County and West Allis operate the 2 Section 8 Rent Assistance Programs available in the HPA. The status of their respective waiting lists is considered separately.

Milwaukee County

As of February 2, 1995, the County's Section 8 waiting list had 8,966 names. Of these names 4,954 (53.7%) qualify under federal preferences. The waiting list was last opened for 1 week in March, 1994 and 1 week in April, 1994. The Program assists approximately 100 new recipients a year because of client turnover. Historically, the Program is also allocated about 50 new certificates/vouchers per year. At this rate of availability (about 150 units a year), the demand for rent assistance is so great that it will take over 60 years just to serve those households on the current list.

Data describing the composition of those with preference indicate:

Percentage of those paying more than 50% of income for rent	97.3%
Percentage single parent families	92.5%
Percentage minority	84.9%
Percentage below 39 years old	77.6%
Percentage seeking 2 or 3 bedroom (small family) units	69.5%

West Allis

City of West Allis waiting list for the Section 8 Rent Assistance program has 488 names on it. Data describing the waiting list indicate:

Percentage of those paying more than 50% of income for rent	n/a
Percentage single parent families	68%
Percentage minority	80%
Percentage seeking 2 or 3 bedroom (small family) units	73%
Percentage below 39 years old	59%
Female Head of Household	83%

III.C Homeless Needs

Determining housing needs of the homeless is fraught with difficulty. The homeless population itself is fragmented, and the forces that drive this diversity impact how they can be approached. The temporary needs of an economically displaced parent with children are different than those of a chronically mentally ill substance abuser, for example. Weather can be a deciding factor in an individual's decision to seek shelter.

The Milwaukee County Continuum of Care application for 2004 shows that there were 6,339 referrals of all types for emergency shelter in 2003.

Although shelter requests are known to originate within the HPA, data is not collected to document this. There have been almost no reports of homeless persons on HPA streets.

The Continuum find that there is a need for 2 additional facilities for emergency shelter. Equally, if not more important, is the need for a greater range of shelter options, transitional housing, and permanent affordable housing with supportive services.

There are no known data describing housing needs of persons threatened with homelessness. As a matter of policy, in the operation of its housing and community development programs, Milwaukee County avoids displacing residents unless there is no possible alternative. In general, government sponsored displacement is a rare occurrence in the HPA communities.

III.D Other Special Needs

While the need for supportive housing for various groups exists in the HPA, inadequate data exist to accurately describe it. Special needs assessments often focus on the Milwaukee area in general, or even southeastern Wisconsin. Separating out an accurate picture of the County HPA is not possible. There are reports of elderly and disabled persons waiting five or more years to gain entry into suitable affordable units, but these reports do not lead to an accurate assessment. However, there is little doubt that the resources that are likely to be available will be used appropriately.

Until reliable data are available, estimates prepared for 2000 - 2004 are continued for this Plan.

HPA Table 3, which follows this page, estimates the supportive housing needs for non-homeless persons with special needs. The sources and definitions for these estimates follow.

1. Elderly

   The Milwaukee County Commission on Aging commissioned a needs assessment of the elderly living in the County. The survey determined that there were 2,266 persons over the age of 60 in the HPA who consider affordable housing a problem. (A comparable estimate of elderly renters reporting housing problems, with income <50% MFI, derived from HPA Table 2, is 4,240).

   The survey total is used as an indicator of the number of elderly households with a need for supportive housing.

2. Frail Elderly

   A needs study published by the Urban Institute Press ("The Needs of the Elderly in the 21st Century", Zedlewski, 1990) found that nation-wide, 14.4% of the elderly population has activity limitations that would present them as frail.

   Applying 14.4% to the estimated 2,266 persons in the HPA who consider affordable housing a problem would indicate that 326 households in the HPA have a need for housing that supports the frail elderly.

3. Severe Mental Illness
   Persons with Alcohol/Other Drug Addictions

   On May 3, 1993, the Milwaukee Journal reported that the Wisconsin Coalition for Advocacy has found a need for 1,100 units in the County for those who are mentally ill, chronic alcoholics, or homeless.

   Considering that 34.3% of the County's population is in the HPA, assuming an even distribution of such people across the County, there is a need for 377 supportive units for the severely mentally ill and those with alcohol or other addictions in the HPA.

4. Developmentally Disabled

   Discussions with the County's Department of Human Services Adult Services Division indicates there are over

300 developmentally disabled persons on waiting lists for supported housing at this time. Approximately 20 to 50 clients receive housing each year, which translates to a 6 to 15 year wait.

Housing for the developmentally disabled tends to be located in the City of Milwaukee. Assuming the need is evenly distributed and applying the HPA population percentage of 34.3% to the waiting list, there is a need for 103 supported housing units for the developmentally disabled in the HPA now.

5. Physically Disabled

The South Eastern Wisconsin Center for Independent Living conducted a needs analysis of persons with physical disabilities and sensory impairments. The study determined there are 6,000 persons with disabilities in Milwaukee County whose circumstances require them to receive services. (The 1990 census reported that there are 29,335 persons in the County who have a disability. Not all such persons require supportive housing.)

Assuming an even distribution of 6,000 persons with disabilities across the County, and applying the percentage of these with disabilities in the County to the HPA (6,000/964,988 * 331,187), indicates there are 2,053 persons in the HPA with disabilities that require them to receive services.

The study found that 75% of all physically disabled persons were satisfied with their current housing. It is therefore assumed that there are
(.25 * 2,053 = 513) households with a physically disabled member in the HPA with a need for supportive housing.

6. Persons with AIDS and Related Diseases

The SEWCIL study reported that there is a projected mean of 739 new HIV cases in the 4 county Metropolitan Statistical Area in 1993. There is a projected mean of 378 new AIDS cases in the same area for 1993.

The percentage of the HPA population of the MSA total is 23.9%. Applying this to the total mean of the projected AIDS/HIV cases could indicate there are 267 AIDS/HIV cases in the HPA. It is unlikely that such cases are evenly distributed throughout the MSA, but there are no data to further refine this estimate.

The SEWCIL study found that of responding AIDS/HIV cases, 25% have housing problems. This percentage, put to the projected 267 cases in the HPA, could show an estimated 67 households in need of supportive housing in the HPA.

III.E Lead Based Paint Hazards

As addressed later in Section IV, the County is aware of the hazards of lead paint, and is beginning to assess the extent of the problem and what can be done about it. No clear data about lead hazards exist. While census data indicate that 88.4% of HPA owner occupied housing (up to 98.7% in Whitefish Bay) was built before 1980, and thus can be assumed to contain lead paint, the presence of lead paint does not in itself mean a hazard exists. Housing maintenance and cleanliness contribute to a problem, as do the ages of the occupants. Various articles in the Milwaukee Journal have reported the incidence of elevated blood lead levels ranges from 2 to 17% in HPA households. Reports have also indicated that some owners, concerned about the presence of lead paint, have carried out rehabilitation using improper abatement methods. There is indication that unsafe housing rehab is overtaking existing housing conditions as a primary cause of childhood lead poisoning. Although the seriousness of lead hazards demand attention, much is uncertain about the problem.

SECTION IV: STRATEGIC PLAN

IV.A General

Section I described six issues confronting Milwaukee County. Each of these issues is repeated below, along with a caption summarizing its significance.

1. Households

   Less than half (45%) of County households are a traditional nuclear family. Two in ten households have a single parent. Households of 1 or more un-related persons are increasing (40%). While declining in size, the elderly comprise the largest category of housing need.

2. Economic Base

   The Milwaukee economy has gained in jobs that pay substantially less than jobs that were lost. Much job growth has occurred outside of the City of Milwaukee (and Milwaukee County), often in areas of housing unaffordable to workers in the jobs.

3. Housing

   (Considered only for the HPA).

   An increasing percentage (35.4%) of households are of low income. Housing affordability is an issue: more than 24,700 households (1 of every 6) pay more than 30% of their income for housing; almost 6,000 renters (half of whom are elderly) pay more than 50% for rent; in 14 communities a median income household cannot buy a median priced house.

4. Housing Choice

   Forces across southeastern Wisconsin that limited housing choice and help create segregated housing patterns are not the same forces that maintain them. Legal and institutional racism has given way to economic factors and perceptions.

5. Preservation and Maintenance

   Changes in the potential for economic growth dictate the need to protect, enhance, and rehabilitate existing facilities, infrastructure, and housing.

6. Special Needs

   As societies change some people are left behind. Meeting basic human needs is in the common good.

These six issues of change affect all of Milwaukee County. They are the basis for this Strategic Plan, which takes into account Milwaukee County government's limitations and advantages, as well as the attributes of resources available to meet the Plan's objectives..

The County participates with the Cities of Wauwatosa and West Allis in a consortium for the HOME Program. Parts of this Plan are considered separately for each entity.

IV.B Affordable Housing

For the reasons discussed elsewhere in this document, the Strategic Plan for Affordable Housing affects only the 18 suburban communities in the Housing Planning Area (HPA)

GEOGRAPHIC PRIORITIES

There is a population in need of housing assistance in every HPA community. Because of this, and because opportunities may present themselves, geographic priorities do not imply an exclusion of assistance to communities not addressed as a priority.

Renters

Data indicate the higher median rent rates are in the northeast part of the County. This inhibits locating rent assistance here. While this may be a reason to locate newly constructed assisted units in this area, high land and development costs require additional subsidy to accomplish this. More units may be produced in other parts of the County. Because of these factors, no geographic priorities for assistance for renters are established.

Because of the high cost of extending public transit to areas not already served, housing for the elderly, the disabled, and others with special needs shall be located near an existing bus line, unless a project developer covenants to otherwise provide transportation to residents.

Owners

Based on several indicators (including age of units and median value, as described in Section II), a priority for owner occupied rehabilitation is set for the southeastern and west-central areas of the County. Communities in these areas will be encouraged to allocate additional resources to this activity.

No geographic priority is established for first-time buyer assistance. This activity will be encouraged County-wide, although the expectation is that most assistance will go to communities with lower cost housing.

PRIORITY NEEDS

The priority needs for affordable housing are presented on Table 25: Priority Needs Summary, which follows this page.

The priorities are based on the estimates of the total households in need, as stated in the SOCDS data and the tables derived from this, found in Section III of this Plan. These estimates are re-formatted and presented as an attachment to Table 25. The estimates are then ranked on Table 25, showing the relative "High", "Medium", and "Low" need amongst different income groups.

Because of the way data was collated, substandard and overcrowded units are considered together. It is believed these needs are under-counted.

OBSTACLES TO MEETING PRIORITY NEEDS

The attachment to Table 25 also shows that there are over 25,000 households in the HPA demonstrating a housing need based upon affordability, overcrowding, or occupying substandard units. The size of this need is well beyond any reasonable expectation of resources to meet it. Any other potential obstacles to meeting these needs become insignificant next to the lack of resources.

PRIORITIES: RENTERS

As shown in the Community Profile, there is a need for additional affordable rental units. However, the cost of development and the shortage of developable land steers attention to rent assistance, and causes a lower priority for new construction. Rent assistance for the elderly is a priority based upon the needs discussed in Section III and the relative under-use by the elderly in the HPA of this resource. Rent assistance for families is assigned a priority because of the demand exhibited by waiting lists. A priority is established for new construction for elderly renters, where large elderly populations and long waits describe a demand for additional units. It is anticipated most of this demand will be addressed by non-profit agencies participating in the Section 202 program. To facilitate possible development, rehabilitation for elderly and family housing is assigned a lower priority.

TABLE 25
PRIORITY NEEDS SUMMARY TABLE

PRIORITY HOUSING NEEDS			Priority Need Level High, Medium, Low, No Such Need			ESTIMATED UNITS	ESTIMATED DOLLARS TO ADDRESS
			0-30%	31-50%	51-80%		
RENTER	Small Related	Cost Burden > 30%	L	M	H		
		Cost Burden > 50%	H	M	L		
		Substandard	N	M	H		
		Overcrowded					
	Other	Cost Burden > 30%	L	M	H		
		Cost Burden > 50%	H	M	L		
		Substandard	L	M	H		
		Overcrowded					
	Large Related	Cost Burden > 30%	L	H	M		
		Cost Burden > 50%	H	M	N		
		Substandard	N	M	H		
		Overcrowded					
	Elderly	Cost Burden > 30%	L	H	M		
		Cost Burden > 50%	H	M	L		
		Substandard	L	L	H		
		Overcrowded					
OWNER		Cost Burden >30%	L	M	H		
		Cost Burden > 50%	H	M	L		
		Substandard	M	L	H		
		Overcrowded					

Priority Needs Summary: Attachment to Table 25

Owners	MFI:	0-30%	31-50%	51-80%	HHs	
Elderly	Any problem	2,002	2,639	1,808	6,449	
	Cost>30%	1,995	2,607	1,784	6,386	
	Cost>50%	1,496	1,110	474	3,080	
Small Related	Any problem	1,258	1,335	654	3,247	
	Cost>30%	1,251	1,294	517	3,062	
	Cost>50%	1,050	190	7	1,247	
Large Related	Any problem	175	121	179	475	
	Cost>30%	165	99	49	313	
	Cost>50%	125	0	0	125	
Other	Any problem	2,012	1,780	1,362	5,154	
	Cost>30%	1,986	1,764	1,218	4,968	
	Cost>50%	1,713	315	40	2,068	
					14,729	Any problem
					6,520	Cost>30%
					15,325	Cost>50%

Owners	MFI:	0-30%	31-50%	51-80%	HHs	
Elderly	Any problem	1,897	1,888	1,042	4,827	
	Cost>30%	1,887	1,883	1,035	4,805	
	Cost>50%	1,203	547	356	2,106	
Small Related	Any problem	446	612	1,779	2,837	
	Cost>30%	446	612	1,775	2,833	
	Cost>50%	424	401	442	1,267	
Large Related	Any problem	71	162	425	658	
	Cost>30%	71	148	385	604	
	Cost>50%	71	64	113	248	
Other	Any problem	443	352	995	1,790	
	Cost>30%	439	352	995	1,786	
	Cost>50%	373	202	286	861	
					10,028	Any problem
					4,482	Cost>30%
					10,112	Cost>50%
				Total	24,757	Any problem
				Total	11,002	Cost>30%
				Total	25,437	Cost>50%

Elderly 1 & 2 Member Households

Part III pointed out that the largest percentage of HPA households in need are elderly. The demand for more elderly units, demonstrated by the excessive waiting lists and an increasing elderly population, results in a priority for the construction of more of these units. The cost of housing is also an issue with elderly renters, and providing rental assistance also rates a priority. The County assigned a lower priority to rehab assistance for elderly units because, while the real solutions to the elderly housing shortage are believed to be in the other two categories, an appropriate opportunity should not be overlooked.

Small Related Households (2-4 People)

In general, cost is seen as the principal family rental issue. Unit availability is less of an issue, although this is affected by location. Because rent assistance can more easily address locational issues, it is a priority. A lower priority is assigned for new construction to take advantage of opportunities offered by available land and financial resources. Rehab can be a more cost-effective approach likely to generate a greater cost savings, and thus it is also a priority.

Large Related Households (5 or More People)

There is a small need for large family assistance, reflecting a relatively low percentage of large family households. Rent assistance for large families is a priority. A lower priority for rehab is based on the traditional cost savings in rehab, as a possible opportunity for unit expansion. A lower priority is similarly assigned for large household new construction.

Other Households

The data shows that households of 1 or more unrelated individuals make up the second highest percentage of need in the HPA. This presents a problem for the County. Section 8 Rental Assistance, identified as a primary tool for addressing the needs of low income renters, excludes other households from participation. (There are some exceptions such as for physically disabled persons and their attendants). Because there is no clear solution to this problem, no priorities have been established.

PRIORITIES: OWNERS

Rehabilitation for owners is a priority to meet the code enforcement and deferred maintenance needs described in Section III. Based upon discussion with development officials, home-buyer assistance is also a priority. Families without children receive a secondary priority principally because the cost of supporting children demands a greater need for assistance.

PROPOSED ACCOMPLISHMENTS: MILWAUKEE COUNTY

This Plan increases the supply of standard, affordable housing through the following objectives.

1.) Providing Rent Assistance
Goal for the next 5 years:

Adding 300 units to the County's Rent Assistance Program
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	150
31-50% MFI	150
51-80% MFI	0
	300

Funds expected to be available:
Federal Section 8 Rent Assistance

2.) Providing New Rental Units
Goal for the next 5 years:

Producing 250 new elderly units
Producing 50 rehabilitated elderly units
Producing 50 new small family/other household units
Producing 100 rehabilitated small family/other household units
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	90
31-50% MFI	180
51-80% MFI	180
	450

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program
Federal Community Development Block Grant Program
Federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program

3.) Rehabilitating Owner Occupied Housing
Goal for the Next 5 years:

Providing home repairs to 600 units
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	300
31-50% MFI	150
51-80% MFI	150
	600

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program
Federal Community Development Block Grant Program

4.) Providing Accessible Housing for the Physically Disabled
Goal for the next 5 years:

Making accessibility modifications to 50 units
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	20
31-50% MFI	20
51-80% MFI	10
	50

Funds expected to be available:
Federal Community Development Block Grant Program

5.) Encouraging Homeownership for First-Time Homebuyers
Goal for the next 5 years:

Assist 75 households to purchase a home
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	0
31-50% MFI	6
51-80% MFI	54
	60

Funds expected to be available:

Federal HOME Program

PROPOSED ACCOMPLISHMENTS: WAUWATOSA

1.) Rehabilitating Owner Occupied Housing
Goal for the Next 5 years:

Providing home repairs to 40 units
Housholds to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	10
31-50% MFI	10
51-80% MFI	20
	40

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program

PROPOSED ACCOMPLISHMENTS: WEST ALLIS

This Plan increases the supply of standard, affordable housing through the following objectives.

1.) Providing Rent Assistance
Goal for the next 5 years:

Adding 60 new units to the Rent Assistance Program. The housing units would be new in program units and would replace household that have left the program either through choice, change in status, death, violation/termination, etc. Over the past few years, HUD has reduced the annual budget for the Rent Assistance Program. West Allis will continue to effectively administer the program and to balance program funds in order to assist as many individuals as possible.

Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	40
31-50% MFI	20
51-80% MFI	0
	60

Funds expected to be available:
Federal Section 8 Rent Assistance

2.) Providing New Rental Units
Goal for the next 5 years:

Producing 200 new elderly units
Producing 50 rehabilitated elderly units
Producing 50 new small family/other household units
Producing 100 rehabilitated small family/other household units
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	150
31-50% MFI	250
51-80% MFI	0
	400

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program
Federal Community Development Block Grant Program
Federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program

3.) Rehabilitating Owner Occupied Housing
Goal for the Next 5 years:

Providing home repairs to 250 units
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	25
31-50% MFI	150
51-80% MFI	75
	250

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program
Federal Community Development Block Grant Program

4.) Providing Accessible Housing for the Physically Disabled
Goal for the next 5 years:

Making accessibility modifications to 15 units
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	5
31-50% MFI	5
51-80% MFI	5
	15

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program
Federal Community Development Block Grant Program

5.) Encouraging Homeownership for First-Time Homebuyers
Goal for the next 5 years:

Assist 60 households to purchase a home
Households to be assisted:

0-30% MFI	0
31-50% MFI	6
51-80% MFI	54
	60

Funds expected to be available:
Federal HOME Program

IV.C Homelessness

Homelessness, as an issue for involvement by Milwaukee County government, is complex. The following factors all impact upon it.

1. Homeless persons are undoubtably originating from the HPA and seeking shelter within facilities in the City of Milwaukee, but existing data cannot describe how large this group is.

2. Fluctuations in demand occasionally cause some shelters to turn people away, although other facilities may have available beds.

3. There has been virtually no evidence of homeless street persons in the HPA. There has been very little public discussion of the issue as a matter of concern in these communities.

4. County government is not an entitlement recipient of federal homeless assistance funds.

5. County government cannot spend federal housing production funds in the City of Milwaukee.

6. County government financially supports homeless facilities and services operating in the City of Milwaukee.

Preventing homelessness and transitional housing for the homeless in the Housing Planning Area are considered part of the goals for Rent Assistance, as described in Part IV.B above.

Milwaukee County participates in the Milwaukee Continuum of Care, a body coordinating homelessness efforts between the City of Milwaukee, homeless service agencies, and Milwaukee County. The Continuum is preparing a 10 year strategy for ending homelessness. The goals of this strategy, as they impact Milwaukee County and the Housing Planning Area, are incorporated into this Consolidated Plan.

IV.D Non-Homeless With Special Needs

Over 70% of the households in need of supportive housing are elderly or frail elderly, and are included in the general priorities and goals for the elderly that are stated above. Other such households include the physically, mentally, and developmentally disabled, as well as those with AIDS. Rent assistance, in conjunction with services provided by appropriate agencies, is designated as the priority strategy for addressing these needs. Goals are included under Rent Assistance in Part IV.B above. CHDOs participating in HOME may also propose new or rehab projects for this population.

IV.E Non-Housing Community Development Plan

INTRODUCTION

Establishing non-housing community development priority needs and specific objectives in the context of a comprehensive, consolidated planning strategy poses unique challenges to an "urban county" entitlement. An urban county represents a coalition of diverse communities, each with its own character, and each possessing broad powers of self governance granted under state law that it exercises in its own perceived self interest.

Milwaukee County's CDBG entitled "urban county" is comprised of 16 individual political subdivisions and no unincorporated areas. Some of these municipalities are older communities, fully developed, requiring various degrees of redevelopment. Other municipalities are in stages of new development, suffering the throes of transformation from an agricultural environment to that of the broader urban community of the metropolitan area. These different types of communities result in very different perceptions of needs and priorities.

Also within Milwaukee County are three larger cities (Milwaukee, West Allis, Wauwatosa) whose status as CDBG entitlement cities does not diminish their impact on the physical, social and political environment of the County as a whole. The needs and problems of these three municipalities cannot help but have an effect on the balance of the County. They are included in the objectives of this Plan.

And then there is Milwaukee County itself, a separate political subdivision of the state, which has different functions and mandates under the law than those assumed by cities and villages. Principal among these functions for purposes of this Plan are social welfare, health care, and parks and recreation. Thus, the County brings a third perspective to the establishment of priorities and objectives.

PRIORITY DETERMINATIONS

Because of the diverse perspectives this urban county strategy must accommodate, the County sought input through public hearings at the County wide level and within each of the participating municipalities. Surveys and focus groups were also utilized to determine needs and priority levels. As a result, the following non-housing community development priority areas have been established:

1. Provision of social services to selected components of the population to maximize their potential to contribute to society and ensure their access to services.

2. Improve and develop infrastructure as necessary to ensure adequate functioning of the community and to eliminate negative perceptions within the community.

3. Preparation for and provision of full-time permanent employment that promotes self-sufficiency, through economic development efforts and training.

These priorities take into account the social changes discussed earlier in this document and recognize the nature of the independent political subdivisions which comprise the urban county. An aging population and increasing numbers of single parent households together with mandated emphasis on assistance to the disabled require provision of certain service types and levels. Older, fully developed municipalities are in need of infrastructure replacement while newer, developing and transforming communities need new infrastructure. And, economic development and employment needs are reflective of a changing economic base which requires training and education efforts as well as job creation.

Because of the level of need exhibited in the three identified priority areas, no attempt was made to differentiate a relative hierarchy of priority. Thus, all the priority need areas are high priorities. With the limited amount of funding available to address other needs it would be unnecessarily raising expectations to discuss medium and low priority needs in this strategy.

GEOGRAPHIC ALLOCATION

Identification of geographic distribution of resources in order to meet non-housing community development needs is very difficult given the nature of the Milwaukee County "urban county". Few concentrated areas of low and moderate income persons exist in the participating municipalities. Past activities directed at alleviating need have been narrowly focused and client, or client group, directed rather than targeted by geographic areas. The exception to this has been activities carried out in the City of Milwaukee. Where such non-housing community development activities have been carried out by Milwaukee County they have taken place in the City's target area based on the City's variable factor analysis.

The primary objective of Milwaukee County's Community Development Program is to maintain the participating municipalities as viable urban communities, through the provisions of decent housing and a suitable living environment, and the expansion and improvement of economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income. To assure the effectiveness of this objective each participating municipality must be assured access to funding to address locally identified priority needs. This is accomplished through local self selection of activities, after a thorough citizen participation process, together with an assessment of factors such as population and extent of low and moderate income households. Thus, the targeting of funds is based partially on geographic factors but primarily on need factors of individual clients or client groups.

PRIORITY OBJECTIVES

1.) Provision of social services to selected components of the population and assurance of access to these services.

   A. Objectives

      i Provide health and recreational services to a growing elderly and disadvantaged population.

      ii Assure physical access to services for elderly, disabled, and other special needs populations.

      iii Provide recreational and educational opportunities for youth, in response to growing numbers of single parent households, households with two wage earners, and those responsible for foster children.

      iv Assist crime awareness and drug abuse programs in response to burgeoning urban

development in transforming communities.

v Provide support services for lower income households seeking self-sufficiency.

Obstacles

The lack of a coordinated social service delivery system throughout Milwaukee County poses a major obstacle to meeting service needs. This lack creates gaps in services and duplication of services and leads to inefficient use of the scarce resources available. The lack of the physical facilities from which to deliver services is also an obstacle in many parts of the County. And, unpredictable demand for services due to shifting federal and state policies makes it difficult to plan for future service needs.

While the County plays a large role in social service delivery it must work cooperatively with a network of non-County organizations which plan and operate independently. Collaborative efforts with these groups, such as the Family Self-Sufficiency program, is one example of addressing these obstacles.

B. Proposed 5-Year Accomplishments

i Develop, through new construction, rehabilitation, or expansion, one new senior center facility.

ii Assist, through renovation, expansion or construction, with 10 facilities serving youth.

iii Renovate or expand 2 health care facilities serving primarily lower income, disadvantaged persons.

iv Provide health and recreational services to over 30,000 senior citizens.

v Assist 50 activities that will provide services, accessibility services and programs to disabled persons.

vi Provide recreational, educational, and health services to 20,000 youth.

vii Provide health services to 16,000 senior citizens.

2.) Improve and develop infrastructure

A. Objectives

i Replace deteriorating streets, alleys, sidewalks, bridges and sewers in lower income areas or in blighted areas.

ii Remove blighting influences through demolition or renovation.

iii Provide new infrastructure to meet demands of a growing or transforming population.

iv Work with the private sector to meet unmet infrastructure needs required by lower income citizens.

Obstacles

Diminishing tax bases in some communities and development that outpaces the tax base in other communities pose real obstacles to the improvement and development of needed infrastructure. Coordination of local public funds, private funds, and federal dollars is often complicated by conflicting and needlessly cumbersome rules and regulations. And, in a free, democratic society it

is often difficult for citizens represented by varying levels of government to reach consensus on how to best use available resources.

B. Proposed 5-Year Accomplishments

i Develop, through construction, rehabilitation or expansion 10 park and/or recreational facilities.

ii Renovate or demolish 5 major blighting influences.

iii Assist 4 activities that will construct, reconstruct or resurface local sidewalks, streets and sewers.

iv Work with 2 non-profit organizations to assist with supplementing the provision of needed facilities.

3.) Economic Development and Employment

A. Objectives

i Provide assistance to private businesses with the assurance that jobs will be created as a result.

ii Work with private, non-profit organizations to provide lower income persons with employment training.

iii Provide gap filling employment services necessary for households receiving public assistance to become self-sufficient.

Obstacles

The lack of decent jobs that pay a wage sufficient to support a family is a critical obstacle to making meaningful progress toward the achievement of self-sufficiency for many lower income families currently dependent upon some form of public assistance. The location of many jobs in geographic areas that are not accessible to a vast portion of the lower income population is also a serious obstacle that has led to the needless expenditure of scarce resources to make the connection between available labor and available jobs. And, a shifting economic base that once featured higher paying manufacturing jobs now is showing expansion in lower wage service jobs. This change makes it more difficult for wage earners to support families and leads to other social dislocation within the household, the neighborhood, and community at large.

B. Proposed 5-Year Accomplishments

i Provide employment training for 100 lower income individuals.

ii Create 40 jobs for lower income individuals with wages that can support a family.

iii Identify and provide gap filling services for 55 households attempting to become self-sufficient.

While the planning function has not been identified as a specific objective of this plan, it should be understood that planning determined to be necessary to effectuate accomplishments related to stated objectives will be considered to be consistent with this plan's objectives.

IV.F. Barriers To Affordable Housing

MILWAUKEE COUNTY

The County has little ability to address the most obvious barriers to affordable housing. The entire County is comprised of incorporated municipalities, each holding a charter entitling it to "home rule". There are no unincorporated areas the County has direct control over. The County no longer has a zoning ordinance, building code, or any other development controls. Under state statute, property taxes must be applied equally, and tax abatements are prohibited. There are no local rent control ordinances. The only current County ordinance directly addressing housing policy is the County's Fair Housing Ordinance.

The County participates in federal housing and community development programs based upon cooperation with the communities that enable an entitlement status. The County will maximize affordable housing within the constraints allowed by available financial resources, program requirements, and adopted legislation.

WEST ALLIS

West Allis has a good supply of affordable housing and often ranks amongst the top communities in terms of housing sales. The City has zoning ordinances that are not exclusionary. Current zoning permits single family homes and duplexes on 30 foot wide lots with a minimum of 3,600 sq. ft. of land area. In addition, multi-family dwellings, besides being permitted in the appropriate multi-family zoning districts, can be constructed in commercial districts upon obtaining a special use permit as prescribed in the zoning ordinances.

In the scope of planning and addressing barriers to affordable housing, public transportation may appear as a challenge or a needed amenity. West Allis is fortunate to have ample public bus routes that access many family neighborhoods. The City incorporates into planning the use of public transportation and other commuter amenities such as bike paths and pedestrian linkages. West Allis recently received a $500,000 grant from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation to create a cross-town connector that serves the Hank Aaron Trail on the eastside with the Waukesha County on the west. These amenities will serve those individuals without access to an automobile or those seeking other transportation means.

As a community, affordable housing goes beyond a housing stock that is affordable. The City recently worked with Tri Corp. Housing to reuse the vacant former St. Joan Antida Nursing Home for an independent facility to serve handicapped individuals both physical and mental. The facility has 75 units for residents that are supported through SSI or veteran's benefits.

WAUWATOSA

The City of Wauwatosa consisting of 13.06 square miles is 99.1% developed. Within the City is a 1-1/2 square mile area known as the Milwaukee County Institutions which is governed by Milwaukee County. With almost a total lack of vacant land available for residential development, redevelopment of existing sites becomes a costly but necessary alternative. The Wauwatosa Zoning Code is similar to codes existing in the cities of Milwaukee and West Allis. The majority of single family housing is situated on less than 45 feet of lot frontage and was constructed prior to 1950. The City of Wauwatosa has also made extensive use of Planned Development Zoning Districts over a 21 year period which provide flexibility and the highest residential densities in the metropolitan area as an inducement for residential development.

IV.G. Lead Based Paint Hazard Reduction

The County's 5 year strategy to reduce lead-based paint hazards include the following steps:

(1) Determining the legal and regulatory requirements the County must comply with. The complexities of the issues have caused much confusion over what the appropriate public response is. Initiatives by the State of Wisconsin have met with mixed success.

(2) Determining the extent of the hazard and the population at risk. Current estimates based upon census indicators are not adequate to develop and fund programs.

(3) Assessing on-going activities to abate lead hazards. The health departments of 13 HPA communities have formed a consortium to jointly address the problem. Communities within the consortium are pursuing this issue with varying degrees of vigor, but this consortium is a logical base to consider further action.

(4) Identifying funding resources for lead hazard reduction.

(5) Investigating the most cost-effective abatement methods.

The County's Housing Division shall, in the operation of its own programs, comply with the State of Wisconsin Department of Health, Industry, Labor, and Human Relations orders regarding the certification of contractors and protocols for identifying and mitigating lead hazards.

The County recognizes the significance of the health concerns about lead hazards. However, the unknown extent of the hazards, the conflicting theories concerning abatement procedures, unclear regulatory requirements and funding resources, and a desire to avoid duplicating existing efforts all create uncertainty.

IV.H Anti-Poverty Strategy

The County will reduce the number of families with incomes below the poverty level by the following strategies:

1. Job Creation for Low Income Persons

   The County will continue to provide Community Development Block Grant Funds, as well as other assistance, to employers creating jobs intended for low income persons.

2. Social Welfare Programs

   Milwaukee County operates an array of social welfare programs intended to provide a level of maintenance. Most programs have conditions and incentives to encourage participants to seek a more independent lifestyle.

IV.I/J Institutional Structure and Coordination

The institutional structure appears adequate to carry out this Plan.

MILWAUKEE COUNTY

The County shall carry out its housing efforts through its Housing Division.

The County shall work with non-profit organizations in providing housing and in supporting fair housing. The County shall work with designated Community Housing Development Organizations in implementing HOME eligible activities.

The County shall rely upon private developers as partners in the completion of new construction or substantial rehabilitation projects.

The County shall work closely with the 18 HPA municipal governments, including the 2 communities making up the HOME consortium, to implement the HOME and Community Development Block Grant Program.

WEST ALLIS

The City shall carry out its housing efforts through its Department of Development's Housing Division.

The Department will also work with non-profit organizations and public authorities, such as the Community Development Authority, in providing affordable housing and in supporting fair housing.

The City will rely principally on private developers for the completion of new construction. Successfully, the City has worked with developers to develop tax credit senior living communities. The City has provided tax incremental financing to underwrite some of the infrastructure or land acquisition costs. The City uses CDBG funds to underwrite planning and investigative costs for a project. In addition, the City provides "gap financing" to a project when its operating income is insufficient to attract all the equity required and the project also assists low-moderate income individuals.

Other significant participants in the process will be in the City's Community Development Authority, the Economic Development Committee, the Community Development Block Committee and the Fair Housing Board, Wisconsin Women's Business Initiative Corp. as well as the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority (WHEDA).

In the delivery of programs and services, the city utilizes the West Allis Health Department and Senior Center among its strengths. Milwaukee County and United Way Agencies offer outreach services for West Allis residents. Further, the City through the CDBG program contracts with organizations such as the Family Resource Center to assist young families with parenting and with West Central Interfaith to work with the elderly and frail who might be homebound.

WAUWATOSA

The City of Wauwatosa intends to carry out its housing efforts through contract services with the Milwaukee County Division of Housing and Community Development. The Wauwatosa City Planning Division shall coordinate these activities and provide the necessary CDBG funding to implement them.

The City, as has been past practice, will rely on private developers and assist existing property owners to develop, expand, and rehabilitate housing in the community. The City has a housing authority which may assist in financing housing projects. CDBG funds have been used for rehabilitation purposes and would be intended to provide a further means for accomplishing this purpose.

IV.K Public Housing Resident Initiatives

The City of South Milwaukee is the only HPA community that operates public housing (the 60 unit Park Crest project). Other HPA communities have organized public housing authorities primarily as a financing mechanism, or have PHAs that exist only on paper.

Persons interested in South Milwaukee units are advised to contact the PHA at 762-2222.

SECTION V: CERTIFICATIONS

In accordance with the applicable statutes and the regulations governing the consolidated strategy and plan regulations, Milwaukee County certifies that:

V.A. Affirmatively Further Fair Housing

The jurisdiction will affirmatively further fair housing, which means it will conduct an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting that analysis and actions in this regard.

V.B. Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan

It will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR 24; and it has in effect and is following a residential antidisplacement and relocation assistance plan required under section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, in connection with any activity assisted with funding under the CDBG or HOME programs.

V.C. Drug-Free Workplace

It will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition.

2. Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about:

   (a) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;

   (b) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;

   (c) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and

   (d) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.

3. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph 1.

4. Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph 1 that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will:

   (a) Abide by the terms of the statement; and

   (b) Notify the employer in writing, of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction.

5. Notifying the agency in writing within, ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph 4(b) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer or other designee on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

6. Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph 4(b), with respect to any employee who is so convicted.

   (a) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

   (b) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency.

7. Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

V.D Anti-lobbying

To the best of the jurisdiction's knowledge and belief:

1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of it, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;

2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer of employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, it will complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying", in accordance with its instructions; and

3. It will require that the language of paragraph (n) of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

V.E Authority of Jurisdiction

The consolidated plan is authorized under State and local law (as applicable) and the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations.

V.F Consistency With Plan

The housing activities to be undertaken with CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA funds are consistent with the strategic plan.

V.G Section 3

The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 USC 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR 135.

V.H Specific CDBG Certifications

The jurisdiction certifies that:

Citizen Participation -- It is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR 91.105.

Community Development Plan -- Its consolidated housing and community development plan identifies community development and housing needs and specifies both short-term and long-term community development objectives that provide decent housing, expand economic opportunities primarily for persons of low and moderate income. (See CFR 24 570.2 and CFR 24 part 570)

Following a Plan -- It is following a current consolidated plan (or Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy) that has been approved by HUD.

Use of Funds -- It has complied with the following criteria:

1. Maximum Feasible Priority. With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG funds, it certifies that it has developed its Action Plan so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which benefit low and moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. The Action Plan may also include activities which the grantee certifies are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular urgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community, and other financial resources are not available);

2. Overall Benefit. The aggregate use of CDBG funds including Section 108 guaranteed loans during program years(s) 2003 - 2005 (a period specified by the grantee consisting of one, two, or three specific consecutive program years), shall principally benefit persons of low and moderate income in a manner that ensures that at least 70 percent of the amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons during the designated period;

3. Special Assessments. It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements.

   However, if CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment that relates to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with CDBG funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds.

   The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108, unless CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements financed from other revenue sources. In this case, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. Also, in the case of properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property for public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

V.I Excessive Force

It has adopted and is enforcing:

1. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and

2. A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within it jurisdiction.

V.J Compliance With Anti-Discrimination Laws

The grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.

2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 USC 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.

V.K Lead-Based Paint

Its notification, inspection, testing and abatement procedures concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of 24 CFR 570.608;

V.L Compliance with Laws

It will comply with applicable laws.

V.M Specific HOME Certifications

1. Tenant Based Rental Assistance - If the participating jurisdiction intends to provide tenant-based rental assistance:

   The use of HOME funds for tenant-based rental assistance is an essential element of the participating jurisdiction's consolidated plan for expanding the supply, affordability, and availability of decent, safe, sanitary, and affordable housing.

2. Eligible Activities and Costs - It is using and will use HOME funds for eligible activities and costs, as described in 24 CFR 92.205 through 92.209 and that it is not using and will not use HOME funds for prohibited activities, as described in 92.214.

3. Appropriate Financial Assistance - Before committing funds to a project, it will evaluate the project in accordance with the guidelines that it adopts for this purpose and will not invest any more HOME funds in combination with other Federal assistance than is necessary to provide affordable housing.

APPENDIX TO CERTIFICATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING LOBBYING AND DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS:

A. Lobbying Certification

   This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31 U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

B. Drug-Free Workplace Certification

   1. By signing and/or submitting this application or grant agreement, the grantee is providing the certification.

   2. The certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance is placed when the agency awards the grant. If it is later determined that the grantee knowingly rendered a false certification, or otherwise violates the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act, HUD, in addition to any other remedies available to the Federal Government, may take action authorized under the Drug-Free Workplace Act.

   3. For grantees other than individuals, Alternate I applies. (This is the information to which jurisdictions certify.)

   4. For grantees who are individuals, Alternate II applies. (Not applicable jurisdictions.)

   5. Workplaces under grants, for grantees other than individuals, need not be identified on the certification. If

known, they may be identified in the grant application. If the grantee does not identify the workplaces at the time of application, or upon award, if there is no application, the grantee must keep the identity of the workplace(s) on file in its office and make the information available for Federal inspection. Failure to identify all known workplaces constitutes a violation of the grantee's drug-free workplace requirements.

6. Workplace identifications must include the actual address of buildings (or parts of buildings) or other sites where work under the grant takes place. Categorical descriptions may be used (e.g., all vehicles of a mass transit authority or State highway department while in operation, State employees in each local unemployment office, performers in concert halls or radio stations).

7. If the workplace identified to the agency changes during the performance of the grant, the grantee shall inform the agency of the change(s), if it previously identified the workplaces in question (see paragraph five).

8. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

   Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)
   1220 W. Vliet St, Milwaukee, WI 53205``

   Check _____ if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here. The certification with regard to the drug-free workplace required by 24 CFR part 24, subpart F.

9. Definitions of terms in the Nonprocurement Suspension and Debarment common rule and Drug-Free Workplace common rule apply to this certification. Grantees' attention is called, in particular, to the following definitions from these rules:

   "Controlled substance" means a controlled substance in Schedules I through V of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812) and as further defined by regulation (21 CFR 1308.11 through 1308.15); "Conviction" means a finding of guilt (including a plea of nolo contendere) or imposition of sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the Federal or State criminal drug statutes;

   "Criminal drug statute" means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, use, or possession of any controlled substance;

   "Employee" means the employee of a grantee directly engaged in the performance of work under a grant, including: (i) All "direct charge" employees; (ii) all "indirect charge" employees unless their impact or involvement is insignificant to the performance of the grant; and (iii) performance of work under the grant and who are on the grantee's payroll. This definition does not include workers not on the payroll of the grantee (e.g., volunteers, even if used to meet a matching requirement; consultants or independent contractors not on the grantee's payroll; or employees of subrecipients or subcontractors in covered workplaces).

Timothy Russell, Administrator
Housing division

6/10/10
Date

SECTION VI: MONITORING

VI.A General

Activities under this Plan will be monitored under the standards adopted for the performance reports required for participation in HUD programs. The performance report will trigger monitoring of HPA activities that may not be required under these reports.

Disadvantaged business enterprise (Minority and Women's) participation will be tracked by the semi-annual reports submitted to HUD.

VI.B CDBG Monitoring

Each CDBG activity is monitored to insure compliance with program requirements, accountability of funds expended, progress, and other issues of concern. The form of monitoring differs somewhat depending upon the type and duration of the project. All subgrantees and program recipients are required to submit, with each request for payment, complete documentation of expenditures. At the same time the subgrantee must submit program progress forms which report numbers of persons served by an activity and demographic information on the persons served.

In the case of service type activities, which often last the length of a program year, periodic reports and expenditure documentation are supplemented by an annual site visit to verify procedures and check support documentation for information submitted on reports, both financial and programmatic. As part of the on-site monitoring, clients are randomly selected from files by County staff so that follow-up telephone calls can be made to verify service and determine service quality.

Projects involving any type of construction must be monitored on-site for compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The Davis-Bacon compliance visits afford County staff the opportunity to measure progress on the project and assure that the activity being undertaken is, in fact, that which is contained in the subrecipient agreement.

Additional monitoring activity may take place if special concerns or issues require it. This may occur if any of the required reports or monitoring visits appeared to indicate the possibility of any kind of irregularity concerning any of the program regulations.

SECTION VII: PUBLIC COMMENTS

SUMMARIES OF COMMENTS:
2010 – 2014 Consolidated Plan Hearings and 2010 Action Plan
2010 Municipal Hearings

Hearings for 2010 - 2014 Consolidated Plan/2010 Action Plan

General Needs/Past Performance Hearing
Economic & Community Development Committee
County Courthouse
June 8, 2009

No appearances - no comments.

Housing and Community Development Needs
Economic & Community Development Committee
County Courthouse
July 13, 2009

Appearances on behalf of 60 proposals for 2010 Action Plan funding.

General Needs/Priorities, Goals, Strategies
Staff (G. Bottoni, L. Jackson)
Brown Deer Park
November 10, 2009

No appearances - no comments.

General Needs/Priorities, Goals, Strategies
Staff (G. Bottoni, L. Jackson,)
Falk Park
November 12, 2009

Comments by persons who chose to speak:

Michele Sheperd
- General questions about ConPlan process
- Need for additional rehab and general neighborhood "clean up".
- Concern about maintenance of rental property, impact on neighborhood values.
- General need for job creation
- Need for affordable public recreation, especially in winter

James Hill, Independence First (by telephone)
- Extensive need for affordable/accessible housing for those with special needs.
- Need for additional funds for support services and transportation for persons with disabilities.

Written Comments

No written comments were received.

2010 Municipal Hearings

The list below states the dates hearings were held before the common councils and village boards of those communities participating in the CDBG entitlement programs. Minutes are available at the individual seats of government.

Bayside	July 9, 2009
Brown Deer	July 20, 2009
Cudahy	July 14, 2009
Fox Point	June 9, 2009
Franklin	July 21, 2009
Glendale	June 22, 2009
Greendale	July 7, 2009
Greenfield	June 16, 2009
Hales Corners	July 13, 2009
Oak Creek	June 16, 2009
River Hills	April 21, 2010
St Francis	July 14, 2009
Shorewood	June 22, 2009
South Milwaukee	June 16, 2009
West Milwaukee	July 6, 2009
Whitefish Bay	July 6, 2009

2010 ACTION PLAN SUMMARY

Milwaukee County's Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan for 2010 - 2014 addresses the needs, conditions, and resources for providing assistance to low and moderate income residents.

The Plan identifies six significant issues facing the County, including:

1. The changing composition and aging of the County's households.
2. The County's loss of well paying, family supporting jobs.
3. The need for affordable housing.
4. The issue of housing choice.
5. The significance of maintaining facilities, infrastructure, and housing.
6. The special needs of some County residents.

These issues are used to develop the County's priorities for housing and community development.

These priorities are translated into objectives in an Annual Action Plan. The County implements the Plan by allocating resources it has direct control over, including $1.3 million to 7 activities funded by HOME funds, and 71 activities carried out with $1.8 million in Community Development Block Grant dollars.

HOME Activities	(millions)
Milwaukee County	
Housing development by community organizations	0.195
First time homebuyer assistance	0.050
Home repair loans (revolving)	0.444
Home repair loans (deferred)	0.150
City of Wauwatosa	
Home repair loans	0.066
City of West Allis	
Owner rehabilitation, rental rehabilitation, homebuyer assistance	0.267
Administration	0.130
	1.302

Community Development Block Grant Activities

The County will fund numerous projects that will promote economic development, including activities that will create jobs, provide employment and job training, and make technical assistance and capacity building to private for-profit businesses.

Many projects will improve public facilities, including those owned by private non-profit organizations and suburban municipalities. Projects range from replacing boilers and upgrading HVAC systems to making accessibility improvements. Many projects will improve energy efficiency and save on operating costs. Several projects will benefit LMI users of County parks. One project will benefit a health care facility serving LMI people. The County will also support a residential architectural barrier removal program.

Funds will also be used for many public service projects. These will include program and operating costs for senior citizens and persons with disabilities. They also provide recreational and educational programs for at-risk youth. In addition, legal services will be provided to severely disabled adults.

CDBG funds will be used to continue support for fair housing activities.

Finally, one project will attempt to increase homeownership within Milwaukee County.

GMB/DL
3/15/10

SECTION VIII: ANNUAL ACTION PLAN (PROGRAM YEAR 2010)

VIII.A Federal Resources

Federal resources anticipated to be available in this Program Year to address the priority needs and specific objectives identified in the Five Year Strategic Plan (Part IV) include:

Federal Programs	Uses
Community Development Block Grant $1.7 million	Infrastructure construction and rehabilitation, services, recreation, job creation, housing rehabilitation
HOME $1.3 million	Housing rehabilitation, acquisition, site preparation/land development, new construction, homebuyer assistance
Section 8 Existing Rent Assistance $10.0 million	Rent assistance, Family Self-Sufficiency
Safe Havens $.631 million Shelter+Care $2.2 million	Rental housing for low income chronically mentally disabled
Low Income Tax Credits	Housing development
Section 202 Elderly Housing	Elderly housing loans
Section 811 Disabled Housing	Accessible housing loans

VIII.B Other Resources

Other resources anticipated to be available to address the needs and objectives identified in the Strategic Plan include:

State Programs	Uses
Various WHEDA programs	Mortgage and rehabilitation assistance; programs can vary based on bonds issued
Community Options Program	Non-institutional living for elderly, disabled
County Programs	
County-wide Home Repair	Housing rehabilitation
Veteran's Office	Assistance for veterans
Department on Aging	Elderly/disabled air conditioning, accessibility

Private Non-Profit

Social Development Commission Weatherization Program	Weatherization
Interfaith Program for Elderly	Maintains list of available units
Independence First (Southeastern Wisconsin Center for Independent Living)	Maintains list of available units
Metro Milwaukee Fair Housing Council	Maintains list of available units
Southeast Affordable Housing Corporation	Housing development

Private For-Profit

Various subsidized units	See Section I.A.4

The HOME Program requires a 25% match for all HOME funds expended. Under the Milwaukee County HOME Consortium Agreement, each Consortium partner is responsible for meeting and documenting the HOME match requirement for funds spent within its jurisdiction. As the lead agency, the County will document match for CHDO set-aside activities.

The County meets its match obligation by credit generated by other HOME activities.

Wauwatosa and West Allis have indicated that match credit has been found from several resources.

VIII.C Geographic Distribution

HOUSING ACTIVITIES

The Annual Action Plan geographic priorities for housing activities are those stated in the Strategic Plan for Affordable Housing (Section IV.B above).

NON-HOUSING ACTIVITIES

No geographic priorities for non-housing activities are established. Community development needs exist across all of Milwaukee County. There are no areas of minority concentration.

VIII.D Program Specific Requirements

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

A.) Specific requirements for CDBG funds:

The entire use of funds will be described in part VIII.E below. All program income either remains in the revolving loan funds, or will be reprogrammed to new activities as the income becomes available. Anticipated CDBG program income for 2010 is $200,000. There will be no float-funded activities. There are no activities qualifying under urgent needs criteria.

HOME

A.) Tenant Based Rental Assistance

HOME funds will not be used for TBRA.

B.) Other Forms of Investment

Forms of investment other than those authorized in 92.205(b) are not included in this Plan.

C.) Affirmative Marketing

Affirmative marketing is required for HOME assisted housing of 5 or more units. Since such projects will not be defined until proposals are received, it is uncertain if the 5 or more unit/required affirmative marketing provisions will be triggered. If such provisions are applicable, the awarded developer will, by its agreement with the County, be required to meet the terms of 92.351(b). The developer shall affirmatively market rehabilitated units through good faith efforts by providing information to eligible persons from all racial, ethnic and gender groups in the housing market. The developer shall advertise the availability of units by commercial media, use of community contacts, including the Equal Housing Opportunity logo type or slogan in all advertising, and by display of the fair housing poster. The developer shall inform and solicit applications from persons in the housing market area who are not likely to apply for the housing without special outreach (e.g., use of community organizations, churches, employment centers, fair housing groups or housing counseling agencies). The developer shall keep written records that document efforts to affirmatively market units, and the County shall annually assess the results of these actions. A developer's failure to carry out these actions can result in requirements for corrective actions, including mediation by the Metropolitan Fair Housing Council.

D.) Specific requirements for first time homebuyers:

A Program participant shall execute a non-amortizing, nonrecourse, non-interest bearing promissory note in the amount of the HOME assistance provided. An affidavit of interest shall be recorded to secure the County's note. The note is payable in full within the "period of affordability" under the following circumstances:

1. The participant no longer occupies the house as a principal place of residence; or

2. The participant sells the house. In the case of a sale prior to expiration of the "period of affordability", repayment will be based on the net proceeds of the sale. If the net proceeds are not sufficient to recapture the full HOUSE investment plus enable the homebuyer to recover the amount of the homebuyer's downpayment and any capital improvements, then the County will recover the balance, if any. The same procedure would apply in the case of a foreclosure, although, under this circumstance, recapture would be unlikely.

   This Program may be used for newly constructed homes.

E.) Narrative for American Dream Downpayment Initiatives (ADDI)

1. Any balance of ADDI funds will be used to augment the County's Homebuyer Assistance Program, and will be operated under the same criteria as this Program, as described in D above. No Homebuyer Assistance criteria shall exceed ADDI provisions.

2. Targeted outreach, by way of mailings that describe the ADDI program, followed with an invitation to meet staff for further discussion, will be sent to residents of Consortium family public housing units.

3. Potential ADDI homebuyers will be referred to HUD certified homebuyer counseling programs for appropriate counseling.

4. Potential ADDI homebuyers who pursue a home purchase will be assisted under the criteria of the County Homebuyer Assistance Program.

VIII.E Narrative for Other Actions

1. Obstacles to Meeting Underserved Needs Consolidated Plan reference: III.A, III.B

   The principal obstacle identified in the Consolidated Plan to meeting underserved needs is the lack of financial resources.

   The County plans to utilize the resources described in VIII.A and VIII.B during 2010. Other resources may be pursued if they become available.

2. Foster and Maintain Affordable Housing
   Consolidated Plan reference: IV.B.

   The County will pursue the goals for affordable housing as they are established in the Consolidated Plan, utilizing the resources described in VIII.A and VIII.B during 2010.

3. Remove Barriers to Affordable Housing
   Consolidated Plan reference: II.E, IV.F.

   No other actions are planned in 2010.

4. Evaluate and Reduce Lead Based Paint Hazards
   Consolidated Plan reference: IV.G.

   In addition to the actions identified in the Consolidated Plan, the County will:

   1. Augment training of Home Repair and Rent Assistance staff who have received certification by the State of Wisconsin as Risk Assessors.

   2. Implement Risk Assessments and clearance testing for units receiving funding through the Housing and Community Development Division.

   3. Continue a program to increase the pool of lead trained and certified contractors by encouraging and subsidizing training costs and the purchase of lead treatment equipment.

   4. Consider implementing a program to subsidize training and lead treatment equipment costs for owners of rental property, once HUD and State of Wisconsin approved curriculums and training requirements are established.

   5. Continue general education efforts on lead hazards and options for area municipal and non-profit agencies.

5. Develop Institutional Structure
   Consolidated Plan reference: IV.I/J.

   No other actions are planned in 2010.

6. Enhance Coordination Between Housing and Service Agencies
   Consolidated Plan reference: IV.I/J.

   In addition to the actions referenced, the County will continue to participate in the Safe Havens and Shelter+Care Programs, which provides rental assistance and inter-agency services for the chronically mentally disabled.

7. Foster Public Housing Improvements
   Consolidated Plan reference: IV.K.

No other actions are planned in 2010.

VIII.F. Narrative for Homeless and Other Special Needs

The County participates in the planning and implementation of the Milwaukee Continuum of Care.

The parts of the Continuum of Care Strategic Direction for 2004 and the annual Associated Application that address the County's Housing Planning Area are incorporated by reference into the County's Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan and the annual Action Plan.

The Continuum of Care: Gaps Analysis for Individuals and Persons in Families with Children are charts that are included in the annual Action Plan software package.

The narrative is found in the Continuum of Care Associated Application for 2005.

VIII.G Activities

Table 2C has been modified to show the proposed accomplishments in Year 1 for each of the specific objectives contained in the 2010 – 2014. Consolidated Plan.

Each activity funded under this Plan is described on the attached tables.

Summary of Housing Goals

From Part IV of Consolidated Plan

Year:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
Objective:						
Milwaukee County						
Rent Assistance	60	60	60	60	60	300
New/Rehabbed	60	60	60	60	60	300
Owner Occ Rehab	120	120	120	120	120	600
Accessible Units	10	10	10	10	10	50
Homebuyer Assist	12	12	12	12	12	60
Wauwatosa						
Owner Occ Rehab	8	8	8	8	8	40
West Allis						
Rent Assistance	60	60	60	60	60	300
New/Rehabbed	80	80	80	80	80	400
Owner Occ Rehab	40	40	40	40	40	200
Accessible Units	3	3	3	3	3	15
Homebuyer Assist	12	12	12	12	12	60

Continuum of Care: Gaps Analysis - Persons in Families with Children

~~Beds/Units~~

	Estimated Needs	Current Inventory	Unmet Need/ Gap	Relative Priority
Emergency Shelter	397	242	155	Low
Transitional Housing	482	283	199	High
Permanent Housing	173	72	101	Med
Total	1,052	597	455	

~~Estimated Supportive Services Slots~~

Job Training	945	51	894	Med
Case Management	945	147	798	High
Child Care	1,574	128	1,446	High
Substance Abuse Treatment	472	12	460	High
Mental Health Care	1,181	43	1,138	Med
Housing Placement	945	101	844	Low
Life Skills Training	1,417	79	1,338	High
Other Categories				
Other	787	108	679	Low

~~Estimated Sub Populations~~

Chronic Substance Abusers	472	39	433	Med
Seriously Mentally Ill	590	3	587	Med
Dually-Diagnosed	531	19	512	High
Veterans	183	2	181	Low
Persons with HIV/AIDS	94	29	65	Low
Victims of Domestic Violence	378	150	228	High
Other Categories				
Other-Minor Parents	50	0	50	High

Continuum of Care: Gaps Analysis - Individuals

Beds/Units

	Estimated Needs	Current Inventory	Unmet Need/ Gap	Relative Priority
Emergency Shelter	548	515	33	Low
Transitional Housing	1,340	254	1,086	High
Permanent Housing	1,501	336	1,165	Med
Total	3,389	1,105	2,284	

Estimated Supportive Services Slots

Job Training	2,311	117	2,194	Med
Case Management	2,889	511	2,378	High
Substance Abuse Treatment	2,023	138	1,885	High
Mental Health Care	1,155	199	956	Med
Housing Placement	2,889	126	2,763	Low
Life Skills Training	2,889	261	2,628	High
Other Categories				
Other	400	146	254	Low

Estimated Sub Populations

Chronic Substance Abusers	2,023	132	1,891	High
Seriously Mentally Ill	1,156	329	827	Med
Dually-Diagnosed	1,040	244	796	High
Veterans	733	53	680	High
Persons with HIV/AIDS	173	74	99	Low
Victims of Domestic Violence	231	52	179	Med
Youth	91	77	14	Low
Other Categories				
Other-Dev/Phys Dis	29	10	19	Med

Table 2C
Summary of Specific Housing and Community Development Objectives

Applicant's Name Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

Priority Need Category CD3 - Economic development and employment.

Specific Objective
Number CD3.B.i – Using CDBG funds provide employment training for 100 lower income individuals. Annual goal – 20 individuals trained.
Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 20 individuals trained.

Specific Objective
Number CD3.B.ii – Using CDBG funds create 60 jobs for lower income individuals with wages that can support a family. Annual goal – 12 jobs created.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 12 jobs created.

Specific Objective
Number CD3.B.iii – Using CDBG funds provide gap filling services for 55 households attempting to become self-sufficient. Annual goal – 20 households in year 1, 20 in year 2, and 15 in year 3.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 20 households.

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

Table 2C
Summary of Specific Housing and Community Development Objectives

Applicant's Name Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

Priority Need Category CD1. – Provision of social services to selected components of the population and assurance of access to these services.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B.i - Using CDBG funds, develop, through new construction, rehabilitation, or expansion, one new senior center facility. Annual goal – one facility in year 2 of Con Plan.
Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - No facilities.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B.ii – Using CDBG funds, assist, through renovation, expansion, or construction, with 10 facilities serving youth. Annual goal – two facilities.
Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - Two facilities.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B.iv – Using CDBG funds renovate or expand 2 health care facilities serving primarily lower income, disadvantaged persons. Annual goal – one facility in year 2 and one in year 4.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - No facilities.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B.v – Using CDBG funds provide health and recreational services to over 30,000 senior citizens. Annual goal – 6,000 senior citizens.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 6,000 senior citizens served.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B. vi – Using CDBG funds assist 50 activities that will provide services and accessibility to services and programs to disabled persons. Annual goal – 10 activities.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 10 activities assisted.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B. vii – Using CDBG funds provide recreational, educational, and health services to 20,000 youth. Annual goal – 4,000 youth serviced.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 4,000 youth served.

Specific Objective
Number CD1.B. viii – Using CDBG funds provide health services to 16,000 senior citizens. Annual goal – 3,200 senior citizens served.
Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 3,200 senior citizens served.

Table 2C
Summary of Specific Housing and Community Development Objectives

Applicant's Name Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

Priority Need Category CD2 – Improve and develop infrastructure.

Specific Objective
Number CD2.B.i – Using CDBG funds develop through construction, rehabilitation, or expansion 10 park and/or recreational facilities. Annual goal – 2 facilities.
Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 2 facilities.

Specific Objective
Number CD2.B.ii – Using CDBG funds renovate or demolish 5 major blighting influences. Annual goal – 1 major renovation/demolition.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 1 major renovation/demolition/clean up.

Specific Objective
Number CD2.B.iii – Using CDBG funds assist 8 activities that will construct, reconstruct or resurface local streets and sewers. Annual goal – 1 activity in years 1 and 2 and 2 activities in year 3, 4, 5.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - 1 activity assisted.

Specific Objective
Number CD2.B.iv – Using CDBG funds work with 2 non-profit organizations to assist with supplementing the provision of needed facilities. Annual goal – 1 organization in year 2 and one organization in year 4.

Proposed accomplishments, Year 1 - No facilities.

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

Specific Objective
Number

MILWAUKEE COUNTY CDBG FINAL LOG: 2010 PROJECTS

Project Number	SPONSOR	PROJECT	IDIS ACTIVITY #	FINAL AMOUNTS
1	Agape Community Center	Direct Medical Services	3547	15,000
4	Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Milwaukee	Davis & LaVarnway Solar Water heating	3548	30,000
5	Career Youth Development, Inc.	Homeownership Counceling Assistance	3549	15,000
7	Council for the Spanish Speaking, Inc	Hillview building Roof Replacement	3550	27,000
8	Cudahy-St. Francis Interfaith Older Adult Pgm	Neighborhood Outreach Program	3552	15,000
11	Eisenhower Center Inc.	Replacement of Rooftop HVAC Units	3553	35,000
12	Granville Interfaith Program for the Elderly	Neighborhood Outreach Program	3554	15,000
14	Greenfield Little League	Kulwicki Park Improvements	3555	30,000
15	Growing Power, Inc.	Growing Jobs - Greenhouse Rehab	3556	30,000
18	Hmong American Friendship Association	Rehab of H.A.F.A's Parking Lot	3557	30,000
20	IndependenceFirst	Central City Satellite Proj for Persons with Disabili	3558	15,000
21	Journey House, Inc.	Workforce Readiness & Employability Program	3559	15,000
22	Justice 2000, Inc. (Milw. Comm. Service)	Driver's License Recovery & Employability	3560	15,000
25	Milwaukee Center for Independence	CAN Employment Readiness Program	3561	15,000
26	Milwaukee Christian Center	Strategies to achieve Reading Success	3562	15,000
32	Milw County Community Business Devel	Technical Assistance/Capacity Building DBE Firm	3563	30,000
33A	Milwaukee County Dept of Parks & Recreation	Lincoln/Meaux Park Basketball Court Improvemer	3564	100,000
34	Milwaukee County Housing Division	Residential Architectural Barrier Removal Progran	3565	40,000
35	Milwaukee County Office for Persons w/	Wil-O-Way Grant Wading Pool	3566	23,000
36	Milwaukee Urban League	Capacity Building Program	3567	20,000
39	National Association for Black Veterans, Inc.	Rehabilitation to residential Homeless Facility	3568	30,000
40	Northcott Neighborhood House	Facility upgrade	3569	30,000
43	Repairers of the Breach	Community Medical Clinic	3570	15,000

47	St. Vincent de Paul Society of Milwaukee	Improvements to Vincent Family Resource Center	3571	30,000
55	United Migrant Opportunity Services	Facility Upgrade	3572	40,000
57	Wisconsin Community Services, Inc. (WCS)	WCS Learn & Earn Program	3573	30,000
58	Wisconsin Women's Business Initiative Corp	Business Owner - Microenterprise Development	3574	15,000
	Metro Milw Fair Housing Council	Fair Housing Counseling	3575	25,000
	Milwaukee County CDBG	CDBG Administration	3576	300,000
		TOTAL		1,045,000
	Shared Data\CDBG\2009\2010 FUNDEDF	11-2-09		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Agape Community Center - Direct Medical Services		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3547	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide direct medical services including drugs, classes, and nutritional counseling.

Location:

6100 North 42nd Street,
Milwaukee, WI 53209

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	250		01 People	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05M Health Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	250		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Boys and Girls Club - Davis & LaVarnway Solar Water Heating		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3548	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide funding for facility improvements. Improvements to include installing a solar water heater at the LaVarnway Club.

Location:

2739 North 15th Street,
Milwaukee, 53206

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03E Neighborhood Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	PI 3,171	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Career Youth Development - Direct Homeowner Assistance		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3549	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide outreach and homeownership counseling to low and moderate income individuals. The target is to increase homeownership rates in Milwaukee County.

Location:

2601 North Martin Luther King Drive, Milwaukee , 53212

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- [x] Availability/Accessibility
- [ ] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	120	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05R Homeownership Assistance (not direct) 570.204	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	120	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Council for the Spanish Speaking - Renovations to 614 Building		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3550	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Pay a portion of the costs to renovate the Hillview Building. Renovations may include replacement of the roof.

Location:

1615 South 22nd Street
Milwaukee, 53215

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03E Neighborhood Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	27,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Cudahy St Francis - Interfaith Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3552	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Provide transportation, snow removal, home visits, telephone visits and other services to elderly people.

Location:

3767 East Underwood Avenue
Cudahy, WI 53110

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	615	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	5,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	615	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Eisenhower Center - Replace Rooftop HVAC Units

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3553 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Remove three existing HVAC units, and replace with energy efficient HVAC units. Center serves severly disabled adults.

Location:
4125 West Woolworth Ave.
Milwaukee, 53218

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03B Handicapped Centers 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	35,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	79	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Granville Interfaith - Interfaith Program for the Elderly

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 8554 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide services such as transportation, home chores and minor repairs, shopping assistance, home visits and telephone contacts to elderly and disabled people

Location:

5214 West Luebbe Lane
Milwaukee, 53223

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	400		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	400		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Greenfield Little League - Kulwicki Park Improvements		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3555	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Pay part of the cost to install new dugouts, upgrade the consession stand, and install new lights.

Pay part of the cost to install ne

1077 West Coldspring Road
Greenfield, WI 53228

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☑ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	200	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Growing Power - Greenhouse Rehab

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3556 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Rehabilitate the Forest Home Cemetary Greenhouses. Install new glazing, update the mechanical systems, and install 3 above ground aquaponic systems. The rehab work shall result in the creation of five jobs.

Location:
2405 West Forest Home Avenue
Milwaukee, 53215

Priority Need Category

Select one: Priority Need Category

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

13 Jobs	Proposed	5		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

18B ED Technical Assistance 570.203(b)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
13 Jobs	Proposed Units	5	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Hmong American Friendship - Parking Lot Rehab		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3557	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Renovate the Parking lot at the Hmong American Friendship Association facility

Location:

3824 West Viet Street
Milwaukee, 53208

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03E Neighborhood Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	200	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Independence First - Central City Satellite Project

Description: | **IDIS Project #:** 3558 | **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide support services for the Central City Satellite Office for Persons with Disabilities.

Location:
1525 North 24th Street
Milwaukee, 53205

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05B Handicapped Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Independence First - Central City Satellite Project

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3558 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide support services for the Central City Satellite Office for Persons with Disabilities

Location:

1525 North 24th Street
Milwaukee 53205

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	100		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05B Handicapped Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	100		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Journey House - Workforce Readiness Program
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3559 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Assist community members in finding and retaining employment by offering job skill training and job development. Training will result in three full time jobs.

Location:
1900 West Washington Street
Milwaukee 53204

Priority Need Category

Select one: Economic Development

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ○ Suitable Living Environment
- ◉ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☑ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

13 Jobs	Proposed	3		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

18B ED Technical Assistance 570.203(b)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
13 Jobs	Proposed Units	3		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Justice 2000 - Driver's License Recovery Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3560	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Provide direct services to low income residents of Milwaukee County attempting to increase the number of licensed drivers. Services increase their ability to sustain employment.

Location:

700 West State Street, Milwaukee, WI 53233

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	1,800	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05 Public Services (General) 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1,800	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukee Center for Independence - C.N.A. Employment Readiness Pgm		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3561	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide Certified Nursing Assistant training to 16 LMI people.

Location:
2020 West Wells Street
Milwaukee, 53233

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	16	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05H Employment Training 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	5,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	6	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukee Christian Center - Reading Success Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	8562	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay a portion of the costs to operate the Strategies to Achieve Reading Success Program. Program is a national program that specializes in character development and reading improvement. Program focuses on the development of underachievers.

Location:
2137 West Greenfield Avenue
Milwaukee, 53204

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	120		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05D Youth Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	120	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milw County Community Business Devel Partners - Technical Assistance		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3563	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

use funding to hire a consultant to provide technical assistance to 30 microenterprises. Training and capacity assistance will include classes in Business Basics, Human Resources, Microsoft Word, Business Plan, Construction Estimating, and similar courses.

Location:

435 West North Avenue
Milwaukee, 53212

Priority Need Category

Select one: Economic Development

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ○ Suitable Living Environment
- ◉ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☑ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

Other	Proposed	30		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

18C Micro-Enterprise Assistance	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
08 Businesses	Proposed Units	30		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukee County Parks - Meaux Park Basketball Courts		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3564	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Funds shall be used to pay for the reconstruction of the basketball courts at Meaux Park.

Location:

1904 West Villard Avenue
Milwaukee, 53209

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	100,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1,688	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukee County Housing Div - Residential Barrier Removal Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3565	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide grants to LMI/Disabled homeowners to remove architectural barriers and provide ramps to make their homes accessible.

Location:

1220 West Vliet Street
Milwaukee , 53205

Priority Need Category

Select one: Owner Occupied Housing

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- [x] Availability/Accessibility
- [ ] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve access to affordable owner housing
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

04 Households	Proposed	5	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

14A Rehab; Single-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	40,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
04 Households	Proposed Units	5	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukww County Persons with Disabilities - W1 O-Way Grant Wading Pool		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3566	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Renovate the wading pool filtration system.

Location:

207 South Lake Drive, South Milwaukee, 53172

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03B Handicapped Centers 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	23,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1,558	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukee Urban League - Capacity Building Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3567	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Pay a portion of the cost to operate the Minority Contractors INVOLVEMENT Project. Said Project shall provide technical and management assistance to low and moderate income clients who operate minority and disadvantaged businesses. Training will result in the creation of three full time equivelent jobs.

Location:
435 West north Avenue
Milwaukee 53212

Priority Need Category

Select one: Economic Development

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ○ Suitable Living Environment
- ● Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☑ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

13 Jobs	Proposed	3	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

18B ED Technical Assistance 570.203(b)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	20,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
13 Jobs	Proposed Units	3	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: National Assoc Black Veterans - Rehabilitation of Homeless Facility

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 5568 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay for the construction of a parking lot at a transitional housing complex serving homeless veterans

Location:
2669 North Martin Luther King Drive, Milwaukee, 53206

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03C Homeless Facilities (not operating costs) 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Northcott Neighborhood House - Facility Upgrade

Description: Pay for a facility upgrade including interior painting, replacing floor tiles, and exterior door

IDIS Project #: 3569

UOG Code: UOG Code

Location:
2460 North 5th Street, Milwaukee 53212

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03E Neighborhood Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Repairers of the Breach - Community Medical Clinic		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3570	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay a portion of the costs to operate a free medical clinic. Basic elements of health care will be provided free of charge by volunteer medical staff and students.

Location:

1335 West Vliet Street
Milwaukee 53213

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy):

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	955	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05M Health Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	955	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	St Vincent De Paul Society - Improvement to Family Resource Center
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3571 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay for facility improvements and upgrades to the electrical system.

Location:

2628 North Martin Luther King Drive, Milwaukee, 53212

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03E Neighborhood Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	400	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: United Migrant Opportunity Cntr - Acquisition of Transitional Housing

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3572 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Purchase a multi-family building to be used for transitional housing for battered spouses and abused children

Location:

2701 South Chase Avenue,
Milwaukee 53207

Priority Need Category

Select one: Other

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

01 Acquisition of Real Property 570.201(a) | Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes | Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes | Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	40,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Wisconsin Community Services - WCS Learn and Earn Program

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3573 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Operate a welding training program for individuals incarcerated at the Milwaukee County House of Correction. Program attempts to help students to obtain and maintain employment in the welding industry.

Location:
8885 South 68th Street, Franklin 53132

Select one: Priority Need Category: Economic Development

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ○ Suitable Living Environment
- ◉ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☑ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

13 Jobs	Proposed	14	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome
18B ED Technical Assistance 570.203(b)	Matrix Codes	
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes	
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes	

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
13 Jobs	Proposed Units	14	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Wis Womens Business Initiative - Microenterprise Development		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3574	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Operate the Business Assistance program. Teach the Smart Business Planning classes.

Location:

2745 North Martin Luther King Drive, Milwaukee, 53212

Priority Need Category

Select one: Economic Development

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ○ Suitable Living Environment
- ● Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☑ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve economic opportunities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

13 Jobs	Proposed	5	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

18C Micro-Enterprise Assistance	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
13 Jobs	Proposed Units	5	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council - Fair Housing Counseling		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3575	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Provide direct services to alleged victims of housing discrimination, conduct fair housing seminars and training, and provide technical assistance.

Location:

Enter location, address, zip codes, census tracks, or other elements that will help to identify the location of the project.

Priority Need Category

Select one: Planning/Administration

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

21D Fair Housing Activities (subject to 20% Admin cap) 570.206	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	25,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Milwaukee County CDBG - CDBG Administration		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3576	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Paying the administrative costs to operate the Community Development Block Grant Program.

Location:

1220 West Vliet Street,
Milwaukee, 53205

Priority Need Category

Select one: Planning/Administration

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

Other	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

21A General Program Administration 570.206	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	300,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Other	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

#	Activity Code	Project Code	IDIS Code	Sponsor	Project	Amount Received
1				BAYSIDE	BAYSIDE ELLSWORTH PARK PAVILION RENOVATION	21,702.00
2				BAYSIDE	BAYSIDE/FOX POINT SR CITIZENS CENTER OPERATIONS	5,598.00
3				BROWN DEER	BUS STOP IMPROVEMENTS	30,500.00
4				BROWN DEER	BROWN DEER SR CITIZENS CENTER-RENT & OPERATION	12,700.00
5				CUDAHY	CUDAHY MUNICIPAL BLDG-ADA UPGRADES	26,272.00
6				CUDAHY	PROPERTY MAINTENANCE	7,048.00
7				CUDAHY	CUDAHY-ST FRANCIS INTERFAITH OLDER ADULT PROG.	15,400.00
8				CUDAHY	PROJECT CONCERN OF CUDAHY-ST. FRANCIS INC.	6,180.00
9				FOX POINT	DUNWOOD CENTER LEASE	5,250.00
10				FRANKLIN	FRANKLIN SENIOR CITIZEN ACTIVITIES	8,700.00
11				FRANKLIN	CLARA MEADOWS 51ST ST. HANDICAP ACCESS Side W.	40,500.00
12				FRANKLIN	ELDERLY PERSONS HOME SUPPORT SERVICES	5,000.00
13				GLENDALE	WEST MARNE AVENUE STREET IMPROVEMENTS	42,200.00
14				GREENDALE	ADA ACCESSIBILITY ENHANCEMENTS TO VILLAGE	30,200.00
15				GREENDALE	ADULT PROGRAM SERVICES	10,500.00
16				GREENFIELD	CONT. SR. CITIZEN PROGRAM COORDINATOR POSITION	16,700.00
17				GREENFIELD	CONSTRUCTION OF OPEN AIR SHELTER/ ADA RESTROOM	45,600.00
18				HALES CORNERS	LIBRARY MATERIALS FOR SENIORS	1,500.00
19				HALES CORNERS	SENIORS ENRICHMENT PROGRAM	2,000.00
20				HALES CORNERS	ACCESS/STREETSCAPE/PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS	28,500.00
21				HALES CORNERS	ELDERLY PERSONS HOME SUPPORT SERVICES	1,500.00
22				OAK CREEK	PLAYGROUND REPLACEMENT	46,550.00
23				OAK CREEK	INTERFAITH PROGRAM FOR THE ELDERLY	5,171.00
24				OAK CREEK	SENIOR CITIZEN CLUB	789.00
25				OAK CREEK	SALVATION ARMY	4,090.00
26				RIVER HILLS	SALE OF BLOCK GRANT FUNDS	22,400.00
27				ST. FRANCIS	FUNDING SER. FOR ELDERLY THRU CUDAHY/ST FRANCIS	7,000.00
28				ST. FRANCIS	SIDEWALK REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	39,100.00
29				SHOREWOOD	ADA MUNICIPAL BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS	11,000.00
30				SHOREWOOD	SENIOR RESOURCE CENTER	10,000.00
31				SHOREWOOD	ADA CROSSWALK REPLACEMENT	21,000.00
32				SHOREWOOD	SHORELINE INTERFAITH	2,500.00
33				SOUTH MILWAUKEE	S. MILW/OAK CREEK INTERFAITH PROGRAM/ELDERLY	7,000.00
34				SOUTH MILWAUKEE	EASTER SEALS KINDCARE VESTIBULE RENOVATION	13,400.00
35				SOUTH MILWAUKEE	HUMAN CONCERNS FLOORING, DOOR & LIGHTING	30,000.00
36				SOUTH MILWAUKEE	HUMAN CONCERNS MORTGAGE	4,700.00
37				WEST MILWAUKEE	FIRE HOUSE INTERIOR & EXTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS	36,500.00
38				WEST MILWAUKEE	COMMUNITY CENTRE MAINTENANCE/UPKEEP	9,000.00
39				WHITEFISH BAY	KLODE PARK PLAYGROUND IMPROVEMENTS-HANDICAP	36,900.00
					TOTAL	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Bayside - Ellsworth Park Pavilion Renovation
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3509 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay for the demolition and rehabilitation work on the pavilion and update the restrooms with ADA equipment.

Location:

600 East Ellsworth Lane, Bayside 53217

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	21,702	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
11 Public Facilities	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Bayside - LX Senior Citizens Center

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3510 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay for operating costs of the Bayside - Fox Point LX Senior Citizens Center.

Location:
217 Dunwood Road Fox Point, 53217

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	117	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	5,598	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	117	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Brown Deer - Bus Stop Improvements		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3511	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Install concrete pads, access ramps, and shelters at scattered bus stops in LMA

Location:

Teutonia Ave and Bradley Road, Brown Deer 53223

Priority Need Category

Select one: Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03 Public Facilities and Improvements (General) 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,500	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	387	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Brown Deer - Senior Citizens Center - Rent and Operations
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3512 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay for rent and operating costs for senior center.

Location:

8080 North 47th Street, Brown Deer 53223

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	236	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	12,700	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	236	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Cudahy - Municipal building ADA Upgrades		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3513	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Make handicap accessible improvements to the Cudahy Municipal Building

Location:

5050 South Lake Drive, Cudahy 53110

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03 Public Facilities and Improvements (General) 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	26,272	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Cudahy - Exterior Maintenance Program
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** / **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Eliminate and prevent the spread of blight and property deterioration in census tracts 1802 and 1803 throgh property inspections.

Location:

5050 South Lake Drive, Cudahy 53110

Priority Need Category

Select one: Other

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☑ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	100		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

15 Code Enforcement 570.202(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	7,048		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	100		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units				Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units				Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Cudahy - Interfaith Older Adult Program
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3514 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide transportation, snow removal, home and telephone visits to elderly persons.

Location:

3767 East Underwood Ave.
Cudahy 53110

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	465	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	15,400	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	465	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Cudahy - Project Concern		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3515	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Pay rental and support costs for Project Concern. Program provides food pantry, clothing and services to LMI people.

Location:
4611 South Kirkwood Ave,
Cudahy 53110

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	1,000		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05 Public Services (General) 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	6,180	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1,000	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Fox Point - Dunwood Center Lease		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3516	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Pay for rental costs of the Dunwood centr to be used by LX Senior Citizens Club

Location:

217 West Dunwood Road Fox Point 53217

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☐ Availability/Accessibility
- ☑ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	5,250	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Franklin - Senior Citizen Activities

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3517 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide funding for the senior citizens of Franklin. Services include luncheaons, entertainment, travel program and supplies.

Location:

9501 West loomis road, Franklin 53132

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	390	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	8,700	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	390	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Franklin - Clare Meadows 51st Street Handicap Accessible Sidewalk

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3518 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Install a handicap accessible sidewalk to provide service to elderly residents of Clare Meadows Senior Housing Facility

Location:

7700 South 51st Street, Franklin 53132

Priority Need Category

Select one: Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03L Sidewalks 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	40,500		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Franklin - Elderly Persons Home Support Services

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3519 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay salary and support costs for the Southwest Interfaith Program. Program provides services such as home and telephone visits, transportation, and household chores.

Location:
5980 West Loomis Road
Greendale 53129

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	114	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	5,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	114	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Glendale - West Marne Avenue Street Improvements		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3520	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Install a barrier free street improvements in LMA neighborhood.

Location:

West Marne Avenue, Glendale 53209

Priority Need Category

Select one: Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03K Street Improvements 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	42,200		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount	
11 Public Facilities	Proposed Units	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units				Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units				Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Greendale - Adult Program Services

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3522 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay salary and benefit costs to provide adult program and senior services to elderly people.

Location:

6705 Northway , Greendale 53129

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	114		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	10,500		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	114		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Greendale - Handicap Improvements to Village Pedestrian Ways
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3521 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Install curb ramps, widen pathways, and reduce obstructions on pathways.

Location:

5500 West College Avenue,
Greendale 53129

Priority Need Category

Select one: Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03L Sidewalks 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,200	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Greenfield - Senior Citizen Program Coordinator		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3523	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

pay for staff salary and benefits for coordinator to operate the senior citizen program. Program provides recreational activities and programming for senior centers and assisted living housing developments.

Location:
7325 West Forest Home Avenue
Greenfield, 53220

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	500		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	16,700	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	500	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Greenfield - Construction of Shelter with ADA Restroom		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3524	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Construct a handicap accessible gazebo/shelter with ADA restroom

Location:

5151 West Layton Avenue,
Greenfield 53220

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
01 People	Proposed	2,300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	45,600	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	2,300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Hales Corners - Library Materials for Seniors		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3525	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Purchase library materials which will serve the needs of elderly and visually disabled persons.

Location:

5885 South 116th Street, Hales Corners 53130

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	600	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	1,500	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	600	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Hales Corners - Senior Enrichment Program

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3526 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide elderly residents with social and cultural and recreational activities including field trips.

Location:
5635 South New Berlin Road,
Hales Corners 53130

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	150	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	2,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	150	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Hales Corners - Access/Streetscape/ Pedestrian Improvements		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3527	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Continue improvements to the Village Center Redevelopment District. Improvements may include upgrades to pedestrian/bicycle paths, sidewalks, lighting, parking and landscaping.

Location:

Highway 100, West Janesville Road, and West Forest Home Avenue, Hales Corners, 53130

Priority Need Category

Select one: Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03L Sidewalks 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	28,500	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Other	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Hales Corners - Elderly Home Support Services

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3528 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay a portion of the salary for a Support Coordinator who assists with transportation, grocery shopping, errands and paperwork.

Location:
5980 West Loomis Road
Greendale 53129

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	75		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	1,500	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	75	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Oak Creek - Replacement of Playground Equipment
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3529 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Purchase and install new handicap accessible playground equipment.

Location:

8853 South Howell Avenue, Oak Creek, 53154

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03F Parks, Recreational Facilities 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	46,550	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
04 Households	Proposed Units	700	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Oak Creek - Interfaith Program for the Elderly		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3530	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Subcontract with the South Milwaukee/ Oak Creek Interfaith Program to provide senior citizen Services.

Location:

1327 Marshall Avenue, South Milwaukee, 53172

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	346		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	5,171		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	346		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: Oak Creek - Senior Citizen Club

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3521 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide educational, recreational and social events for senior citizens

Location:

8580 South Howell Avenue, Oak Creek, 53154

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	346		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	789	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	346	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Oak Creek - Salvation Army		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3532	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide food pantry and temporary shelter for homeless people. Also provide day camp scholarships for children from MI families.

Location:

8853 South Howell Avenue, Oak Creek 53154

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	2,000	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	4,090	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	2,000	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: River Hills - Sale or Transfer of CDBG Grant

Description: **IDIS Project #:** **UOG Code:** UOG Code

River Hills does not have a current need for CDBG funds. They may sell or transfer their grant to a municipality with need for more funding to complete a project.

Location:

7650 North Pheasant Lane, River hills 53217

Priority Need Category

Select one: Other

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- Decent Housing
- Suitable Living Environment
- Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- Availability/Accessibility
- Affordability
- Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1

2

3

Project-level Accomplishments

Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	22,400	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	St Francis - Elderly Services through Cudahy/St Francis Interfaith		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3533	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide senior services such as transportation, homemaker, telephone visits and educational programs.

Location:

3767 East Underwood Avenue
Cudahy 53110

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	700	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	7000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	700	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	St Francis - Sidewalk Replacement Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3534	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Remove and replace deteriorated sidewalks and install handicap curb ramps for LMI homeowners.

Location:

Scattered Sites - Cudahy

Priority Need Category

Select one: Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03L Sidewalks 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	39,100	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
04 Households	Proposed Units	20	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Shorewood - ADA Municipal Building Improvements		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3536	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Install three automatic door openers in municipal buildings

Location:

3920 North Murray Avenue
Shorewood, 53211

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03 Public Facilities and Improvements (General) 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	11,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Shorewood - Senior Resource Center		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	3537	**UOG Code:** UOG Code

Provide older adults with educational, and recreational activities, and day trips

Location:

3920 North murray Avenue
Shorewood - 53211

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	0.000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Shorewood - ADA Crosswalk Replacement		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3538	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Reconstruct crosswalks to current handicap access standards.

Location:

scattered sites in Shorewood

Select one:

Priority Need Category

Infrastructure

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03L Sidewalks 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	21,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Shorewood - Shoreline Interfaith		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3339	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Provide senior services through the Shoreline Interfaith Program. Services include transportation, home visits, and minor home repairs.

Location:

1200 East Hampton Avenue, Whitefish Bay 53217

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ◉ Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	2,500	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	300	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: South Milwaukee - Interfaith Program for the Elderly

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3548 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay a portion of salary and operating costs for the South Milwaukee/Oak Creek Interfaith program. Program provides senior services such as transportation, snow shoveling, home repair, yard work, and taxes and banking assistance.

Location:

1327 Marshall Avenue, South Milwaukee, 53172

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	645		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05A Senior Services 570.201(e) — Matrix Codes

Matrix Codes — Matrix Codes

Matrix Codes — Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	7,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	645	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	South Milwaukee - Easter Seal/Kindcare Renovation
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 5541 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Renovate and upgrade the front vestibule including double entry doors, unsulation, accessible ramp, and climat control capabilities.

Location:

1016 Milwaukee Avenue, South Milwaukee, 53172

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
01 People	Proposed	212		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03B Handicapped Centers 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	3,400		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	212		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units				Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units				Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	South Milwaukee - Human Concerns Renovations		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3542	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Replace tile flooring, front and rear entrance doors, and upgrade interior lighting.

Location:

1029 Milwaukee Avenue, South Milwaukee, 53172

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03B Handicapped Centers 570.201(c)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	30,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	1,200	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	South Milwaukee - Human Concerns Mortgage		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3543	**UOG Code:**	UOG Code

Pay the monthly mortgage costs for Human Concerns Agency

Location:

1029 Milwaukee Avenue South Milwaukee 53172

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	1,063		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05 Public Services (General) 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	4,700		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	1,063		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name: West Milwaukee - Fire House Improvements

Description: **IDIS Project #:** 3544 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Make repairs, renovations and improvements to the interior and the exterior of the Village Fire House

Location:
4515 West Burnham Street
West Milwaukee, 53214

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of public improvements for lower income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

03 Public Facilities and Improvements (General) 570.201(c) — Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes — Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes — Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	36,500	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
11 Public Facilities	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	West Milwaukee - Community Centre Maintenance and Upkeep
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3545 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay a portion of the costs to maintain the Community Centre.

Location:

1345 South 47th Street West Milwaukee 53214

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Services

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category
- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1	Improve the services for low/mod income persons
2	
3	

Project-level Accomplishments

01 People	Proposed	100		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway				Underway	
	Complete				Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

05 Public Services (General) 570.201(e)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	9,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
01 People	Proposed Units	100	Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	Whitefish Bay - Klode Park Handicap Access
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 3546 **UOG Code:** UOG Code

Pay for the installation of handicap accessible play equipment, ADA rubber matting, and ADA ramps.

Location:

5800 North Lake Drive, Whitefish Bay 53217

Priority Need Category

Select one: Public Facilities

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Objective Category

- ○ Decent Housing
- ● Suitable Living Environment
- ○ Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- ☑ Availability/Accessibility
- ☐ Affordability
- ☐ Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve quality / increase quantity of neighborhood facilities for low-income persons
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

11 Public Facilities	Proposed	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

CDBG	Proposed Amt.	36,900		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
01 People	Proposed Units	1		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

Milwaukee County HOME Consortium
2010 Projects

Projected start date: 01/01/10
Projected completion date: 12/31/10

	HOME funds	Projected units	
Wauwatosa (Consortium share)	$ 65,610	(tbd)	
West Allis (Consortium share)	267,210	(tbd)	
CHDO Production Set-aside	195,300	(tbd)	
Milwaukee County:			
Home Repair Loans	443,680	25	570.202
Deferred Payment Home Repair Loans	150,000	10	570.202
Homebuyer Assistance	50,000	5	570.201e
Program Administration	130,200	(n/a)	570.206
Grant Award (estimated)	$1,302,000		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/Suburban Home Repair Loan Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	**UOG Code:**	WI559079

Location:

HOME Consortium Area

Select one:

Priority Need Category

Owner Occupied Housing

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

12/31/2010

Objective Category

- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- [ ] Availability/Accessibility
- [x] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the quality of owner housing
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

10 Housing Units	Proposed	25	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

14A Rehab; Single-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	445,660	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/Deferred Home Repair Loan Program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:** 68	**UOG Code:**	WI559079

Location:

HOME Consortium Area

Priority Need Category

Select one: Owner Occupied Housing

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

12/31/2010

Objective Category

- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- [ ] Availability/Accessibility
- [x] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the quality of owner housing
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

10 Housing Units	Proposed	10		Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed			Accompl. Type:	Proposed		
	Underway				Underway		
	Complete				Complete		

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

14A Rehab; Single-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	150,000		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.			Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		
	Actual Amount				Actual Amount		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units			Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		
	Actual Units				Actual Units		

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/Homebuyer Assistance program		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	69	**UOG Code:** WI559079

Location:

HOME Consortium Area

Priority Need Category

Select one: Owner Occupied Housing

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

12/31/2010

Objective Category
- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- [ ] Availability/Accessibility
- [x] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve access to affordable owner housing
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

10 Housing Units	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

13 Direct Homeownership Assistance 570.201(n)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	50,000	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/Wauwatosa Consortium Projects		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	0	**UOG Code:** WI559079

Location:

City of Wauwatosa

Priority Need Category

Select one: Owner Occupied Housing

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

12/31/2010

Objective Category
- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- [ ] Availability/Accessibility
- [x] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the quality of owner housing
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

10 Housing Units	Proposed	5	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

14A Rehab; Single-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	65,610	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/West Allis Consortium Projects		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	71	**UOG Code:** WI559079

Location:

City of West Allis

Priority Need Category

Select one: Other

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

12/31/2010

Objective Category

- (●) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories

- [ ] Availability/Accessibility
- [x] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Improve the quality of owner housing
2. Increase the availability of affordable owner housing
3. Increase the supply of affordable rental housing

Project-level Accomplishments

10 Housing Units	Proposed	20	Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

14A Rehab; Single-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
13 Direct Homeownership Assistance 570.201(n)	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	267,210	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/Administration		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	72	**UOG Code:** WI559079

Location:
HOME Consortium Area

Priority Need Category
Select one: Other

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:
12/31/2010

Objective Category
- Decent Housing
- Suitable Living Environment
- Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- Availability/Accessibility
- Affordability
- Sustainability

Specific Objectives
1
2
3

Project-level Accomplishments

Other	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

31B Administration - grantee	Matrix Codes
31B Administration - grantee	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	130,200	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	

CPMP Version 2.0

Grantee Name: **Milwaukee County**

Project Name:	HOME/CHDO Production		
Description:	**IDIS Project #:**	**UOG Code:**	WI559079

Location:

HOME Consortium Area

Priority Need Category

Select one: Rental Housing

Explanation:

Expected Completion Date:

12/31/2010

Project to be determined

Objective Category
- (X) Decent Housing
- ( ) Suitable Living Environment
- ( ) Economic Opportunity

Outcome Categories
- [ ] Availability/Accessibility
- [x] Affordability
- [ ] Sustainability

Specific Objectives

1. Increase the supply of affordable rental housing
2.
3.

Project-level Accomplishments

10 Housing Units	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed		Accompl. Type:	Proposed	
	Underway			Underway	
	Complete			Complete	

Proposed Outcome	Performance Measure	Actual Outcome

14B Rehab; Multi-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
14B Rehab; Multi-Unit Residential 570.202	Matrix Codes
Matrix Codes	Matrix Codes

Program Year 1

Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	195,300	Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.		Fund Source:	Proposed Amt.	
	Actual Amount			Actual Amount	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	
Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units		Accompl. Type:	Proposed Units	
	Actual Units			Actual Units	